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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Project Background:

The Lower Umsahong, Umbyrshan, Umtymmnen Rupa and Umsarang (IWMP-I) project is located in Umsning C\&RD Block, Ri Bhoi District of Meghalaya. Consisting of a four micro-watershed, the project area is drained to the Umiam and its tributaries flowing in a south to north direction. The total area is 3180 Ha with 2000 Ha to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

The Project area is located at a distance of about 70 km from Nongpoh the District Head Quarter. A total of twelve villages are covered under the project. These are -

1. Umsarang
2. Lumsohphoh
3. Lumshyiap
4. Nonglakhiat
5. Lumsohpieng
6. Pamphret
7. Dongpyngrope
8. Iamkhon
9. Umtyrkhang
10. Mawpat
11. Mawshunam
12. Mawlaho

### 1.2 Micro-watershed Information:

The micro-watershed code are Lower Umsahong 3B2B4b1f, Umbyrshan 3B2A4b1g, Umtymmnen Rupa 3B2A6c2b and Umsarang 3B2A6c1j as codified by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The total area of the micro-watershed is 3180 Ha., with 2000 hectares to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

### 1.3 Need and Scope for Watershed Development:

The micro-watershed 3B2B4b1f, 3B2A4b1g, 3B2A6c2b and 3B2A6c1j falls under the Medium Priority category as per the prioritization of watersheds by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). Located on the Northern aspect sloping towards Assam the 12 villages do have kutcha road connectivity. The farmers are mostly marginal and 204 households are below the poverty line, which is $29.69 \%$ of the total households. Jhum cultivation is still practiced by most of the inhabitants of these villages on the slopes.

Even though the area receives ample rainfall during the monsoons, there is acute shortage of water during the dry seasons and the villagers have to travel long distances for fetching water even for domestic use.

### 1.4 Other developmental projects/schemes running in the Project Area:

The other developmental projects/schemes undertaken in the Project Area are:-

## i. MGNREGS

ii. Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC)
iii. Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY )
iv. Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)
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### 2.1 Location:

The Project area of Lower Umsahong and Umbyrshan are located within the area of Khyriem Syiemship while that of Umsarang and Umtymmenrupa are located within the area of Mylliem Syiemship under Umsning C\&RD Block of Ri Bhoi District. It is situated at a distance of about 45 km from Nongpoh the district Head Quarter and about 125 km from Shillong which is also the State Capital. The geographical location is between $92^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ to $91^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ Longitude and $25^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$ to $25^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Latitude of Umsarang MWS, $92^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to $92^{\circ}$ $03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E Longitude and $25^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$ ' to $25^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Latitude of Umtymen rupa MWS, $92^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ to $92^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ Longitude and $25^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ to $25^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Latitude of Umbyrshan MWS, $92^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ to $92^{0} 09^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E Longitude and $25^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ to $25^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ Latitude of Lower Umsahong MWS,. There are 12 villages within the Watershed which are as follows -

1. Umsarang
2. Lumsohphoh
3. Lumshyiap
4. Nonglakhiat
5. Lumsohpieng
6. Pamphret
7. Dongpyngrope
8. Iamkhon
9. Umtyrkhang
10. Mawpat
11. Mawshunam
12. Mawlaho

### 2.2 Physiography:

The physiography of the micro-watershed is moderately undulating. The altitude ranges from a minimum of $880-1080 \mathrm{~m}$ (Umsarang), $900-1200 \mathrm{~m}$ (Umtymmen rupa), $400-800 \mathrm{~m}$ (Lower Umsahong) and $400-800 \mathrm{~m}$ (Umbyrshan) respectively above mean sea level. In the lower reaches (valley lands) the slope ranges from $0 \%$ to $20 \%$, however, in the middle and upper reaches it is greater than $45 \%$, and can reach up to $70 \%$.

Table 2.1: Physiographic details

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sl. <br> No. | Names of <br> Projects | Elevation <br> (metres) | Slope range (\%) | Order of watershed <br> Sub/Micro- <br> watershed | Major streams | Toposequence (Soil series) |
| 1 | RB-IWMP-I |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Umsarang | $880-1080 \mathrm{~m}$ | As per slope map <br> enclosed | $3^{\text {rd } \text { order }}$ | Umtung | Typic Kandlhmults <br> Typic Dystrochrepts |
|  | 2. Umtymmen rupa | $900-1200 \mathrm{~m}$ | -do- | $3^{\text {rd } 0 \text { rder }}$ | Umtung | Typic Kandlhmults <br> Typic Dystrochrepts |
|  | 3. Lower <br> Umsahong | $400-800 \mathrm{~m}$ | -do- | $3^{\text {rd } \text { order }}$ | Umiam <br> Typic Kandlhmults |  |
|  | 4. Umbyrshan | $400-800 \mathrm{~m}$ | -do- | $3^{\text {rd } \text { order }}$ | Umiam | Typic Kandlhmults <br> Typic Dystrochrepts |

2.3 Drainage: The major stream draining the micro-watershed is the Umiam which is a $3^{\text {th }}$ order stream flowing in a south- north direction. The slopes of the micro-watershed are dissected by numerous small tributaries flowing to the Umiam (Umbyrshan and Lower Umsahong) and Umtung (Umtymmen rupa and Umsarang).
2.4 Soil: Soil Texture is loamy on the sloping lands and clayey-loamy to sandy clay on the low lying areas. Soil depth varies from shallow to deep. Soils are permeable and generally acidic in nature. Owing to moderately undulating land form and absence of good vegetation cover, the area is exposed to erosion hazards. The soil nutrient status in the area shows a general trend of low phosphorous content.

Table 2.2: Details of soil erosion in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Names of State | Names of District | $\begin{gathered} \text { Names } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Projects } \end{gathered}$ | Cause | Types of erosion | Area affected (ha) | Run-off (mm/ year) | Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year) |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Ri <br> Bhoi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RB- } \\ & \text { IWMP- } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | Water erosion: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | a | Sheet | 2000 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | b | Rill | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | c | Gully | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | total | 2000 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | Wind | osion | Nil | Nil | Nil |

2.5 Climate: The area in the foothills or low lying areas and mid-slopes are hot in summer and remain warm throughout the winter. The area on the higher reaches is hot during summer and warm during winter. The average annual rainfall is 1205 mm .

Table 2.3: Agro-climatic zones of the project areas, soil types, average rainfall and major crops.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of the |  |  | Names of the |  |  | Average annual rainfall in mm | Majo |  |
| No. | N | zone |  | the districts | Projects | a) Type | b) Area (ha) | (preceding 5 years' average) | a) <br> Name | b) Area (ha) |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Hills of Norhtern Slopes (3001200) warm humid hyperthermic | 2000 Ha | Ri bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { RB- IWMP } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ | i. Loamy <br> ii. Clayey loamy | $1250 \mathrm{Ha}$ <br> 750 На | 1205 mm | Paddy | 484 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ginger | 128 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pineapple | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vegetables | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arecanut | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Betel Leaf | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Others | 312 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 2000 Ha | 1205 mm |  | 1135 Ha |

2.6 Agriculture: Agriculture is the primary occupation of the people of the area. The people mostly practice jhum. The jhum plots vary from 0.5 to 1.0 Ha, and are cultivated for $1-2$ years. The principal agricultural crops grown in the jhum fields are Hill Paddy, Ginger and vegetables. Fruit crops are well suited in the lower reaches which include, orange, pineapple, jackfruit, litchi. The slopes of the project areas are also very suitable for betel nut, betel leaf, black pepper, broomstick, which contribute to the income of the people.

Table 2.4: Crop yield and production

| Crops | Area <br> (ha) | Average Yield <br> (Qtl) per ha. | Total Production <br> (Qtl.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 485 | 2.69 | 1300 |
| Maize | 128 | 2.25 | 288 |
| Ginger | 85 | 8.00 | 680 |
| Pineapple | 60 | 11.49 | 6894 |
| Arecanut | 45 | NA | NA |
| Betel Leaf | 20 | NA | NA |
| Others | 312 | NA | NA |

2.7 Natural Vegetation: The tree species common to the watershed area includes - Quercus spp. Castanopsis spp. Toona ciliata, Albizia spp. Aporosa spp. Bahunia variegata Duabanga spp. and Ficus spp. However, due to jhum cultivation the forest cover of the area has reduced considerably.
2.8 Socio-Economic Profile: Economically, the project area is still backward compare to other part of the district. The main reason is due to the absence of road communication, primitive way of agricultural practices like jhumming and the difficult terrain of the area.

Demographic Status: The total households in the watershed project is 687 with a total population of 3031, of which 1631 are male and 1400 are female. The detail of the household in each of the villages in the watershed project is as follows:

1. Umsarang
2. Lumsohphoh
3. Lumshyiap
4. Nonglakhiat
5. Lumsohpieng
6. Pamphret
7. Dongpyngrope
8. Iamkhon
9. Umtyrkhang
10. Mawpat
11. Mawshunam
12. Mawlaho

## Demographic details of the four micro watershed:

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Name of } \\ \text { Micro } \\ \text { Watershed } \end{array}$ | No. of Male | No. of Female | Total No. of Population | SC \% | ST \% | Landless Labourers \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umtymmen Rupa | 453 nos. | 372 nos. | 825 nos. | - | 100\% | NA |
| Umsarang | 579 nos. | 467 nos. | 1046 nos. | - | 100\% | 0.2\% |
| Umbyrshan | 297 nos. | 275 nos. | 572 nos. | - | 100\% | 2\% |
| Lower Umsahong | 302 nos. | 286 nos. | 588 nos. | - | 100\% | 2\% |
| TOTAL | 1631 | 1400 | 3031 | - | $100 \%$ |  |

Infrastructure facilities :
2.1.1 Roads: Some of the villages within the Project Area are connected by road. The Project area depends entirely on the kutcha road connected to Sonidan for Lower Umsahong and Umbyrshan Micro-Watershed and to Bhoilymbong for Umsarang and UMtymmen rupa MWS.
2.1.2 School: there are only 14 L.P Schools and 6 Secondary Schools within the Project Area run either by the Mission or by the Government.
2.1.3 Electricity : Connections have been provided to all some villages.
2.1.4 Health : There are 8 Primary Health Centres within the project areas.
2.1.5 Water Supply : Drinking water supply have been provided by the PHE Deptt. To most villages. However, during lean season the entire population have to depend on springs available in the area as the supply is not sufficient to meet the daily requirement.
2.1.6 Market : There is a weekly market held once in a week at Mawhati, Mawlasnai, Umroi, Umsning. However, the main market where the people sell their produce are at Mawhati, Umsning, Umroi and also at Shillong.

