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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Project Background:

The Umlathu and Umsyei Watershed (IWMP-II) project is located in Jirang C\&RD Block, Ri Bhoi District of Meghalaya. Consisting of two microwatersheds, the Project area is drained by the Umsyei and Umlathu Stream flowing in a south to north direction. The total area is 2361 Ha. with 1000 Ha to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

The Project area is located at a distance of about 55 km from Nongpoh the District Head Quarter and about 135 km from Shillong the State Capital. A total of seven villages are covered under the project. These are -

1. Old Tasku
2. Lailad rim
3. Lailad jingkieng
4. Pahamjula
5. Pynker
6. Pillingku
7. Umlathu

### 1.2 Micro-watershed Information:

The Sub-watershed code is 3B2A1a21 for Umsyei and 3B1c7a1f for Umlathu as codified by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The total area of the micro-watershed is 2361 Ha ., with 1000 hectares to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

### 1.3 Need and Scope for Watershed Development:

The Sub-watershed 3B2A1a2l and 3B1c7a1f falls under the Medium Priority category as per the prioritization of watersheds by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). Located on the Northern aspect sloping towards Assam the 7 villages do have road connectivity. There are 127 nos of households in Umsyei Watershed and 165 nos of households in Umlathu Watershed. Jhum cultivation is still practiced by most of the inhabitants of these villages on the slopes.Even though the area receives ample rainfall during the monsoons, there is acute shortage of water during the dry seasons and the villagers have to travel long distances for fetching water even for domestic use.

### 1.4 Other developmental projects/schemes running in the Project Area:

The other developmental projects/schemes undertaken in the Project Area are:-

## i. MGNREGS

ii. Border Area Development Programme (BADP)
iii. Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC)
iv. Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY )
v. Indira Awas Yojana (IAY)

## CHAPTER II <br> BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

### 2.1 Location:

The Project area is located within the area of Raid Nongkhlaw Syiemship for Umsyei Watershed and Raid Marwet for Umlathu Watershed under Jirang C\&RD Block of Ri Bhoi District. It is situated at a distance of about 55 km from Nongpoh the district Head Quarter and about 110 km from Shillong which is also the State Capital. The geographical location is between $91^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ to $91^{\circ} 47$ ' E Longitude and $25^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ to $25^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Latitude for Umsyei Watershed; $91^{0} 45^{\prime}$ to $91^{0} 47^{\prime}$ E Longtitude and $26^{\circ}$ to $26^{0} 2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Latitude for Umlathu Watershed. There are 7 villages within the Watershed which are as follows - Umsyei Watershed

1. Old Tasku Umlathu Watershed
2. Lailad rim
3. Lailad jingkieng
4. Pahamjula
5. Umlathu
6. Pynker
7. Pillingku

## Physiography:

The physiography of the micro-watershed is moderately undulating. The altitude ranges from a minimum of 300 m to a high of 560 m for Umlathu Watershed and 200 m to 660 m for Umsyei watershed above the mean sea level. In the lower reaches (valley lands) the slope ranges from $0 \%$ to $20 \%$, however, in the middle and upper reaches it is greater than $45 \%$, and can reach up to $70 \%$.
Table 2.1: Physiographic details

| Elevation (metres) | Slope Range (\%) | Order of watershed Sub/Micro-watershed | Major streams | Topography |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 m to 560 m | $0 \%$ to $70 \%$ | Micro Watershed | Umlathu | Moderately Sloping |
| 200 m to 660 m | $0 \%$ to $70 \%$ | Micro Watershed | Umsyei | Moderately Sloping |

2.2 Drainage: The major stream draining the micro-watershed is the Umlathu and the Umsyei stream which is a 3rd order stream flowing in a south- north direction. The slopes of the micro-watershed are dissected by numerous small tributaries flowing to the Umlathu and Umsyei.
2.3 Soil: Soil Texture is gravelly on the sloping lands and clayey to sandy clay on the low lying areas. Soil depth varies from shallow to deep. Soils are permeable and generally acidic in nature. Owing to moderately undulating land form and absence of good vegetation cover, the area is exposed to erosion hazards. The soil nutrient status in the area shows a general trend of low phosphorous content
Table 2.2: Details of soil erosion in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. <br> No. | Names of State | Names of District | Names of Projects | Cause | Types of erosion | Area affected (ha) | Run-off (mm/ year) | Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year) |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang <br> (1) Umsyei watershed | Water erosion: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | a | Sheet | 1730 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | b | Rill | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | c | Gully | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | total | 1730 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wind ero | sion | Nil | Nil | Nil |


| 1 | Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II <br> Jirang <br> (1) Umlathu watershed | Water erosion: |  |  |  | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | a | Sheet | 320 | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | b | Rill | 210 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  | c | Gully | 100 | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | total | 630 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wind ero | ion | Nil | Nil | Nil |

2.4 Climate: The area in the foothills or low lying areas and mid-slopes are hot in summer and remain warm throughout the winter. The area on the higher reaches is hot during summer and warm during winter. The average annual rainfall is 1500 mm .

Table 2.3: Agro-climatic zones of the project areas, soil types, average rainfall and major crops.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of State | Name of the Agroclimatic zone | Area (in ha) | Names of |  | Major soil types |  | Average annual rainfall in mm (preceding 5 years' average) | Major crops |  |
|  |  |  |  | districts | Names of the Projects | $\begin{gathered} \text { a) } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | b) Area (ha) |  | a) <br> Name | b) Area (ha) |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Hills of Northern Slopes (300-1200m) Warm Humid Hyperthermic) | $\begin{gathered} 1730 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | Ri-Bhoi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RB- IWMP -II } \\ & \text { Jirang } \end{aligned}$ | i. Loamy <br> ii. Clayey <br> Loam | $\begin{gathered} 1073 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 1205 mm <br> Patharkhmah | Paddy | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ginger | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pine apple | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tea | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vegetable | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arecanut | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Betle leaf | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Others | 02 |
| 2 | Meghalaya | Hills of Northern Slopes (300-1200m) Warm Humid Hyperthermic | 631.00 | RiBhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang | i. Loamy <br> ii. Clayey Loam | 631 | 1205 mm <br> Patharkhmah | Paddy <br> Arecanut <br> Betel leaf <br> Broom sticks | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ 60 \\ 20 \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ |

2.5 Agriculture: Agriculture is the primary occupation of the people of the area.The principal agricultural crops grown are Hill Paddy,Banana, and vegetables. Fruit crops are well suited in the lower reaches which include, orange, pineapple, jackfruit, litchi. The slopes of the Lower Umlathu are also very suitable for betel nut, betel leaf, black pepper, broomstick, which contribute to the income of the people.