Table 2.5: Infrastructure Status.

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of Project |  | Parameters: | Status |  |  |  |
| Ri-Bhoi | Ri-Bhoi - <br> IWMP I | (i) | No. of villages connected to the main road by an all-weather road. | 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) | No. of village provided with electricity | 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) | No. of households without access to drinking water | 126 nos. |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) | No. of educational institutions: <br> Primary (P)/ Secondary (S)/ Higher Secondary (HS)/ <br> Vocational institution (VI) | (P) | (S) | (HS) | (VI) |
|  |  |  |  | 14 Nos. | 6 nos | - | - |
|  |  | (v) | No. of village with access to Primary Health Centre | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) | No. of village with access Veterinary Dispensary | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) | No. of village with access Post Office | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) | No. of village with access Banks | Nil |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) | No. of village with access Markets/ mandis | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (x) | No. of village with access Agro-Industries | Nil |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) | Total quantity of surplus milk | Nil |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xii) | No. of milk collection centres (e.g. Union (U)/ Society (S)/ Private agency (PA)/ Others (O)) | (U) | (S) | (PA) | (O) |
|  |  |  |  | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
|  |  | (xiii) | No. of villages with access to Aganwadi Centres | 8 No. |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xiv) | Any other facilities with no. of villages (please specify) | Nil |  |  |  |

2.9 Livestock: there are only 3 kinds of livestock farming being farmed in the area i.e., Piggery, poultry and fishery.

Table 2.6: Existing livestock population

| Type of Animal | Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| Piggery | 130 |
| Poultry | NA |
| Fishery | NA |
| Total | 130 |

2.10 Land ownership: There are primarily two types of land holding system, namely private lands (Ri Kynti i.e. individually owned land) and community lands (Ri Kur i.e. clan land and Ri Raid i.e. village community land).

Table 2.7: Land Holding:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of the Project | Types of Farmer | No. of households | No. of BPL households | Land holding (ha) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total |
| Ri-Bhoi | Ri-Bhoi - <br> IWMP I | (i) Large | 24 | - | - | 360 | 360 |
|  |  | (ii) Small | 268 | - | - | 1206 | 1206 |
|  |  | (iii) Marginal | 337 | 146 | - | 434 | 434 |
|  |  | (iv) Landless | 58 | 58 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Sub - Total | 687 | 204 | - | 2000 | 2000 |

Table 2.5: Common Property Resources in the Project Area

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of | Name of the Projects | CPR <br> Particulars | Total Area (ha) <br> Area owned/ In possession of |  |  |  | Area available for treatment (ha) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pvt. <br> Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Community) | Pvt. Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Community) |
| Ri-Bhoi | Ri-Bhoi <br> - IWMP <br> I | (i) Wasteland/ degraded land | 2035 | - |  | 280 clan land | 1135 | - | - | 60 clan land |
|  |  | (ii) Pastures | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (iii) Orchards | 240 | - | - | - | 140 |  |  | - |
|  |  | (iv) Village woodlot | 25 | - | - | 125 clan land | - | - | - | 12 clan land |
|  |  | (v) Forest | 285 | - | - | 130 clan land | 190 | - | - | 30 clan land |
|  |  | (vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks | 40 | - | - | 12 village durbar | - | - | - | 1 village durbar |
|  |  | (vii) Community Buildings | - | 15 | - | 24 | - | 5 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | (viii) Weekly Markets | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | 1 |
|  |  | (ix) Permanent Markets | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | 6 |
|  |  | (x) Temples/ Places of worship | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | 2 |
|  |  | (xi) Others (Pl. specify) | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | 2 |
|  |  | Total | 2560 | 15 | - | 605 | 1695 | 5 | - | 115 |

 area has been broadly classified into the following land uses.

1. Umsarang MWS

| Lulc_class | Area in Hectare |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Land-Crop Land-Two crop Area | 480.51 |
| Forest-Forest Plantation | 50.54 |
| Others-Shifting Cultivation | 11.77 |
| Tree Clad Area-Close | 104.90 |
| Wastelands-Scrub land-DenseScrub | 7.15 |
| Total | 654.88 |

## 2. Umtymmen rupa MWS

| Lulc_class | Area in Hectare |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Land-Crop Land-Two crop Area | 367 |
| Built Up - Built Up (Rural) - Built Up area (Rural) | 92 |
| Tree Clad Area-Open | 221 |
| Tree Clad Area-Close | 199 |
| Waterbodies - lakes / ponds | 3 |
| Total | 882 |

3. Lower Umsahong MWS

| LULC_Class | Area in Hectare |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tree Clad Area-Close | 121.28 |
| Tree Clad Area-Open | 23.94 |
| Wastelands Scrub land Open Scrub | 367.19 |
| Total | 512.42 |

4. Umbyrshan MWS

| Lulc_class | Area in Hectare |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Land-Crop Land-Two crop Area | 143 |
| Built Up - Built Up (Rural) - Built Up area (Rural | 53 |
| Tree Clad Area-Close | 233 |
| Tree Clad Area-Open | 159 |
| Wastelands-Scrub land-Open Scrub | 542 |
| Total | 1130 |

2.12 Problems of the Area : The primary problems of the area is jhumming. Majority of the population depends on Jhum Cultivation for their livelihood. Vast tracks of abandoned Jhum areas are converted to Broomstick cultivation areas which has further degraded the capability of the land. Mention may also be made here that the land use categorized as Tree-clad Areaopen in the land used land cover map generated using Satellite Images of 2005-2006 are actually Broom-stick cultivation areas. In other words, unscientific method of cultivation has not only reduced the Jhum cycle, low crop yield but had adversely affected the ecological balance within the area. Road communication is another infrastructural problems that the area is facing where large volume crops like pineapple, jackfruits etc do not find their way into the market which has resulted in poor socio-economic status of the people. However, to control or to overcome the said problems an innovative approach has been formulated and documented in the Action Plan or the Treatment Plan the Detailed Project Report. The method of identification of the problems is through the Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercises conducted in all the villages within the Watershed.
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### 3.1 Scientific Planning

i) Base Line Survey: To establish a benchmark for assessing the impact of any intervention (pre-project \& post project) a baseline survey is essential. The baseline survey included household census \& socio-economic survey by using structured and semi -structured questionnaires, bio-physical survey to identify and assess the status of natural resources in the project area.
ii) Participatory Rural Appraisal: To further obtain information on the project area, the people, resources, various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, seasonal calendars, matrix ranking, Venn diagrams were used.
iii) GIS \& Remote Sensing: To facilitate the process of prioritization and planning Geographic Information System was use. The land use and land cover (LULC) maps were prepared by the North Eastern Space Application Centre (NESAC) using the LISS III images (2006). The activities were located on the field by using GPS and accordingly transferred to the maps on GIS platform.

Table 3.1: Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP projects:

| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total no. of projects sanctioned | Scientific criteria/ inputs used | No. of projects in which scientific criteria were used |
|  | (A) Planning |  |
|  | Cluster approach | Yes |
|  | Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the name of the Institute. | Yes SIRD |
|  | Baseline survey | Yes |
|  | Hydro-geological survey | Yes |
|  | Contour mapping | Yes |
|  | Participatory Net Planning (PNP) | No |


|  | Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ridge to Valley treatment | Yes |
|  | Online IT connectivity between |  |
|  | (1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP | No |
|  | (2) DRDA and SLNA | No |
|  | (3) SLNA and DoLR | Yes |
|  | Availability of GIS layers |  |
|  | 1. Cadastral map | No |
|  | 2. Village boundaries | Yes |
|  | 3. Drainage | Yes |
|  | 4. Soil (Soil nutrient status) | Yes |
|  | 5. Land use | Yes |
|  | 6. Ground water status | No |
|  | 7. Watershed boundaries | Yes |
|  | 8. Activity | Yes |
|  | Crop simulation models ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | No |
|  | Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy for high speed soil nutrient analysis | No |
|  | Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)\# | No |
|  | Weather Stations | No |
|  | (B) Inputs |  |
|  | 1. Bio-pesticides | No |
|  | 2. Organic manures | Yes |
|  | 3. Vermicompost | No |
|  | 4. Bio-fertilizer | No |
|  | 5. Water saving devices | Yes |
|  | 6. Mechanized tools/ implements | No |
|  | 7. Bio-fencing | Yes |
|  | 8. Nutrient budgeting | No |
|  | 9. Automatic water level recorders \& sediment samplers | No |
|  | Any other (please specify) |  |

### 3.2 Project Implementing Agency:

The PIA is the Soil \& Water Conservation Ri-Bhoi Division, Nongpoh, Ri-Bhoi District of Meghalaya. The Project Manager will be the Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer and will be assisted by Range Officer, Sonidan Soil \& Water Conservation Range and Beat Officer, Umroi Soil \& Water Conservation Beat along with WDT members in which expertise is drawn from the relevant fields for achieving smooth and successful implementation of the project.

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of projects | Details of PIA |  |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP I | (i) Type of organization\# | Government Department |
|  |  | (ii) $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Name of } \\ & \text { organization }\end{aligned}$ | Soil and Water Conservation Department, Government of Meghalaya |
|  |  | (iii) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Designation \& } \\ & \text { Address }\end{aligned}$ | Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer, Ri Bhoi Division, Nongpoh, Meghalaya. |
|  |  | (iv) Telephone | 03638-232257 |
|  |  | (v) Fax | 03638-232257 |
|  |  | (vi) E-mail | Dswco_ribhoi@yahoo.com |

### 3.3 Institution Building

Details of Watershed Development Teams (WDTs) in the project areas of the country: State-wise:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of projects | Names of WDT members | M/F\# | Age | Qualification / Experience | Description of professional training | Role/ Function\#\# |
| Ri bhoi | IWDP I Umsning | Smti V Papang | F |  | BSc Agri/25 yrs | Sol and water conservation trained | A to I |
|  |  | Shri A B S Swer | M |  | BSc Agri/20yrs | -do- | A to I |
|  |  | Shri S S Kharsyntiew | M |  | BSc/30yrs | -do- | A to I |
|  |  | Shri A Bhattacharjee | M |  | JE/24 yrs | -do- | ABEFGHI |
|  |  | Shri E.Kharbhih | M |  | 30 yrs | -do- | A to I |
|  |  | Shri A M Kharmutee | M |  | 30 yrs | -do- | A to I |
|  |  | Shri. B.P Marwein | M |  | 26 yrs | -do- | A to I |
|  |  | Shri K.Iawphniaw | M |  | 26 yrs | -do- | A to I |
|  |  | Smti I.Moksha | F |  | BCA/1 yr | Trained in capacity building | A,E |

\# M - Male, F - Female\#\# In column 10, only the letter, assigned as below, needs to be typed, except for 'J', where the type may be specifically mentioned.
A. Participatory Net Planning (PNP) and Participatory Rural Approach (PRA), Training and Capacity Building
B. Planning C. Maintenance of Accounts
D. Signing of cheques and making payments
F. Engineering surveys, drawings and cost estimations
H. Record of labour employed
J. Post project operation, maintenance of assets
E. Social audit
G. Physical verification \& measurement
I. Livelihood opportunities for landless
K. Any other (please specify)

## Watershed Committee (WC)

The Watershed Committee of the Lower Umsahong, Umbyrshan, Umsarang and Umtymmen rupa IWMP I was constituted with the active involvement of the villagers with strong support of the Traditional Institutions (Village Durbar/Council). The Lower Umsahong, Umbyrshan, Umsarang and Umtymmen rupa Watershed Committee has been registered under the Society Registration Act 1860.

## Village Level Institutions:

Table 3.2: Details of Watershed Committees (WC):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 12 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the District s | Names of projects | Names of WCs | Date of Registratio n as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy) | Designation | M/F | SC | ST | SF | MF |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Land- } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | UG | SHG | GP | Any other | Educational qualification | Function/s assigned\# |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ | Umsarang | NA | President H. Jana | M |  | Yes |  | yes |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A,B,D,E. } \\ \text { F.G.H.I } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Secretary ABS Swer | M |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Govt servi ce | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BSc } \\ & \text { Agri } \end{aligned}$ | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Member-4 | M |  | Yes | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A,B,E,F, } \\ \text { G,H,I } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Member - 2 | F |  | Yes | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A,B,E,F, } \\ \text { G,H,I } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Umtymmen rupa |  | President SM Shadap | M |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A,B,D,E. } \\ \text { F.G.H.I } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Secretary <br> K. <br> Iawphniaw | M |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Govt servi <br> ce |  | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Member -8 | M |  | Yes | 4 | 2 |  | - |  |  | 2 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A,B,D,E. } \\ \text { F.G.H.I } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Member -8 | F |  | Yes | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A,B,D,E. |



* From column no.2, the total number of States, from column no.3, the total number of Districts; from column no.4, the total number of projects; from column no.5, the total number of watershed committees; from column no.6, the total number of registered watershed committees; from column no.7, the total number of members, and WCs without a President and/or without a Secretary, may be mentioned for the State as a whole. From column no.8, the total no. of male and female members may be mentioned separately. The totals of columns 9 to 18 , for the entire country, may be mentioned at the end of the table
\#\# In column 20 only the letter assigned, as below, needs to be typed, except for ' J ', where the type may be specifically mentioned.
A. PNP and PRA
C. Maintenance of Accounts
E. Supervision of construction activities
B. Planning
Signing of cheques and making payments
F. Cost Estimation
G. Verification \& Measurement I. Social Audit
H. Record of labour employed
J. Any other (please specify).


## i) Self Help Group

Awareness programmes were organized in the villages to inform and sensitize the people on the essence of organizing themselves in to homogenous groups for uplifting their livelihood especially for the women and the landless. Discussions were held at length with the WDT on the scope and procedure of group formation, availing credit, grading of the groups and so on.

Table 3.3: Details of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names | Names of projects | Total no. of registered SHGs |  |  |  | No. of members |  |  |  | No. of SC/ST in each category |  |  | No. of BPL in each category |  |  |
| of the Districts |  | With only Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { With } \\ \text { only } \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | With both | Total | Categories | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ | Nil | Nil |  |  | (i) Landless |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (ii) SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iii) MF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iv) LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ii) User Group

To manage the assets created and ensure their sustainability User Groups will be formed. The people have been sensitized on the importance of ensuring that the assets created are sustainably used and the essentiality of having User Groups for maintenance and operation of their assets.

## Table 3.4: User Group Details

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of | Names of Projects | Total no. of UGs |  |  |  | No. of members |  |  |  | No. of SC/ST in each category |  |  | No. of BPL in each category |  |  |
|  |  | Men | Women | Both | Total | Categories | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
| Ri Bhoi | IWMP I | NA | NA | NA | NA | (i)Landless |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (ii) SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iii) MF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iv) LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER IV

PROJECT ACTIVITIES

## CHAPTER IV

PROJECT ACTIVITIES

### 4.1 Preparatory Phase:

i) Entry Point Activities (EPA)
(All financial figures in lakh Rs.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State | District | Names of Project | Amount earmarked for EPA (Rs/lakhs) | E P A planned (Rs/lakhs) | Estimated cost <br> (Rs/lakhs) | Expenditur e incurred (Rs/lakhs) | Balance (Rs/lakhs) | Expected outcome | Actual outcome |
| 1 | Meghalaya | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Ri} \\ & \text { Bhoi } \end{aligned}$ | RB-IWMP-I | Rs. 12.00 | Drinking Water-14 nos Footbridge-6 no Water Harvesting pond-4 no | Rs. 12.00 | Rs. 12.00 | Nil | Clean drinking water, safe crossing of stream, ground water recharge | Clean drinking water, safe crossing of stream, ground water recharge |

ii) Other activities of Preparatory Phase:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of Projects | Initiation of village level institution | Capacity building | $\begin{gathered} \text { IEC } \\ \text { activities } \end{gathered}$ | Baseline survey | Hydrogeological survey | Identifying technical support agencies | Resource agreements | Preparation of DPR | Evaluati on of DPR | Any other (please specify) | Cost incurred (Rs. In lakh) |
| Ri Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-I | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | 21.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.1 Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Name of <br> States | Name of Distric ts | Name of Projects | Type of structures | Pre Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop | osed Proje |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | No | Area irrigate d (ha) | Storage capacit y(Ha) | Augmentation/ repair of existing structures |  |  |  | Construction of new structures |  |  |  | Total target |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimated cost | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimated $\operatorname{cost}($ Rs lakhs) | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimated cost |
| 1 | Megh alaya | Ri Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP -I | (i) Tank | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | (ii) Pond | 8 |  | 0.80 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 12 | 1.2 | 2.233 | 7 | 12 | 1.2 | 2.233 |
|  |  |  |  | (iii) Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | (iv) Check Dam | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84 | 165 | 0 | 6.30 | 84 | 165 | 0 | 6.30 |
|  |  |  |  | (v) <br> Percolation <br> Tank | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 62 | 73 | 12.40 | 20.336 | 62 | 73 | 12.40 | 20.336 |
|  |  |  |  | (vi) <br> Channel | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3.758 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3.758 |
|  |  |  |  | (vii) Any others -cc dam -protection wall | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.96 \\ & 8.309 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.96 \\ & 8.309 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Total |  | 24 | 0 | 0.80 | - | - | - | - | 87 | 324 | 13.60 | 52.896 | 87 | 324 | 13.60 | 52.896 |

Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas:

| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achievement due to project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Augmentation/ repair of existing structures |  |  |  | Construction of new structures |  |  |  | Total achievement |  |  | Change in storage capacity (col 8-6) | Change in irrigated area (ha) Col. (8-6) |
| No | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Expenditure incurred | No | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Expenditure incurred | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimated incurred |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

### 4.2.2 Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Names } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { States } \end{aligned}$ | Names of Districts | Names of projects | Type of structures | Pre-project |  | Proposed target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Achievement due to project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { irrigate } \\ \mathrm{d} \text { area } \\ \text { (Col. } \\ 8-6) \\ \text { (ha) } \end{gathered}$ |
| S. |  |  |  |  | No. | Area irrigated (ha) | Augmentation/ repair of existing recharging structures |  |  | Construction of new recharging structures |  |  | Total target |  | Augmentation/ repair of existing recharging structures |  |  | Construction of new recharging structures |  |  | Total achievement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Area to <br> be <br> irrigated <br> (ha) | Estim ated cost | No. | Area to be irrigated (ha) | Estimat ed cost | Area to be irrigate d (ha) | Estimat ed cost | No. | Area irrigate d (ha) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expendi- } \\ \text { ture } \\ \text { incurred } \end{gathered}$ | No | Area irrigated (ha) | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Expendi- } \\ \text { ture } \\ \text { incurred } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Area irrigated (ha) | Expenditure incurred |  |
| 1 | Meghal | R | RB | (i)Open wells | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ay | Bhoi | IWMP- | (ii)Bore wells | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (iii)Any others \#Percolation tanks/check dams | 24 | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | 193 | 312 | 40.829 | 312 | 40.829 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | Total for the project | 24 | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | 193 | 312 | 40.829 | 312 | 40.829 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

4.2.3 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas.