## Table 2.4: Crop yield and production

| Crops | Area <br> (ha) | Average Yield <br> (Qtl) per ha. | Total Production <br> (Qtl.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Betel nut | 20 | 5.56 | 111.20 |
| Betel leaf | 30 | 10 | 300 |
| Oranges | 50 | 72.61 | 3630.50 |
| Bay leaf | 10 | 23.5 | 235 |
| Broom stick | 560 | 60 | 33600 |
| Black pepper | 20 | 6.05 | 121 |
| Banana | 15 | 163.56 | 2453.40 |
| Pineapple | 30 | 115 | 3450 |

2.6 Natural Vegetation: The tree species common to the watershed area includes - Quercus spp. Castanopsis spp. Toona ciliata, Albizia spp. Aporosa spp. Bahunia variegata Duabanga spp. and Ficus spp. However, due to Stone Quarrying the forest cover of the area has reduced considerably.
2.7 Socio-Economic Profile: Economically, the project area is still backward compare to other part of the district. The main reason is due to the absence of road communication, primitive way of agricultural practices, excessive stonequarrying and the terrain of the area.

Demographic Status: The total households in the watershed project is 292 with a total population of 1460, of which 750 are male and 710 are female. The detail of the household in each of the villages in the watershed project is as follows:

Umlathu Watershed=165 Households
Umsyei Watershed $=127$ Households

## Infrastructure facilities :

2.1.1 Roads: All the villages within the Project Area are not connected by road. The Project area(Umlathu Watershed) depends entirely on the kutcha road connected to Pahamjula.
2.1.2 School: There are only 5 L.P Schools within the Project Area run either by the Mission or by the Government.
2.1.3 Electricity : Connections have been provided but some villages are yet to have electricity.
2.1.4 Health : No Community Health Centre is available in the Project Area, the local community has to depends on facilities available at Beltola or Guwahati.
2.1.5 Water Supply : Drinking water supply have been provided by the PHE Deptt.. However, during lean season the entire population have to depend on springs,ringwells available in the area as the supply is not sufficient to meet the daily requirement.
2.1.6 Market : There is a weekly market held once in a week at Byrnihat and Beltola. However, the main market where the people sell their produce is at Beltola and also at Shillong.

Table 2.5: Details of infrastructure in the project area:

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Project } \end{gathered}$ | Parameters: |  | Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  | 1-UMSYEI |  |  |  | 2-UMLATHU |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ri} \\ & \text { Bhoi } \end{aligned}$ | RB-IWMP- | (i) | No. of villages connected to the main road by an allweather road. | 1 |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) | No. of village provided with electricity | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) | No. of households without access to drinking water | 127 |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) | No. of educational institutions: <br> Primary (P)/ Secondary (S)/ Higher Secondary (HS)/ <br> Vocational institution (VI) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (P) } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (S) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (HS) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (VI) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | (P) $4$ | (S) NIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (HS) } \\ & \text { NIL } \end{aligned}$ | (VI) <br> NIL |
|  |  | (v) | No. of village with access to Primary Health Centre | 1 |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) | No. of village with access Veterinary Dispensary | Nil |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) | No. of village with access Post Office | 1 |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) | No. of village with access Banks | Nil |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) | No. of village with access Markets/ mandis | 1 |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (x) | No. of village with access Agro-Industries | Nil |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) | Total quantity of surplus milk | Nil |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xii) | No. of milk collection centres (e.g. Union (U)/ Society (S)/ Private agency (PA)/ Others (O)) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (U) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (S) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (PA) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (O) } \\ & \text { Nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (U) } \\ & \text { NIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (S) } \\ & \text { NIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (PA) } \\ & \text { NIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{O}) \\ & \text { NIL } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | (xiii) | No. of villages with access to Aganwadi Centres | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xiv) | Any other facilities with no. of villages (please specify) | Nil |  |  |  | NIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2.8 Livestock: There are only 4 kinds of livestock farming being farmed in the area viz. Piggery, Poultry,Goatery and Dairy.

Table 2.6: Existing livestock population

| Type of Animal | Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| Piggery Farming | 150 Nos |
| Poultry Farming | 886 Nos |
| Dairy Farming | 117 Nos |
| Goatery Farming | 48 Nos |

2.9 Land ownership: There are primarily two types of land holding system, namely private lands (Ri Kynti i.e. individually owned land) and community lands (Ri Kur i.e. clan land and Ri Raid i.e. village community land).

Table 2.7:Details of land holding pattern in the project area:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of the Projects | Types of Farmer | No. of households | No. of BPL households | Land holding (ha) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang (1).Umsyei watershed | (i) Large | 14 nos |  | 0 | 202 | 202 |
|  |  | (ii) Small | 68 nos | 8 | 0 | 255 | 255 |
|  |  | (iii) Marginal | 37 nos | 13 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
|  |  | (iv) Landless | 08 nos | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Sub - Total | 127 nos | 29 | 0 | 500 | 500 |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang <br> (2).Umlathu Watershed | (i) Large | NIL |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) Small | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Marginal | 126 | 118 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Landless | 31 | 31 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sub - Total | 165 | 149 | NIL | 340 | 340 |

Table 2.8:Details of Common property resources of the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of the Projects | CPR <br> Particulars | Total Area (ha) <br> Area owned/ In possession of |  |  |  | Area available for treatment (ha) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pvt. Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Pl. specify) | Pvt. Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Pl. specify) |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Umsyei watershed | (i) Wasteland/ degraded land | 235 |  |  | 40 clan land | 235 |  |  | 40 |
|  |  | (ii) Pastures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (iii) Orchards | 140 |  |  | - | 140 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Village woodlot |  |  |  | 2 clan land |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | (v) Forest | 40 |  |  | 30 clan land | 40 |  |  | 30 |
|  |  | (vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks |  |  |  | 1 village durbar |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | (vii) Community Buildings (Health Dept) |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 1 |
|  |  | (viii) Weekly Markets |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | (ix) Permanent Markets |  |  |  | Nil |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | (x) Temples/ Places of worship |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | (xi) Others (Pl. specify)playground |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Ri-bhoi | RB-IWMP-II <br> Umlathu watershed | (i) Wasteland/ degraded land | 110 |  | 150 |  | 110 |  | 100 |  |
|  |  | (ii) Pastures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Orchards | 80 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Village woodlot | 80 |  |  |  | 80 |  | 50 |  |
|  |  | (v) Forest | 70 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  |
|  |  | (vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) Community Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) Weekly Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) Permanent Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (x) Temples/ Places of worship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) Others (Pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | 340 |  | 195 |  | 310 |  | 190 |  |

Table 2.8:Details of Common property resources of the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of District | Name of the Projects | CPR <br> Particulars | Total Area (ha) <br> Area owned/ In possession of |  |  |  | Area available for treatment (ha) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pvt. Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Pl. specify) | Pvt. Person | Govt. (specify deptt.) | PRI | Any other (Pl. specify) |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II <br> Umsyei watershed | (i) Wasteland/ degraded land | 235 |  |  | 40 clan land | 235 |  |  | 40 |
|  |  | (ii) Pastures | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (iii) Orchards | 140 |  |  | - | 140 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Village woodlot |  |  |  | 2 clan land |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | (v) Forest | 40 |  |  | 30 clan land | 40 |  |  | 30 |
|  |  | (vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks |  |  |  | 1 village durbar |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | (vii) Community Buildings (Health Dept) |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 1 |
|  |  | (viii) Weekly Markets |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | (ix) Permanent Markets |  |  |  | Nil |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | (x) Temples/ Places of worship |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | (xi) Others (Pl. specify)playground |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Ri-bhoi | RB-IWMP-II <br> Umlathu watershed | (i) Wasteland/ degraded land | 110 |  | 150 |  | 110 |  | 100 |  |
|  |  | (ii) Pastures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Orchards | 80 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Village woodlot | 80 |  |  |  | 80 |  | 50 |  |
|  |  | (v) Forest | 70 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  |
|  |  | (vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) Community Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) Weekly Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) Permanent Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (x) Temples/ Places of worship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) Others (Pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | 340 |  | 195 |  | 310 |  | 190 |  |

2.10 Land Use and Land Cover:As per the Land Use Land Cover Map generated by NESAC,Meghalaya from Sattellite Image taken during 2005-06(LISS-III Image) the Watershed Area has been broadly classified into the following land uses:

## UMSYEI WATERSHED

Built up Areas
Forest Closed
Current Shifting Cultivation
Tree Clad Open
Tree Clad Close
Wastelands-Dense Scrub
Wastelands-Open Scrub
Water Bodies-River/Sream
TOTAL

14 Ha
42 Ha
4 Ha
281 Ha
1035 Ha
292 Ha
15 Ha
39 Ha
1722 Ha

## UMLATHU WATERSHED

_

$$
53.82 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

$$
368.30 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

209.05 Ha
-
631.18 Ha
2.11 Problems of the Area : The primary problems of the area is Jhum Cultivation. Majority of the population depends on Jhum Cultivation for their livelihood. Vast tracks of abandoned Jhum areas are converted to Broomstick Cultivation which has further degraded the capability of the land. Mention may also be made here that the land use categorized as Tree-clad Area-open in the land used land cover map generated using Satellite Images of 2005 2006 are actually Broom-stick cultivation areas. In other words, unscientific method of cultivation has not only reduced the Jhum cycle, low crop yield but had adversely affected the ecological balance within the area. Road communication is another infrastructural problems that the area is facing where large volume crops like pineapple, jackfruits etc do not find their way into the market which has resulted in poor socio-economic status of the people. However, to control or to overcome the said problems an innovative approach has been formulated and documented in the Action Plan or the Treatment Plan the Detailed Project Report. The method of identification of the problems is through the Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercises conducted in all the villages within the Watershed.

## CHAPTER III

## PROJECT PLANNING \& INSTITUTION BUILDING

### 3.1 Scientific Planning

i) Base Line Survey: To establish a benchmark for assessing the impact of any intervention (pre-project \& post project) a baseline survey is essential. The baseline survey included household census \& socio-economic survey by using structured and semi -structured questionnaires, bio-physical survey to identify and assess the status of natural resources in the project area.
ii) Participatory Rural Appraisal: To further obtain information on the project area, the people, resources, various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, seasonal calendars, matrix ranking, Venn diagrams were used.
iii) GIS \& Remote Sensing: To facilitate the process of prioritization and planning Geographic Information System was use. The land use and land cover (LULC) maps were prepared by the North Eastern Space Application Centre (NESAC) using the LISS III images (2006). The activities were located on the field by using GPS and accordingly transferred to the maps on GIS platform.