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of Projects | Major activities of the UGs -Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Structure/ activity proposed |  |  |  | No. of UGs involved | Estimated Cost | Amount of WDF to be collected (Rs.) |
|  |  | Sl. No. | Type | No.\# | Treatment (ha) |  |  |  |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-I | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.4 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas:

| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major activities of the UGs - Achievements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure/ activity |  |  |  | No. of UGs involved | Expenditure incurred (Rs.) | No. of mandays |  |  | Amount of WDF collected (Rs.) |
| Sl. No. | Type | No.\# | Treated Area (ha.) |  |  | SC | ST | F |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.5 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of projects |  | Major activities of the SHGs |  |
|  |  | Name of activity | No. of SHGs <br> involved | Average annual income from <br> activity per SHG |
|  | RB-IWMP-I | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.6 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of SHGs | Total assistance received by the SHG (Amount in Rs.) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total annual Income } \\ \text { generated (Rs.) } \end{gathered}$ | Total annual Savings (Rs.) | No. of SHGs Graded as |  |  | Total Amount of loan sanctioned by the bank(s) | No. of SHGs federated |
| given training | Loan from revolving fund | Training | Material | Others (pl. specify) |  |  | I | II | III |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | N | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.7 Other activities of watershed works phase:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{B} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Names of projects | Ridge area treatment |  | Drainage line treatment |  | Nursery/ floriculture raising |  | Land development |  | Crop demonstrations |  | Livelihood development and production |  | Veterinary services |  | Fishery development |  | Nononventiona energy |  | Any other value addition |  | Total cost incurred (Rs. In lakhs) |  |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Ri} \\ \text { Bhoi } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { RB- } \\ \text { IWMP-I } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 590 \\ \text { ha } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 21.24 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 324 \\ \text { ha } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 52.896 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26 \\ \text { units } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6.50 | $\begin{gathered} 1038 \\ \text { ha } \end{gathered}$ | 75.864 | 22 ha | 12.00 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 38 \\ \text { units } \end{gathered}$ | 7.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 150 \\ \text { units } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 100 \\ \text { units } \end{array}$ | 25.00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 7 \\ \text { units } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3.50 | 219.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.8 Details of engineering structures in watershed works:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Name of structures | Type of treatment |  |  | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | i) $\operatorname{Ridg}{ }^{6}$ area (R) | (ii) <br> Drainage line | (iii) Land dev. (L) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d (i) Pri- } \\ & \text { vate } \end{aligned}$ | (ii) Commu | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (iii) } \\ \text { Others } \\ \text { u } \begin{array}{c} \text { (pl. } \\ \text { necifis } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others (pl. | No. of units <br> (No./ | Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh) |  |  | Expected month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No. of } \\ \text { units } \\ \text { (No./ } \\ \text { cu.m./ } \\ \text { rmt) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh) |  |  | Status of completion | Actual month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) |
|  |  |  |  | (D) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { specify } \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | specify) | $\begin{gathered} \text { cu.m./ } \\ \text { rmt) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | M W | O | T |  |  | M | W | O T |  |  |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { RB- } \\ \text { WMP-I } \end{array}$ | Staggered trenching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Contour bunding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Graded bunding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bench terracing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CC checks dams |  | 40nos |  | 40nos |  |  | Land owner | 40nos | 11.9 |  |  | 03/2014 | NA |  |  |  | NA | NA |
|  |  | Masonary stop dams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Gully plug |  | 84nos |  | 58nos | 26nos |  | Land owner/village durbar | 84nos | 6.30 |  |  | 03/2014 | NA |  |  |  | NA | NA |
|  |  | Gabion structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Halfmoon terrace |  |  | 158ha | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & \text { nos } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Land owner | 158nos | 11.8 |  |  | 03/2014 | NA |  |  |  | NA | NA |
|  |  | Field bunds |  |  | 320 ha | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & \text { nos } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Land owner | 320nos | 13.7 |  |  | 03/2014 | NA |  |  |  | NA | NA |
|  |  | Any others (pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.9 Details of engineering structures in watershed works.

4.2.10 Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of structure/ work | Type of treatment |  |  | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |
| District | Project |  | (i) Ridge area (R) | (ii) Draina ge line (D) | (iii) <br> Land dev. (L) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (i) } \\ \text { Priv } \\ \text { ate } \end{gathered}$ | (ii) <br> Com <br> muni <br> ty | (iii) <br> Other <br> s (pl. <br> speci <br> fy) | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others (pl. specify) | Area <br> (ha) | No. of plants | Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh) | Expected month \& year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \# \\ (\mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | No. of plants | Expenditure incurred (Rs. in lakh) | Actual month \& year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy) |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMPI | Afforestation | Yes |  | yes | Yes | yes |  | Landowner/ village durbar | 590 | 2.75 | 21.24 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Regeneration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Agro-forestry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fuel wood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fodder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Horticulture |  |  | yes | yes |  |  | landowner | 1038 | 3.2 | 75.864 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pasture dev. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nursery raising | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | SHG/UG | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ 1628 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 5.95 | 6.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others (pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# in case two or more activities are executed over same area, the figures in area treated should be accounted only once and should reflect only the actual watershed area treated.

### 4.2.11 Details of vegetative structures in watershed works: Phase - II (contd.):

| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduction in run off (cu.m) | Production (quintal) |  | Income (Rs.) |  | Mandays generated |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { project } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Post } \\ \text { project } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { project } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Post } \\ \text { project } \end{gathered}$ |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.12 Details of allied / other activities:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project | Name of activity@ | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  | Achievement |  |
| District |  |  | (i) <br> Priv <br> ate | (ii) Commu nity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (iii) Others } \\ & \text { (pl. } \\ & \text { specify) } \end{aligned}$ | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others <br> (pl. specify) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { cost } \\ \text { (Rs. in lakh) } \end{gathered}$ | Expected month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) | Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh) | Actual month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) |
| RI BHOI | IWMP-I | Crop demonstration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mud bricks.basket making |  | Yes |  | SHG | 4.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Cash crop value addition | Yes |  |  | SHG | 3.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Backyard poultry | Yes |  |  | individual | 7.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Other livestock | Yes |  |  | indv | 7.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Fisheries | Yes | Yes |  | Indv/vill durbar | 25.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Tailoring/carpentry/black smithy | yes |  |  | indv | 3.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Non conventional energy saving devices (bio-fuel) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Energy conservation measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others (pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


 @The activities given in this column are merely indicative and States are free to choose any other activity suited to the project area.

### 4.2.13 Details of allied / other activities:

| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income (Rs.) |  | Mandays generated |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-project | Post project | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Consolidation and withdrawal phase

Details of activities in the CPRs in the project areas:


## CHAPTER V <br> PROJECT PHASING \& BUDGETING <br> CHAPTER V <br> PROJECT PHASING \& BUDGETING

ACTION PLAN OF UMSARANG, UMTYMMRN RUPA, LOWER UMSAHONG AND UMBYRSHAN WATERSHED UNDER IWMP - I, RI BHOI DIVISION : NONGPOH
No. of villages - 12 nos.
Name of C\&RD Block: Umsning Block
Project Area - 2000 Ha.

NAME OF THE PROJECT:

TOTAL AREA OF THE WATERSHEDs
AREA PROPOSED FOR TREATMENT
TOTAL PROJECT COST

## INTEGRATED WASTELAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME

 PROJECT IV RI BHOI DISTRICT, MEGHALAYA.3180 Ha
2000 Ha -
Rs 300.00 Lakhs CENTRAL SHARE: Rs 270.00 lakhs STATE SHARE: Rs 30.00 lakhs