Table 3.1: Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP projects:

| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI.No. | Scientific criteria/ inputs used | No. of projects in which scientific criteria were used |
| A. | Planning |  |
|  | Cluster approach | 3 |
|  | Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the name of the Institute. | Yes, <br> NESAC, Nongsder |
|  | Baseline survey | Yes |
|  | Hydro-geological survey | No |
|  | Contour mapping | Yes |
|  | Participatory Net Planning (PNP) | Yes |


| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover | Yes |
|  | Ridge to Valley treatment | Yes |
|  | Online IT connectivity between |  |
|  | (1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP | Yes |
|  | (2) DRDA and SLNA | Yes |
|  | (3) SLNA and DoLR | Yes |
|  | Availability of GIS layers |  |
|  | 1. Cadastral map | No |
|  | 2. Village boundaries | No |
|  | 3. Drainage | Yes |
|  | 4. Soil (Soil nutrient status) | Yes |
|  | 5. Land use | Yes |
|  | 6. Ground water status | No |
|  | 7. Watershed boundaries | Yes |
|  | 8. Activity | Yes |
|  | Crop simulation models ${ }^{\#}$ | No |
|  | Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy for high speed soil nutrient analysis | No |
|  | Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)\# | Yes |
|  | Weather Stations | No |
| B. | Inputs |  |
|  | 1. Bio-pesticides | No |
|  | 2. Organic manures | Yes |
|  | 3. Vermi-compost | Yes |
|  | 4. Bio-fertilizer | Yes |
|  | 5. Water saving devices | No |
|  | 6. Mechanized tools/implements | Yes |
|  | 7. Bio-fencing | Yes |
|  | 8. Nutrient budgeting | Yes |
|  | 9. Automatic water level recorders \& sediment samplers | No |
|  | Any other (please specify) | - |
|  |  |  |

### 3.2 Project Implementing Agency:

The PIA is the Soil \& Water Conservation Division, Nongpoh, Ri Bhoi Hills District of Meghalaya. The Project Manager will be the Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer and will be assisted by an Asst. Soil \& Water Conservation Officer along with WDT members in which expertise is drawn from the relevant fields for achieving smooth and successful implementation of the project.

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of projects | Details of PIA |  |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP II | (i) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type of } \\ & \text { organization\# }\end{aligned}$ | Government Department |
|  |  | (ii) $\begin{gathered}\text { Name of } \\ \text { organization }\end{gathered}$ | Soil and Water Conservation Department, Government of Meghalaya |
|  |  | (iii) $\begin{gathered}\text { Designation \& } \\ \text { Address }\end{gathered}$ | Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer, Ri Bhoi Division, Nongpoh, Meghalaya. |
|  |  | (iv) Telephone | 03638232257 |
|  |  | (v) Fax | 03638232257 |
|  |  | (vi) E-mail | Dswco_ribhoi@yahoo.com |

### 3.3 Institution Building

i) Watershed Committee (WC)

The Watershed Committee of the Umlathu and Umsyei IWMP II was constituted with the active involvement of the villagers with strong support of the Traditional Institutions (Village Durbar/Council). The Umlathu and Umsyei Watershed Committee has been registered under the Society Registration Act 1983.

Table 3.2:Details of Watershed Committees (WC):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of projects | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy) | Designation | M/F | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Land- } \\ \text { less } \end{array}$ | UG | SHG | GP | Any other | Educational qualification | Function/s assigned\# |
| Ri Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP } \\ \text { II } \end{gathered}$ | Umsyei WC | April/2011 | Chairman | M |  | yes |  | yes |  |  |  |  | yes |  |  | A,B,D,E.F.G.H.I |
|  |  |  |  | Secretary | M |  | yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A to I |
|  |  |  |  | Member | 7M |  | 7/yes | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | A,B,E,F,G,H,I |
|  |  |  |  | Member | 2F |  | 2/yes | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A,B,E,F,G,H,I |
|  |  |  |  | Member |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A,B,E,F,G,H,I |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Umlathu } \\ & \text { WC } \end{aligned}$ | -do- | Chairman | M |  | yes |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | X | A,B,D,E.F.G.H.I |
|  |  |  |  | Secretary | M |  | yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | XII | A To I |
|  |  |  |  | Member | 7M |  | yes |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \text { to } \\ & \text { X } \end{aligned}$ | A,B,E,F,G,H,I |
|  |  |  |  | Member | 1M |  | yes |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | A,B,E,F,G,H,I |

* From column no.2, the total number of States, from column no.3, the total number of Districts; from column no.4, the total number of projects; from column no.5, the total number of watershed committees; from column no.6, the total number of registered watershed committees; from column no. 7 , the total number of members, and WCs without a President and/or without a Secretary, may be mentioned for the State as a whole. From column no.8, the total no. of male and female members may be mentioned separately. The totals of columns 9 to 18, for the entire country, may be mentioned at the end of the table.
\#\# In column 20 only the letter assigned, as below, needs to be typed, except for ' J ', where the type may be specifically mentioned.
A. PNP and PRA
C. Maintenance of Accounts
E. Supervision of construction activities
B. Planning
D. Signing of cheques and making payments
F. Cost Estimation
G. Verification \& Measurement
H. Record of labour employed
I. Social Audit
J. Any other (please specify).


## ii) Self Help Group

Awareness programmes were organized in the villages to inform and sensitize the people on the essence of organizing themselves in to homogenous groups for uplifting their livelihood especially for the women and the landless. Discussions were held at length with the WDT on the scope and procedure of group formation, availing credit, grading of the groups and so on.

Table 3.3: Details of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:NIL

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names | Names of projects | Total no. of registered SHGs |  |  |  | No. of members |  |  |  | No. of SC/ST in each category |  |  | No. of BPL in each category |  |  |
| of the Districts |  | With only Men | With only Women | With both | Total | Categories | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (i) Landless |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri | IWMP | Nil | Nil |  |  | (ii) SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bhoi | II | Nil | Nil |  |  | (iii) MF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iv) LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## iii) User Group

To manage the assets created and ensure their sustainability User Groups will be formed. The people have been sensitized on the importance of ensuring that the assets created are sustainably used and the essentiality of having User Groups for maintenance and operation of their assets.