Rupees in Lakhs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s in Lakhs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI <br> no | Budg | outlay |  | Year |  |  |  | Year |  | Year |  |  |
|  | Physical | Financial | Physical | Financial | Physical | Financial | Physical | Financial | Physical | Financial | Physical | Financial |
| 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Administration (PIA) | 10\% | 30.00 |  |  | 2\% | 6.00 | 5 \% | 15.00 | 3\% | 9.00 | 0 | 0 |
| (1)Honorarium to volunteers @ Rs5000/ month for 3 years | 4 nos | 7.20 |  |  | 4 nos | 2.40 | 4 nos | 2.40 | 4 nos | 2.40 | 0 | 0 |
| A (2)Office expenses <br> i)Cost of stores, furniture, <br> ii) Stationeries, <br> iii) Hiring of vehicle etc. <br> iv) TA/DA of staff, etc |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.80 \\ 3.00 \\ 10.20 \\ 5.80 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 0.80 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 0.80 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 2.00 \\ & 7.40 \\ & 1.20 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & 0,20 \\ & 1.80 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 |
| B Monitoring | 1\% | 3.00 |  |  | 0.2\% | 0.60 | 0.5\% | 1.50 | 0.3\% | 0.90 | 0 | 0 |
| C Evaluation | 1\% | 3.00 |  |  | 0.3\% | 0,90 | 0.5\% | 1.50 | 0.2\% | 0.60 | 0 | 0 |
| Total of 1 | 12\% | 36.00 |  |  |  | 7.50 |  | 18.00 |  | 10.50 | 0 | 0 |


| 2 | Preparatory phase (PIA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Entry point Activities | 21 nos | 12.00 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ 21 \text { nos } \end{gathered}$ | 12.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B | Institutional, Capacity Building \& |  |  | 1 \% | 3.00 | 2\% | 6.00 | 1\% | 3.00 | 1\% | 3.00 | - | - |


|  | Training, IEC activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | i) Training of Watershed Development Team (WDT) members @ Rs. 25,000/each | 8 nos | 2.00 | 4 nos | 1.00 | 4 nos | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  | ii)Capacity building and Training of Watershed Secretary, Volunteers and members of Watershed Committee @ Rs 25,000/- each | 16 nos | 4.00 | 4 nos | 1.00 | 8 nos | 2.00 | 4 nos | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | iii) Capacity building and Training of farmers @ Rs $50,000 /$ - each | 16 nos | 8.00 | 2 no | 1.00 | 4 no | 2.00 | 4 nos | 2.00 | 6 nos | 3.00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | iv) Institutional Training <br> @ Rs.25,000/- each | 4 no | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 nos | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 44 nos | 15.00 | 10 nos | 3.00 | 20 nos | 6.00 | 8 nos | 3.00 | 6 nos | 3.00 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | Preparation of DPR | 1\% 3 nos | 3.00 | 3 nos | 3.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total of 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10\% } \\ 68 \text { nos } \end{gathered}$ | 30.00 | 34 nos | 18.00 | 20 nos | 6.00 | 8 nos | 3.00 | 6 nos | 3.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Watershed Works Phase (WC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Watershed Treatment/ Development works |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Drainage line Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Protection wall / Utility Platform (as per estimate) @ 29,675/- | 28 nos | 8.309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 nos | 8.309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iii | Loose boulder check dam @ Rs.7500/- | 84 nos | 6.30 | 0 | 0 | 40 nos | 3.00 | 44 nos | 3.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iv | Water Harvesting and Distribution @ Rs. 32,800/- | 62 nos | 20.336 | 0 | 0 | 24 nos | 7.872 | 38 nos | 12.464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| v | CC check Dams @ Rs. 29,900/each | 40 nos | 11.96 | 0 | 0 | 18 nos | 5.382 | 18 nos | 5.382 | 4 nos | 1.196 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vi | Run-off disposal channel @ Rs 26/Rm | 14454Rm | 3.758 | 0 | 0 | 2870 Rm | 0.746 | 6749 Rm | 1.7548 | 4835 Rm | 1.2572 | 0 | 0 |
| Vii | Dug-out ponds <br> @ 31900 | 7 nos | 2.233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 nos | 2.233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total of A- Drainage line Treatment |  | 324 Ha | 52.896 | 0 | 0 | 104.0 Ha | 17.00 | 212 ha | 33.4428 | 8 Ha | 2.4532 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| B | Arable Land Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| i | Improvement of Existing Paddy Fields @ Rs. $4300 / \mathrm{Ha}$ | 320 Ha | 13.76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 Ha | 13.76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ii | Half moon terrace @ Rs. 7500/Ha | 158 Ha | 11.85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 Ha | 11.85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| lii | Agro- horticulture (citrus) @Rs.8600/Ha | 240 Ha | 20.64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1300/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 Ha | 3.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.4600/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 Ha | 11.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | iii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2700/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 Ha | 6.48 | 0 | 0 |
| Iv | Agro- horticulture (temperate) @Rs.8350/Ha | 180 Ha | 15.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1100/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 Ha | 1.98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.4880/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 Ha | 8.784 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | iiii Second year Maintenance @ Rs. 2370/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 Ha | 4.266 | 0 | 0 |
| V | Agro- horticulture(arecanut) @Rs 12,680/Ha (238p//Ha) | 80 Ha | 10.144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1490/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 Ha | 1.192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.8664/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 Ha | 6.9312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | iii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2526/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 Ha | 2.0208 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi | Agro- horticulture (banana) @Rs 7400/Ha | 60 Ha | 4.44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1080/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 Ha | 0.648 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.4020/ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 Ha | 2.412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs 2300/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 Ha | 1.38 | 0 | 0 |
|  | I of B - Arable Land Treatment | 1038 Ha | 75.864 | 0 | 0 | 240 Ha (C) | 3.12 | $\begin{aligned} & 798 \mathrm{Ha} \mathrm{(C)} \\ & 560 \mathrm{Ha} \text { (M) } \end{aligned}$ | 58.5972 | $560 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{M})$ | 14.1468 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| C | Non Arable Land Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Improvement of degraded natural forest @ Rs.3600/Ha | 590 Ha | 21.24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | i. Preliminary Year @ Rs. 700/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340 Ha | 2.38 | $250 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{C})$ | 1.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ii First year planting @ Rs. 1900/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $590 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{M})$ | 11.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | iii First year planting @ Rs.1000/Ha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $590 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{M})$ | 5.90 | 0 | 0 |
|  | I of C- Non Arable Land Treatment | 590 Ha | 21.24 | 0 | 0 | $340 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{C})$ | 2.38 | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{C}) \\ & 590 \mathrm{Ha}(\mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | 12.96 | 590Ha (M) | 5.90 | 0 | 0 |
|  | of Watershed Treatment/Development s | 1890 Ha | 150.00 | 0 | 0 | 684 Ha | 22.5 | 956 Ha | 105.00 | 250 Ha | 22.50 |  | 0 |
| II | Livelihood Activities |  |  |  |  | 1\% | 3.00 | 3\% | 9.00 | 6\% | 18.00 |  |  |


| A $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kitchen gardening, agri-implements @ } \\ & \text { Rs. } 2500 / \text { unit }\end{aligned}$ | 480 units | 12.00 | 0 | 0 | 120 units | 3.00 | 120 units | 3.00 | 240 units | 6.00 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B Piggery/ Poultry/ <br>  @ Rs. $10,000 /$ unit | 150 units | 15.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 units | 5.00 | 100 units | 10.00 | 0 | 0 |
| C $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tailoring, carpentry, black smithy @ Rs. } \\ & \text { 10,000/unit }\end{aligned}$ | 30 units | 3.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 units | 1.00 | 20 units | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Total of Livelihood Activities | 660 units 22 Ha | 30.00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 120 \text { units } \\ 2 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 3.0 | $\begin{gathered} 180 \text { units } \\ 7 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 9.00 | $\begin{gathered} 360 \text { units } \\ 13 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 18.00 | 0 | 0 |
| IIIIProduction System \& Micro Enterprise <br> A |  |  |  |  | 1\% | 3.00 | 5\% | 15.00 | 7\% | 21.00 |  |  |
| A $\begin{array}{l}\text { Mud brick making/ basket making/food } \\ \text { processing } \\ \\ \text { @ Rs. } 25,000 \text { / unit }\end{array}$ | 16 units | 4.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 units | 2.00 | 8 units | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| B Fishery @ Rs.25,000/each | 100 units | 25.00 | 0 | 0 | 12 units | 3.00 | 40 units | 10.00 | 48 units | 12.00 | 0 | 0 |
| CHorticulture nursery/ Floriculture <br> @ Rs $25,000 /$ nuit | 26 units | 6.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 units | 3.00 | 14 units | 3.50 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline \text { D } & \begin{array}{l} \text { Cash crop value addition @ Rs } \\ 50,000 / \text { unit } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7 units | 3.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 units | 3.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Total of Production System \& Micro Enterprise | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \text { units } \\ & 26 \mathrm{Ha} \end{aligned}$ | 39.00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { units } \\ 2 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 3.00 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \text { units } \\ 10 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 15.00 | 70 units 14 Ha | 21.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Total of 3 - Watershed Works phase | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ 2000 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 219.00 | 0 | 0 | 260 Ha | 28.50 | 1131 Ha | 129.00 | 179 Ha | 61.50 |  |  |
| $4 \begin{aligned} & \text { Consolidation \&Withdrawal Phase } \\ & \text { (PIA) }\end{aligned}$ | 5\% | 15.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5\% | 15.00 |
| Total of 4 | 5\% | 15.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5\% | 15.00 |
| Grand Total of 1 to 4 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 2000 \mathrm{Ha} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 300 | 0 | 18 | $690 \mathrm{ha}$. | 42 | 1131 ha. | 150.00 | 179 ha. | 75.00 |  | 15 |

Details of the types of areas covered under the IWMP Programme:


Fund provision for the IWMP projects from all sources:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of Projects | IWMP Fund |  | Funds from other sources in addition to IWMP funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  | Converge | nce funds |  | PP | Com | munity | Instit fin | tional nce | Others | Pl. specify) |  |
|  |  | Central Share | State <br> Share | Name of Scheme | Amount (Lakhs) | Name of private sector | Financial contribution | Name | Financial contribution | Name | Financi <br> al contribution | Name | Financial contribution |  |
| Ri Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { RB- } \\ \text { IWMP-I } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{270}{\text { lakhs }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { lakhs } \end{gathered}$ | NREGs | 15.00 | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | 285.00 |

Details of Project Fund Accounts of Distt. Agency and Watershed Committees:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Distt. Agency's Project Account details |  |  |  | Watershed Committee (WC) account details: |  |  |  |  |
| Sl. <br> No. | Names of States | Name of Districts | Names of Projects | Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened | Account Number (to be obtained confidentially) | Account type (Savings/ Current/ Others) |  <br> Designation of authorized persons who operate the account. | Name of Watershed Committee | Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened | Account number (to be obtained confiden-tially | Accoun <br> t type (Saving s/ current others) | Name \& Designation of authorized persons who operate the account. |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP- <br> I | Indian <br> Bank, <br> Nongpoh | 867300258 | Savings | Smti V. <br> Papang,DSWCO <br> SWCDept,Ri Bhoi <br> District, <br> Nongpoh | Umsarang W/C | Indian <br> Bank <br> Nongpoh | 883978664 | Savings | 1.H Jana Chairman WC 2.Shri ABS Swer, ASWCO and Secretary WC |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Umtymmen rupa | Indian <br> Bank <br> Nongpoh | 880451052 | Savings | 1.Chairman WC <br> 2. K.Iawphniaw,RO Secretary WC |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Umsahong | Indian <br> Bank <br> Nongpoh | 868289404 | Savings | 1.Chairman WC <br> 2. E.Kharbhih,RO <br> Secretary WC |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Umbyrshan | Indian <br> Bank <br> Nongpoh | 868278209 | Savings | 1.Chairman WC <br> 2. .Kharbhih,RO <br> Secretary WC |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Details of Convergence of IWMP with other Schemes:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Names of projects | Names of Departments with Schemes converging with IWMP | Fund made available to IWMP due to convergence (Rs. in lakh) | Name of activity/task/structure undertaken with converged funds | Reference no. of activity/ task/ structure in DPR ${ }^{\text {@ }}$ | Level at which decision for convergence was taken ${ }^{\$}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (a) Structures <br> (b) livelihoods <br> (c ) Any other (pl. specify) ${ }^{\#}$ |  |  |
| Ri bhoi | Iwmp I | C\&RD Dept |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | NREGS | 15.00 | Water harvesting | III C fisheries | Deputy <br> Commissioner |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Public-Private Partnership in the IWMP projects: NIL

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of project | Name of Private Sector Partner Agency | Type of agreement signed |  |  | Financial contribution |  | Partnership Interventions | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes | Comments |
|  |  |  | a) MoU | b)Contract | c) Any other (pl. specify) | IWMP | Private sector |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, total no. of projects under PPP; from Column no. 5, total no. of private companies/ agencies, from column no. 7, total amounts may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.
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## CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity Building is a process to systematically upgrade the skill of individuals or groups for achieving a specific target. Capacity building in the project has been planned for all the stake holders involved i.e. State Level, District Level, Project Level and Village Level. The relevant details pertaining to Capacity Building has been shown below.

## List of approved Training Institutes ${ }^{\circledR}$ for Capacity Building:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of | Full Address | Name \& |  | Area(s) |  | Performance |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | State | the <br> Training <br> Institute | Full Address with contact no., website \& e-mail | Designation of the Head of Institute | Type of Institute ${ }^{\#}$ |  | Accreditation details | Reference Year | No. of trainings assigned | No. of trainees to be trained | No. of trainings conducted | No. of trainees trained |
| 1 | Megh <br> alaya | SIRD | Nongsder, Ri Bhoi District | Shri A. Mawlong, Director | Rural developme nt | Capacity building | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, no. of training institutes, from column No. 9, total no. of category-wise trainings and trainees may be given at the end of the table for the entire country
\# Central govt. Dept./ State govt. Dept./ Autonomous Body/ Research Institutes/ Universities/ Others (pl. specify)
\$ Capacity Building/ Agriculture/ Horticulture/ Animal Husbandry/ Pisciculture/ Remote Sensing/ Water conservation/ Ground water/ Forestry/ livelihoods/ entrepreneurship development/ others (pl. specify)
${ }^{@}$ The training institutes must fulfill the conditions mentioned in the operations guidelines.
(i) Technical experts in fields required by IWMP
(ii) Past experiences
(iii) Annual Turnover
(iv) Receives funds either from the Central or State Government
(v) Publications
(vi) Not blacklisted by any Govt. organizations
vii) Audited accounts
(viii) Organizational structure

Capacity Building activities for the year ...2009-2010 as on ...31/03/2010... (dd/mm/yyyy)*-NA

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Stakeholders | Total no. of persons | No. of persons trained so far | No. of persons to be trained during current financial year | No. of persons trained during current financial year | Sources of funding for training |  | Funds utilised |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | a)DoLR | b)Any other (pl. specify) | a)DoLR | b)Any other (pl. specify) |
| SLNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DRDA/ZP cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIAs | 54 nos | 24nos | 30nos | NA | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| WDTs | 12nos | 12 nos | 12 nos | NA | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| UGs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHGs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WCs | 44nosx4 times | 44 nos | 44 nos | NA | 2.00 |  | 2.00 |  |
| GPs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community | 2635 nos | 687nos | 652 nos | NA | 2.00 |  | 2.00 |  |
| Others <br> (Pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2745 | 767 | 738 | NA | 6.00 |  | 6.00 |  |

Information, Education \& Communication (IEC) activities for the year 2009-2010 as on 31/03/2010 (dd/mm/yyyy)*-

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Activity | Executing agency | Estimated expenditure (Rs.) | Expenditure incurred <br> (Rs.) | Outcome <br> (may quantify, wherever possible) |
| NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.1: Other List of approved Training Institutes for Capacity Building:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N |  | Name \& |  |  |  | Performance |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | State | the <br> Training Institute | with contact no., website \& e-mail | Designati on of the Head of Institute | Type of Institute ${ }^{\#}$ | Area(s) of specialization ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | Accreditation details | Refer- <br> ence <br> Year | No. of trainings assigned | No. of trainees to be trained | No. of trainings conducted | No. of trainees trained |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \frac{\pi}{3} \\ & \frac{\pi}{60} \\ & \sum_{i}^{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NIRD } \\ & \text { (NER) } \end{aligned}$ | Guwahati | Director | Central Govt. | Remote Sensing, Rural Devt. | NA | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | SIRD | Nongsder | Director | State Govt. | Capacity Building | NA | - |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | RRTC | Umran | Director | Don-Bosco | Agri-Horti, Animal Husbandry, Entrepreneurship | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | ICAR | Umiam | Director | Central Govt. | Do | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | VTC | Kyrdem Kulai | Director | State Govt. | Animal Husbandry | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | Fruit Garden | Shillong | Director | State Govt. | Agri-Horti, Fruit Processing | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
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## CHAPTER VII

## EXPECTED OUTCOME

## Table 7.1 Water related outcomes:

Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas of the Country: State-wise * (in meters)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of Projects | Sources | Pre-Project level | Mid-term project level | Post-project level | Increase/decrease (Col. 8 - Col. 6) | Remarks |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP I | Open wells | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
|  |  | Bore wells | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
|  |  | Others (specify) | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |

*From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column nos. 6 to 9 , the average measurements, categorywise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the table. The data must be based on the average of the Ground Water Table depth collected by PIA with the help of concerned technical expert in the same sample of $10 \%$ of selected wells and bore wells in the villages in the watershed project area, during pre-project, mid-term and post-project periods.

## Table 7.2 Status of Drinking water:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of the project | Availability of drinking water (no. of months in a year) |  |  | Quality of drinking water |  |  | Comments |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { project } \end{gathered}$ | Post-project | Change in availability | Preproject | Postproject | Change in quality |  |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP I | 12 | NA | NA | Poor | NA | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, category-wise no. of projects, from column no. 5, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.3 Water Use efficiency:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of the project | Name of major crop | Water savings in cu.m. |  |  |  |
| District |  |  | through water saving devices ${ }^{\$}$ | through water conserving agronomic practices ${ }^{\#}$ | Any other (pl. specify) | Total |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP I | Paddy <br> Ginger <br> Pineapple <br> Vegetables <br> Arecanut <br> Betel leaf <br> Others | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 6 , practice-wise totals may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.
${ }^{\$}$ Sprinkler, Drip, PVC pipe, etc.
\# Vermi-compost, organic manuring, Mulching, Check basin, Alternate furrow, Ridges \& furrow \& other scientific practices

Table 7.4.Vegetation/ crop related outcomes:

## Table 7.4.1 Details of Karif crop area and yield in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of Projects | Name of crops | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
| Names of the District |  |  | Area (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | Total production (qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average <br> Yield per <br> ha (qtl) |  | Total production (qtl) |  |
|  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
| Ri Bhoi | IWMP I | Paddy |  | 485 |  | 2.69 |  | 1300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Maize |  | 128 |  | 2.25 |  | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ginger |  | 85 |  | 8.00 |  | 680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pineapple |  | 60 |  | 11.49 |  | 6894 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Arecanut |  | 45 |  | NA |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Betel leaf |  | 20 |  | NA |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others |  | 312 |  | NA |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - Irrigated Rf. - Rainfed

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names of States | Names of the Districts | Name of Projects | Name of crops | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sl. <br> No. |  |  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | Total production (qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average <br> Yield per <br> ha (qtl) |  | Total production (qtl) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
| 1 | MEGH | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RI} \\ & \mathrm{BHOI} \end{aligned}$ | IWMP I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total for the District | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - IrrigatedRf. - Rainfed

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names of States | $\begin{gathered} \text { Names } \\ \text { of the } \\ \text { Districts } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Projects | Name of crops | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | $\qquad$ |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | $\qquad$ |  | Area (ha) |  | Average <br> Yield per <br> ha (qtl) |  | Totalproduction(qtl) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
| 1 | MEGH | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathrm{RI} \\ \mathrm{BHOI} \end{array}$ | IWMP I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total for the District | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no.5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - IrrigatedRf. - Rainfed

Table 7.5 Increase/ Decrease in area under horticulture:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { project } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Duration } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Project } \end{aligned}$ | Existing area under horticulture (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/ Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under horticulture | Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under horticulture actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under horticulture |
| Ri bhoi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IWMP } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | 5 years | WC | 2009 | 255 Ha | 1038 ha |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 6 \& 7 , total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.