Table 3.4: User Group Details

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of | Names of | Total no. of UGs |  |  |  | No. of members |  |  |  | No. of SC/ST in each category |  |  | No. of BPL in each category |  |  |
|  |  | Men | Women | Both | Total | Categories | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F | Total |
| Ri Bhoi | IWMP II | NA | NA | NA | NA | (i)Landless |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (ii) SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iii) MF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (iv) LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER IV PROJECT ACTIVITIES

### 4.1 Preparatory Phase:

i) Entry Point Activities (EPA)
(Financial - Rs. in lakh)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. <br> No. | State | District | Names of Project | Amount earmarked for EPA (Rs/lakhs) | E P A planned (Rs/lakhs) | Estimated cost (Rs/lakhs) | Expenditure incurred (Rs/lakhs) | Balance <br> (Rs/lakhs) | Expected outcome | Actual outcome |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang (1).Umsyei watershed | Rs.3.00 | Drinking Water-4 nos <br> Footbridge-1 no Water Harvesting pond-1 no | Rs. 3.00 | Rs. 3.00 | Nil | Clean drinking water, safe crossing of stream, ground water recharge | Clean drinking water, safe crossing of stream, ground water recharge |
| 2 | Meghalaya | Ri-bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang (2).Umlathu Watershed | 3.00 lakhs. | Construction Of village Road \& Foot Bridge | 3.00 | 3.00 | NIL | Infrastructural Developments |  |

ii) Other activities of Preparatory Phase:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of <br> Projects | Initiation <br> of village <br> level <br> institution | Capacity <br> building | IEC <br> activities | Baseline <br> survey | Hydro- <br> geological <br> survey | Identifying <br> technical <br> support <br> agencies | Resource <br> agree- <br> ments | Preparation <br> of DPR | Evaluation <br> of DPR | Any <br> other <br> (please <br> specify) | Cost <br> incurred <br> (Rs. In <br> lakh) |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> Umsyei <br> watershed | Yes | Yes | NO | PRA | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | - |
| Ri-bhoi | RB-IWMP-II <br> Umlathu <br> watershed | Yes | Yes | NO | PRA | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2 Watershed Works Phase:

4.2.1 Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of Distric ts | Name of Projects | Type of structures | Pre Project |  |  | Proposed Proje |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Name |  |  |  |  |  |  | Augmentation/ repair of existing structures |  |  |  | Construction of new structures |  |  |  | Total target |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | of States |  |  |  | No | irrigate <br> d (ha) | capacit <br> y(Ha) | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimate d cost | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Estimat } \\ & \text { ed } \\ & \operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{Rs} \\ & \text { lakhs) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | Area to be treated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimated cost |
| 1 | Megh alaya | Ri <br> Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP <br> -II | (i) Tank | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | (ii) Pond | 4 |  | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 62 | 76.0 | 6.4 | 14.60 | 62 | 76.0 | 6.4 | 14.60 |
|  |  |  |  | (iii) Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | (iv) Check <br> Dam |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | 54.0 | - | 2.25 | 30 | 54.0 | - | 2.25 |
|  |  |  |  | (v) <br> Percolation <br> Tank | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 26.0 | 6.0 | 8.20 | 25 | 26.0 | 6.0 | 8.20 |
|  |  |  |  | (vi) Channel |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 30.0 | - | 2.101 | 18 | 30.0 | - | 2.101 |
|  |  |  |  | (vii) Any others -cc dam -protection wall | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 38.0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.382 \\ & 4.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 38.0 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 5.382 \\ & 4.88 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Total |  | 4 | 0 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 174 | 254Ha | $\begin{aligned} & 12.40 \\ & \mathrm{Ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 37.413 | 174 | 254Ha | $\begin{aligned} & 12.40 \\ & \mathrm{Ha} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 37.413 |


| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achievement due to project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Augmentation/ repair of existing structures |  |  |  | Construction of new structures |  |  |  | Total achievement |  |  | Change in storage capacity ( $\operatorname{col} 8-6$ ) | Change in irrigated area (ha) Col. (86) |
| No | Area irrigate d (ha) | Storage capacity | Expenditu re incurred (in lakhs) | No | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Expenditur e incurred (in lakhs) | Area irrigated (ha) | Storage capacity | Estimate <br> d incurred |  | - |
| - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.2 Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project areas:


### 4.2.3 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas.

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of Projects | Major activities of the UGs -Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Structure/ activity proposed |  |  |  | No. of UGs involved | Estimated Cost | Amount of WDF to be collected (Rs.) |
|  |  | Sl. No. | Type | No.\# | Treatment (ha) |  |  |  |
| Ri Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RB-IWMP-II } \\ \text { Jirang } \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.4 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas:


4.2.5 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Major activities of the SHGs |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Name of activity | No. of SHGs <br> involved |
|  |  | Average annual income from <br> activity per SHG |  |  |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

4.2.6 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

| 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of SHGs given training | Total assistance received by the SHG (Amount in Rs.) |  |  |  | Total annual Income generated (Rs.) | Total annual Savings (Rs.) | No. of SHGs Graded as |  |  | Total Amount of loan sanctioned by the bank(s) | No. of SHGs federated |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loan from } \\ \text { revolving fund } \end{gathered}$ | Training | Material | $\begin{gathered} \text { Others } \\ \text { (pl. specify) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | I | II | III |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.7 Other activities of watershed works phase:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names of projects | Ridge area treatment |  | Drainage line treatment |  | Nursery raising |  | Land development |  | Crop demonstrations |  | Pasture development |  | Veterinary services |  | Fishery development |  | Nonconventiona energy |  | Any other (please specify) |  | Total cost incurred (Rs. In lakhs) |
|  |  | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |  | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Ri} \\ & \text { Bhoi } \end{aligned}$ | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> Umsyei watershed | $\begin{gathered} \hline 150 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 5.40 | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ \text { ha } \end{gathered}$ | 11.07 | - | - | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 230 \\ \text { ha } \end{array}$ | 21.03 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & \text { nos } \end{aligned}$ | 3.00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 40 \\ \text { units } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 32 \\ \text { units } \end{array}$ | 8.00 | - | - | - | 2.25 | 54.75 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ri- } \\ & \text { bhoi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RB-IWMP- } \\ \text { II } \\ \text { Umlathu } \\ \text { watershed } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & \mathrm{Ha} \end{aligned}$ | 12.36 | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & \mathrm{Ha} \end{aligned}$ | 13.343 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 160 \\ \text { ha } \end{array}$ | 13.197 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & \text { nos } \end{aligned}$ | 3.00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 30 \\ \text { units } \end{array}$ | 3.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 20 \\ \text { units } \end{array}$ | 5.00 | - | - | - | 4.85 | 54.75 |
|  |  | 330Ha | 17.76 | $\begin{gathered} 254 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 24.413 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 390 \\ \text { ha } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 34.227 | 240nos | 6.00 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 70 \\ \text { units } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7.00 | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { nos } \end{gathered}$ | 13.00 | - | - | - | 7.10 | 109.50 |

4.2.8 Details of engineering structures in watershed works:

| 1 <br> District | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |  | 7 | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project | Name of structures | Type of treatment |  |  | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | i) Ridg area (R) | (ii) <br> Drainage line | (iii) Land dev. (L) | d (i) Pri- vate | (ii) Commu | (iii) Others (pl. | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others | No. of units (No./ | Esti cost la | mate <br> (Rs. <br> kh) |  | Expected month \& year of completion | No. of units <br> (No. |  |  |  |  | Status of comple- | Actual month \& year of completion |
|  |  |  |  | (D) |  |  |  |  | (pl. specify) | $\begin{gathered} \text { cu.m./ } \\ \text { rmt) } \end{gathered}$ | M W | O | T | (mm/yyyy) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cu.m. } \\ & \text { rmt }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M | W | O | T |  | (mm/yyyy) |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | RB-WMP-II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Contour bunding | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Graded bunding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bench terracing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CC checks dams |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | UG | 18 nos | 5.382 |  |  | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Masonary stop dams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Gully plug |  | Yes | - | Yes | Yes |  | Landowne r/village durbar | 30nos | 2.25 |  |  | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Gabion structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Staggered trenching | Yes | - | - | Yes | - | - | Land owner | 20ha | 1.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Field bunds |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  | Land owner | 90 | 3.87 |  |  | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Any others (pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.9 Details of engineering structures in watershed works.


4.2.10 Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of structure/ work | Type of treatment |  |  | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency <br> (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others <br> (pl. specify) | Target |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |
| Distri ct | Project |  | (i) <br> Ridge area (R) | (ii) Draina ge line <br> (D) | (iii) <br> Land dev. <br> (L) | (i) <br> Priv <br> ate | (ii) <br> Com muni ty | (iii) <br> Other s (pl. speci fy) |  | Area <br> (ha) | No. of plants | Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh) | Expected month \& year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \# \\ \text { (ha) } \end{gathered}$ | No. of plants | Expendi- <br> ture incurred (Rs. in lakh) | Actual month \& year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy) |
| Ri <br> Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II <br> (1)Umsye i | Afforestation | Yes |  | yes | Yes | yes |  | UG | 150 | 75000 | 5.40 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Regeneration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Agro-forestry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fuel wood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fodder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Horticulture |  |  | yes | yes |  |  | UG | 200 | 60000 | 11.64 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pasture dev. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nursery raising | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | SHG/UG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { For } \\ 350 \\ \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 135000 | 8.10 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others (pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri- <br> bho <br> i | RB-IWMP-II (2) Uml athu | Afforestation | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | 80 |  | 8.08 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Regeneration | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | 80 |  | 2.88 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Agro-forestry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fuel wood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fodder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Horticulture |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | 60 |  | 7.60 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pasture dev. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nursery raising |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others (pl. specify) |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | 20 |  | 1.61 | 03/2014 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# in case two or more activities are executed over same area, the figures in area treated should be accounted only once and should reflect only the actual watershed area treated.

### 4.2.11 Details of vegetative structures in watershed works: Phase - II (contd.):

| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduction in run off (cu.m) | Production (quintal) |  | Income (Rs.) |  | Mandays generated |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
|  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Pre- } \\ \text { project } \end{array}$ | Post <br> project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre- } \\ \text { project } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Post } \\ \text { project } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.12 Details of allied / other activities:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Name of activity@ | Type of land |  |  | Executing agency | Target |  | Achievement |  |
|  |  |  | (i) Priv ate | (ii) <br> Commu nity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (iii) Others } \\ & \text { (pl. } \\ & \text { specify) } \end{aligned}$ | (i) UG <br> (ii)SHG <br> (iii) Others <br> (pl. specify) | Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh) | Expected month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) | Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh) | Actual month \& year of completion (mm/yyyy) |
| Ri Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> (1)Umsy ei | Crop demonstration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mud bricks.basket making |  | Yes |  | SHG | 0.75 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Cash crop value addition | Yes |  |  | SHG | 1.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Backyard poultry | Yes |  |  | individual | 2.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Other livestock | Yes |  |  | indv | 2.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Fisheries | Yes | Yes |  | Indv/vill durbar | 8.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Tailoring/carpentry/black smithy | yes |  |  | indv | 0.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Non conventional energy saving devices (bio-fuel) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Energy conservation measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others (pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri-bhoi | RB-IWMP- <br> II <br> (2) Uml athu | Crop demonstration | Yes |  |  | WC | 3.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Sericulture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bee keeping |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Backyard poultry | Yes |  |  | Individual | 1.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Small ruminants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Other livestock | Yes |  |  | Individual | 1.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Fisheries | Yes |  |  |  | 5.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Non conventional energy saving devices (bio-fuel) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Arecanut/ Soak tanks |  | Yes |  | Community | 2.00 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Others (mudbricks, basket weaving),etc |  | Yes |  | Community | 1.50 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Utility shops | Yes |  |  | Individual | 0.75 | 03/2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  | 34.50 |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of Projects; from column no. 5, activity-wise totals, from column no. 6, type-wise totals, from coulmn no. 7 , agency-wise totals, from column no. 8 , total estimated cost, from column no. 9 , total expenditure incurred, structure-wise no. of completed works, from column no. 10, item-wise totals, for the entire country may be indicated at the end of the table
@The activities given in this column are merely indicative and States are free to choose any other activity suited to the project area.