## Table 7.6 Increase/ Decrease in area under fuel-wood:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { project } \end{aligned}$ | Duration of Project | Existing area under fuel-wood (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/ Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under fuel-wood | Area under fuelwood proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under fuelwood actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under fuel-wood |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IWMP } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | 5 years | WC | 2009 | 30 Ha | 80 Ha |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 6 \& 7 , total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.7 Increase/ Decrease in area under fodder:

| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of project | Duration of Project | Existing area under fodder (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/ <br> Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under fodder | Area under fodder proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under fodder actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under fodder |
| Rib hoi | IWMP I | 5 years | WC | 2009 | Nil | Nil |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.8 Increase/ Decrease in Forest/vegetative cover:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of project | Duration of Project | Existing tree cover (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/ <br> Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under forest/vegetative cover | forest/vegetative cover area proposed to be covered under IWMP | forest/vegetative cover area actually covered under IWMP | Change in forest/vegetat ive cover area |
| Ri bhoi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IWMP } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 years | WC | 2009 | 285 Ha | 310 Ha |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

## Table 7.8 Livelihood related outcomes:

Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of Projects | Type of Animal | Pre-Project |  |  | Mid-term |  |  | Post-project |  |  | Remarks |
|  |  |  | No. | Yield | Income | No. | Yield | Income | No. | Yield | Income |  |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP I | Milchanimals | Nil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Animals for other purpose | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Pigge } \\ \text { ry } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 130 nos | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6,50,00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total for all projects |  |  | 130 nos | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6,50,00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total for all Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column nos. 5 to 8 , the total nos. of animals and the average yield and incomes, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.


## Table 7.9 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project | Name of activity | Funds required for the activity (Rs.) | Sources of funding (Rs.) |  |  |  | Actual <br> Expenditur <br> e incurred <br> on activity <br> (Rs.) | No. of beneficiaries trained |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries taking up activity |  |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  | Project <br> Fund | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefi } \\ & \text {-ciary } \end{aligned}$ | Others (pl. specify) | Total |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ri} \\ \text { bhoi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IWMP } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ | Piggery | 3.50 | iwmp | 35 |  | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Poultry | 3.50 | iwmp | 35 |  | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tailoring/ carpentry | 2.00 | iwmp | 20 |  | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mud brick | 2.50 | Iwmp | 10 |  | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Utility shop | 1.00 | Iwmp | 04 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Table 7.10 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

| 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity |  | Annual increase in income due to activity (Rs.) | Impact of livelihoods programme |  |  |  | Any other information <br> (Pl. Specify) |
|  |  | Migration(No. of beneficiaries) | Development of backward-forward linkages |  |  |
| Total | Grand total $(8+9)$ |  | Pre-project | Post-project | Pre-project | Post-project |  |
| NA | NA |  | NA | NA | NA |  |  | NA |

Table 7.11 Details of other livelihoods created for farmers:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project | Name of activity | Funds required for the activity (Rs.) | Sources of funding (Rs.) |  |  |  | Actual Expenditure incurred on activity (Rs.) | No. of farmers trained |  |  |  | No. of farmers taking up activity |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  | Project Fund | Beneficiary | Others (please specify) | Total |  | SF | MF | LF | Total | SF | MF | LF | Total |
| Ri bhoi | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { IWMP } \\ \text { I } \end{array}$ | Cash crop value addition | 0.50 | Iwmp | 01 |  | 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rice mill | 0.50 | Iwmp | 01 |  | 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fishery | 13.00 | Iwmp | 52 |  | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of activities, from column no. 6, total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, categorywise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.


## Details of other livelihoods created for farmers* (contd.)

|  | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$ employed indirectly in the activity |  | Annual increase in income due to activity (Rs.) | Impact of livelihoods programme |  |  |  | Any other information (Pl. Specify) |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Migration } \\ \text { (No. of beneficiaries) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Development of backwardforward linkages |  |  |
| Total | Grand total $(8+9)$ |  | Pre-project | Post-project | Pre-project | Post-project |  |
| NA | NA |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 7.12 Marketing related outcomes:

## Backward-Forward linkages *

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Type of Marketing Facility | Pre-project (no.) | During the project (no.) | Post-project (no.) |
|  |  | (A) Backward linkages |  |  |  |
|  |  | (i) Seed certification | No |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) Seed supply system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Fertilizer supply system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Pesticide supply system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (v) Credit institutions | No |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) Water supply | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) Extension services | No |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) Nurseries | No |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) Tools/machinery suppliers | No |  |  |
|  |  | (x) Price Support system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) Labour | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (xii) Any other (please specify) | No |  |  |
|  |  | (B) Forward linkages |  |  |  |
|  |  | (i) Harvesting/threshing machinery | No |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) Storage (including cold storage) | No |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Road network | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Transport facilities | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (v) Markets / Mandis | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) Agro and other Industries | No |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) Milk and other collection centres | No |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) Labour | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) Any other (please specify) | No |  |  |

 wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

## Abstract of outcomes:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | State | Item | Unit | Pre-project Status | Post-project Status | Remarks |
|  |  | Status of water table |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Ground water structures repaired/ rejuvenated |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Quality of drinking water |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Availability of drinking water |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in irrigation potential |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Change in cropping/ land use pattern |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Area under agricultural crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | i ${ }^{\text {Area under single crop }}$ |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | ii Area under double crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | iii Area under multiple crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Net increase in crop production area |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in area under vegetation |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in area under horticulture |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in area under fuel \& fodder |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in milk production |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | No. of SHGs |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in no. of livelihoods |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in income |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Migration |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | No. of school going children |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | SHG Federations formed |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Credit linkage with banks |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Resource use agreements |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | WDF collection \& management |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Summary of lessons learnt | May be attached as a separate file |  |  |  |

Table 7.13 Details of rights conferred in the CPRs of the project areas: NA

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of the projects | Names of the villages | Particular of CPR | Nature of right | Period of right |  | efici | details milies) | 10. of | User Charges (Rs.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | SC | ST | Others | Total |  |
| RI BHOI | IWMP I <br> Umsarang | Umsarang, Nonglakhiat, Lumsohphoh, Lumshyiap | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Umtymmen rupa | Lumsohpieng, Pamphret, Dongpyngrope | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Umbyrshan | Iamkhon, Umtyrkhang | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Lower Umsahong | Mawshunam Mawlaho Mawpat, | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

 totals for the entire country may be given at the end of the table.
@ In column no.6, the categories given in table no. $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{SP}) 10$, column 5 may be filled as required.
\# In column no. 7, only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.
F for right to fishing [culture, harvest and sale]

| Fw | for right to | collect firewood for domestic purposes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | for right of | grazing for cattle and |
| MFP | for right to | collect and sell minor forest produces |
| P | for right to | passage across the CPR |
| Rd | for right to | construct a road for access to individual property |
| S/M | for right to | collect and sell sand and minerals |
| So | for right to | collect soil for nursery and plantation activities and constructions |
| T | for right to | collect timber for construction of house |
| Wd | for right to | collect/ use water for drinking |
| Wi | for right to | use water for irrigation |
| O | for any right other than indicated above (please specify) |  |
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ANNEXURE II

SOCIO - ECONOMIC ABSTRACT

## SOCIO - ECONOMIC ABSTRACT

| Name of <br> Micro <br> Watershed | No. of Male | No. of Female | Total No. of Population | SC \% | ST \% | Landless Labourers $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Umtymmen Rupa | 453 nos. | 372 nos. | 825 nos. | - | 100\% | NA |
| Umsarang | 579 nos. | 467 nos. | 1046 nos. | - | 100\% | 0.2\% |
| Umbyrshan | 297 nos. | 275 nos. | 572 nos. | - | 100\% | 2\% |
| Lower Umsahong | 302 nos. | 286 nos. | 588 nos. | - | 100\% | 2\% |
| TOTAL | 1631 | 1400 | 3031 | - | 100 \% |  |

ANNEXURE III COST ESTIMATES
A. SPECIFICATIONS \& COSTS OF LOOSE BOULDER BUNDS

Top Width $=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$
Bottom Width $=\quad 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Height $=0.9 \mathrm{~m}$
Length $=10 \mathrm{~m}$

1/3.11 Providing dry stone masonry walls etc....
$10 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{0.4+1.0}{2} \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90=6.30 \mathrm{~m}^{3} @$ Rs. $1191 / \mathrm{m}^{3} \quad=\quad$ Rs. $7,500.00$

Total $=$ Rs.7,500.00
(Rupees Seven Thousand Five Hundred) only.

## MODEL NORMS PER HECTARE FOR AGRO - HORTICULTURE WITH CITRUS FRUIT

 (INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)
## (Rate as per PWD, SOR for R\&B 2008-2009)

Spacing - $8 \mathrm{mx} \times 6 \mathrm{~m}$
Plant Density - 200 Nos.
A. Preliminary works
I. Site clearance

3 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 300.00
II. Pit digging (pit size $0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ )

200 Nos. @ Rs.5/- each $\quad$ Total $\quad-\quad \frac{\text { Rs. } 1000.00}{\text { Rs. } 1300.00}$
B. First year Planting
I. Cost of planting materials 200 Nos. @ Rs.10/- each - Rs.2000.00
II. Cost of planting 200 Nos. @ Rs. 3/- each - Rs. 600.00
III. Weeding two times

20 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday $\quad$ Total $\quad-\quad$ Rs. 4600.00
C. Second year Planting
I. Refilling vacancy (10\%) - Rs. 360.00
II. Weeding two times

20 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs.2000.00
III Plant protection measures including cost of chemical - Rs. 340.00

Total - Rs. 2700.00
Grand Total A+B+C = Rs. $1300.00+$ Rs. $4600.00+$ Rs. $2700.00=$ Rs. 8600.00
(Rupees Eight thousand six hundred) only.

## MODEL NORMS PER HECTARE FOR AFFORESTATION WITH PINE/

## NON-PINE (INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)

(Rate as per PWD, SOR for R\&B 2008-2009)
Spacing 6 mx 5.5 m
Plant Density - 300 Nos.
B. Preliminary works
J. Jungle clearance etc.

5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday Rs. 500.00
II. Pit digging (pit size $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) 300 Nos. @ Rs.4/- each

Total

- $\quad \frac{\text { Rs. } 1200.00}{\text { Rs. } 1700.00}$
B. First year Planting
I. Cost of planting materials 300 Nos. @ Rs.8/- each

Rs. 2400.00
II. Cost of planting 300 Nos. @ Rs. 2/- each

- Rs. 600.00
III. Weeding two times 20 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 2000.00
IV. Fire protection measures 5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday

Total - $\quad \frac{\text { Rs. } 5500.00}{}$
C. Second year Planting
I. Vacancy refilling (10\%) - Rs. 400.00
II. Weeding two times 20 mandays
@ Rs.100/- per manday
Rs. 2000.00
III. Fire protection measures

5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 500.00
Total - Rs. 2900.00
Grand Total $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}=$ Rs. $1700.00+$ Rs. $5500.00+$ Rs. $2900.00=\quad$ Rs. 10100.00
(Rupees Ten thousand one hundred) only.
C. Preliminary works
K. Site clearance

3 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday
Rs. 300.00
II. Pit digging (pit size $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) 100 Nos. @ Rs.4/- each

Total

- Rs. 400.00
B. First year Planting
I. Cost of planting materials

100 Nos. @ Rs.8/- each

- Rs. 800.00
II. Cost of planting 100 Nos. @ Rs. 2/- each
- Rs. 200.00
III. Round Weeding around the plant four times 5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 500.00
IV. Fire protection measures 4 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 400.00
C. Second year Planting
I. Refilling vacancy (10\%) - Rs. 100.00
III. Round Weeding around the plant four times 5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday

Rs. 500.00
III. Fire protection measures
4 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday $\quad$ Total $\quad-\quad \frac{\text { Rs. } 400.00}{\text { Rs. } 1000.00}$

Grand Total $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}=$ Rs. $700.00+$ Rs. $1900.00+$ Rs. $1000.00=\quad$ Rs. 3600.00
(Rupees Three thousand six hundred) only.

## MODEL ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF WATER HARVESTING STRUCTURE

The rate based as per P.W.D Schedule of rates for Roads, Bridges and
E \& D Works 2008-2009)
Name of Beneficiary
Name of LocationNillage -
1/5 (a) Earthwork in excavation for abutment and wing walls of bridges and exlverte, up to the desired founding lovel the foundation sidos ity adequate shoring, soafrolding and
including leveling the foundation longitudinally and
transversely
transversely etc.

## $1 \times 0.00 \times 1.00 \times 0.50$ $1 \times 1.90 \times 1.50 \times 0.35$ $\times 1.90 \times 0.20 \times 0.30$ <br> $=\quad 3.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ $=\quad 0.99 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ $=\quad 0.11 \mathrm{~m}^{33}$

co Rs. $124.00 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ Providing cement concrete work in proportion $1: 4: 8$ with
hard brokem stone aggregates iomm down graded,
including necessary cariage of stone and sand within a including necessary carriage of stone and sand
distance of 200 m and curing. complete as direoted.

$$
1 \times 6.00 \times 1.00 \times 0.10 \quad=\quad=0.60 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

$3 / 41$ Providing shuttering with dressed planks not less thar 26mm thick properly joined including battens. props to the hardened.
$1 \times 6.00 \times 1.10$
$1 \times 6.00 \times 1.20$
$2 \times 5.00 \times 0.40$
$4 \times 1.70 \times 0.30$
$4 \times 1.20 \times 0.30$
$4 \times 0.40 \times 0.30$
$2 \times 1.20 \times 0.20$
$2 \times 0.40 \times 0.20$
$2 \times 1.90 \times 0.20$

| $=\quad 6.60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| :--- |
| $=$ |
| $200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

4.20 m

| 4.00 m |
| :--- |
| 2.40 |

$1.44 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$0.48 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$0.48 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$0.48 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$0.16 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$0.76 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$23.16 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
(a) Rs.295.00/m² Providing cement concrete work in abutments, Wing walls aggregates 40 mm down graded including necessary carriage of stone and sand within a distance of 200 m etc
$1 \times 6.00 \times 1.00 \times 0.40$
$1 \times 6.00 \times \frac{1.00+0.50}{2} \times 1.10$
$2 \times 2.25 \times 0.50 \times 0.40$
$2 \times 1.70 \times 0.50 \times 0.20$
$2 \times 1.70 \times 0.50 \times 0.20$
$2 \times 1.20 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$
$\times 0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$
$2 \times 1.20 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$
$2 \times 0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$
$1 \times 1.90 \times 0.80 \times 0.20$
$1 \times 1.90 \times 1.50 \times 0.10$
- 2.40 m
$-4.95 \mathrm{~m}$
$=\quad 0.90 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$\begin{array}{ll}= & 0.90 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\ = & 0.34 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\end{array}$
0.90 m
0.34 m
0.14 m
0.05 m
0.19 m
(a) Rs. $2344.00 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\qquad$

5/24 Providing stone pitching with one man size boulders not less than $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ long including filling the interstices with spoils and carriage of stone within a distance of 200 m complete as directed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \times 1.90 \times 1.50 \times 0.25=0.71 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& \text { (1) Rs. } 512.00 / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rs. 363.52
6/27 Providing 12 mm thick cement plastering including cleaning surface, curing and carriage of sand within 200 m complete.

(Rupees Thirty two thousand eight hundred) only.

## MODEL ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF C.C CHECK DAM

(The rate based as per PWD Schedule of rate for Reads, bridges and E\&D Works 2008 - 2009)

Name of Beneficiary -
Name of Location/ Village -
$1 / 5$ (a) Earthwork in excavation for abutment and wing walls of bridges and culverts, up to the desired etc complete.
$1 \times 5.80 \times 1.00 \times 0.50=2.90 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$1 \times 4.85 \times 1.50 \times 0.30=2.18 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$5.08 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
@ Rs. $124.00 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$=$ Rs. 629.92

2/26 providing cement concrete work in prop 1:4:8 with hard broken stone aggregates 40 mm etc complete.
$1 \times 5.80 \times 1.00 \times 0.10=0.58 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
@ Rs. $2136.00 / \mathrm{m}^{3}=$ Rs. 1236.88
3/41(a) Providing shuttering with dressed planks not less than 25 mm thick etc complete.
$1 \times 5.80 \times 1.10=6.38 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$1 \times 5.80 \times 1.20=6.96 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$2 \times 3.50 \times 0.40=2.80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$1 \times 7.85 \times 0.05=0.39 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$16.53 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
@ Rs. 295.00/m ${ }^{2}$
= Rs. 7876.35

Providing cement concrete work in abutments, wing walls etc complete

$$
1 \times 5.80 \times 1.00 \times 0.40=2.32 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

$$
1 \times 5.80 \times \frac{1.00+0.50}{2} \times 1.10=4.78 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

$$
2 \times 1.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.40=0.60 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

$$
1 \times 4.85 \times 1.50 \times 0.10=0.73 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

$$
=8.43 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

@ Rs. 2344.00/m³

$$
\text { = Rs. } 19759.92
$$

Providing stone pitching with one man size boulder not less than $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ etc complete $1 \times 4.85 \times 1.50 \times 0.25=1.82 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
@ Rs. $512.00 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
=Rs. 931.84

6/27 Providing 12mm thick cement plastering including cleaning surface etc complete
$1 \times 5.80 \times 1.10=6.38 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$1 \times 5.80 \times 1.20=6.96 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$2 \times 3.50 \times 0.40=2.80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$1 \times 5.80 \times 0.50=2.90 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$1 \times 4.85 \times 1.50=7.28 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

$$
=26.32 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

@ Rs. $93.00 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

|  | $=$ Rs. 2447.76 |
| :--- | :--- |
| TOTAL | $=$ Rs. 29884.67 |

Say Rs. 29,900.00
(Rupees twenty Nine thousand nine hundred) only

## COST NORMS FOR RUN-OFF DISPOSAL CHANNEL

## (INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)

(Rate as per P.W.D. schedule of rate for Road \& Bridge 2008-2009)

| Specification - Top width -1.00 m |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Bottom width | -0.70 m |
| Depth | -1.2 m |

1/3(a) Earthwork in excavation etc., in ordinary soil.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1 \mathrm{mx} \frac{1.00+0.7}{2} \times 1.2 \mathrm{~m}=1.02 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \quad @ \text { Rs.26.00/-per } \mathrm{m}_{-}^{3} \ldots . \quad \text { Rs. } 26.52 \\
\text { Total = Rs. } 26.52
\end{array}
$$

Say Rs.26.00

In words (Rupees twenty six) only.