### 4.2.13 Details of allied / other activities:

| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income (Rs.) |  | Mandays generated |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
| Preproject | Post project | SC | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Consolidation and withdrawal phase

Details of activities in the CPRs in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of projects | Name(s) of the villages | CPR particulars | Activity proposed | Target |  |  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Target area under the activity (ha) | Estimated expenditure (Rs.) | Expected no. of beneficiaries | Estimated contribution to WDF (Rs.) | Area treated under the activity (ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expenditure } \\ & \text { incurred } \\ & \text { (Rs.) } \end{aligned}$ | Actual no. of beneficiaries | No. of mandays |  |  | WDF collected (Rs.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SC | ST | F |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> (1)Umsyei | Lailad Tasku | Community Forest | improvement | 150 | 5,40,000 | 127 households | 27000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Drinking water | Collection, Filtering Storing Distribution | 6 nos | 3,00,000 | 80 nos | 15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Footbridge | Construction | 1 no | 50,000 | 32 nos | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Weekly market, | Footpath | 1 no | 50,000 | Comm.. | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Village paths | Widening | 1 no | 50,000 | Comm. | 2500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> (2)Umlathu | Pyngker | Village road | Extension | 1 no | 1,50,000 | Comm. | 7500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Umlathu | Village road | Extension | 1 no | 1,12,000 | Comm. | 5600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pahamjula | Foot bridge | Maitenance | 1 no | 75,000 | Comm. | 3750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pilingkuh | Foot bridge | Maitenance | 1 no | 38,000 | Comm. | 1900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | 13,65,000 |  | 68,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER V

PROJECT PHASING AND BUDGETING

## ACTION PLAN OF UMSYEI \& UMLATHU WATERSHED UNDER IWMP - II, RI BHOI DIVISION : NONGPOH

Name of District: Ri Bhoi District
Name of C\&RD Block: Umling Block

No. of villages - 7 nos.

| Sl. | Activities | $\mathrm{I}^{\text {st }}$ Year |  | II ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Year |  | III ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Year |  | $\mathrm{IV}^{\text {th }}$ Year |  | $\mathrm{V}^{\text {th }}$ Year |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | Phy | Fin | Phy | Fin | Phy | Fin | Phy | Fin | Phy | Fin | Phy | Fin |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| I | MANAGEMENT COST: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. | Administrative cost: |  |  | $2 \%$ | 3.0 | 5 \% | 7.5 | 3 \% | 4.5 | - | - | $10 \%$ | 15.0 |
| i. | Honourarium of WDT Members @ Rs. 5000/ month - 1 no. | - | - | - | - | 12 months | 0.60 | 12 months | 0.60 | - | - | 2 yrs | 1.20 |
| ii. | Hon $^{\text {rm }}$ of Watershed Volunteers @ Rs. 2500/month -2 nos. | - | - | - | - | 12 months | 0.60 | 12 months | 0.60 | - | - | 2 yrs | 1.20 |
| iii. | Hon ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ WCO's @ Rs. 750/ month. | - | - | 12 months | 0.09 | 12 months | 0.09 | 12 months | 0.09 | - | - | 3 yrs | 0.27 |
| iv. | Hon ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ WCM @ Rs. 100/members/ month for 25 nos. | - | - | 12 months | 0.30 | 12 months | 0.30 | 12 months | 0.30 | - | - | 3 yrs | 0.90 |
| v | Hon ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ Office assistant @ Rs. 3000/- month. | - | - | 12 months | 0.36 | 12 months | 0.36 | 12 months | 0.36 | - | - | 3 yrs | 1.08 |
| vi | Hon ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ Chartered Accountant | - | - | - | 0.15 | - | 0.15 | - | 0.15 | - | - | 3 yrs | 0.45 |
| vii | TA/DA of Field Asstt. @ 5000/month | - | - | 6 months | 0.60 | 6 months | 0.30 | 6 months | 0.30 | - | - | 1.5 yrs | 1.20 |
| viii | Hiring charges of office building @ 1000 | - | - | - | - | 12 months | 0.12 | 12 months | 0.12 | - | - | 2 yrs | 0.24 |
| ix. | Hiring charges of vehicle @ 5000/ month | - | - | 12 months | 0.60 | 12 months | 0.60 | - | - | - | - | 2 yrs | 1.20 |
| x | Office expenses, POL, Stationeries, printing of SHG's books, pamphlets, tea, snacks etc, cost of camera. | - | - | - | 0.90 | - | 4.38 | - | 1.98 | - | - | - | 7.26 |
|  | Total of A: | - | - | $2 \%$ | 3.0 | 5 \% | 7.5 | 3 \% | 4.5 | - | - | $10 \%$ | 15.0 |
|  | PREPARATORY PHASE : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. | Entry Point Activities: | 4 \% | 5.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $4 \%$ | 6.00 |
| i. | Construction of Approach Road | 250m | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 unit | 4.0 |
| ii. | Construction of D/water tank cum washing \& bathing place | 3 units | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 units | 2.0 |
| Iii | Ring well | 2 Units | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iv | Water Harvesting | 1 unit | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V | Footbridge | 1 Unit | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total of B: | 2 \% | 6.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 \% | 6.00 |


| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. | Training: | $1 \%$ | 1.50 | $2 \%$ | 3.0 | $1 \%$ | 1.5 | 1\% | 1.5 | - | - | $5 \%$ | 7.50 |
| i. | Awareness Campaign \& Capacity building | 1 no | 0.50 | - | - | - | - | 1 no. | 0.30 | - | - | 2 no | 0.80 |
| ii. | Exposure visits - off. Campus | 1 no | 0.30 | - | - | - | - | 1 no. | 0.30 | - | - | 2 no | 0.60 |
| iii. | Capacity building of SHG's/ UG's. | 3 nos. | 0.20 | 4 nos. | 2.00 | 4 nos. | 2.00 | 1 no. | 0.30 | - | - | 12 nos. | 3.50 |
| iv. | Capacity building of WC Members. | 2 nos. | 0.25 | 1 no. | 0.5 | $2 \mathrm{nos}$. | 0.50 | 1 no. | 0.30 | - | - | 6 nos. | 1.30 |
| v . | Capacity of WDT/WV | 2 nos. | 0.25 | 1 no. | 0.5 | $2 \mathrm{nos}$. | 0.50 | 1 no. | 0.30 | - | - | 6 nos. | 1.30 |
|  | Total of C: | $1 \%$ | 1.50 | 2 \% | 3.0 | $1 \%$ | 1.5 | 1\% | 1.5 | - | - | $5 \%$ | 7.50 |
| D. | Detailed Project Report: | $1 \%$ | 1.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $1 \%$ | 1.50 |
| i. | Cost of Resources Inventories works | - | 0.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.25 |
| ii. | Cost of PRA Exercises | - | 0.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.50 |
| iii. | Cost of Land use Survey works | - | 0.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.25 |
| iv. | Cost of formulating | - | 0.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.50 |
|  | Total of D: | $1 \%$ | 1.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $1 \%$ | 1.50 |
| E. | Monitoring \& Evaluation: | - | - | 0.5\% | 0.75 | $1 \%$ | 1.5 | 0.5\% | 0.75 | - | - | $2 \%$ | 3.00 |
| i. | Monitoring | - | - | 0.2\% | 0.30 | 0.5\% | 0.75 | 0.3\% | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| ii. | Evaluation | - | - | 0.3\% | 0.45 | 0.5\% | 0.75 | 0.2\% | 0.30 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total of E: | - | - | 0.5\% | 0.75 | 1\% | 1.5 | 0.5\% | 0.75 | - | - | 2 \% | 3.00 |
|  | Total of I (A to E) | 6\% | 9.00 | 4.5\% | 6.75 | $7 \%$ | 10.50 | $4.5 \%$ | 6.75 | - | - | 22 \% | 33.00 |
| II | PROJECT COST/WATERSHED WORKS PHASE : | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| A. | Arable Land Treatment: | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Improvement of Existing Paddy Fields @ Rs.4300/Ha | - | - | - | - | 90 Ha | 3.87 | - | - | - | - | 90 Ha | 3.87 |
|  | Agro- horticulture (citrus) @Rs.8600/Ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1300/Ha | - | - | - | - | 65 Ha | 0.845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 Ha | 0.845 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.4600/Ha | - | - | - | - | 65 Ha | 2.99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 Ha | 2.99 |


|  | iii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2700/Ha | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 65 Ha | 1.755 | 0 | 0 | 65 Ha | 1.755 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agro- horticulture(arecanut) @Rs 12,680/Ha } \\ & (238 \mathrm{pl} / \mathrm{Ha}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1490/Ha | - | - | 104 Ha | 1.55 | $31 \mathrm{Ha®}$ | 0.4619 | - | - | - | - | 135 Ha | 2.0119 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.8664/Ha | - | - | - | - | 135 Ha | 11.6964 | - | - | - |  | 135 Ha | $\begin{gathered} 11.696 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | iii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2526/Ha | - | - | - | - | - | - | 135 Ha | 3.4101 | - | - | 135 Ha | 3.4101 |
|  | Agro- horticulture (betel leaf) @ Rs 8058/Ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1600/Ha | - | - | - | - | 50 Ha | 0.80 | - | - | - |  | 50 Ha | 0.80 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.4121/Ha | - | - | - | - | 50 Ha | 2.06 | - | - | - | - | 50 Ha | 2.06 |
|  | iii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2337/Ha | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 Ha | 1.169 | - | - | 50 Ha | 1.169 |
|  | Agro- horticulture (banana) @ Rs 7400/Ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i Preliminary works and First year planting @ Rs.1080/Ha | - | - | - | - | 30 Ha | 0.324 | - | - | - |  | 30 Ha | 0.324 |
|  | ii First year Maintenance @ Rs.4020/Ha | - | - | - | - | 30 Ha | 1.206 | - | - | - | - | 30 Ha | 1.206 |
|  | iii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2300/Ha | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 Ha | 0.69 | - | - | 30 Ha | 0.69 |
|  | Contour Trenching | - | - | - | - | 20 Ha | 1.40 |  |  |  |  | 20 Ha | 1.40 |
|  | Total of A - Arable Land Treatment | - | - | 104Ha(c) | 1.55 | 286Ha(c) | 25.6533 |  | 7.0241 |  |  | 390 Ha | 34.227 |
| B. | Non Arable Land Treatment | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Improvement of degraded natural forest @ Rs.3600/Ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230 Ha | 8.28 |
|  | i. Preliminary Year @ Rs. 700/Ha | - | - | - | - | 230 Ha | 1.61 | - | - | - | - | 230 Ha | 1.61 |
|  | ii First year planting @ Rs. 1900/ | - | - | - | - | 230 Ha | 4.37 | - | - | - | - | 230 Ha | 4.37 |
|  | ii Second year Maintenance @ Rs.1000/ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 230 Ha | 1.9059 | - | - | 230 Ha | 1.9059 |
|  | Afforestation @ Rs. 10,100/Ha | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | i. Preliminary Year @ Rs 1700/-Ha | - | - | - | - | 80 Ha | 1.36 | - | - | - | - | 80 Ha | 1.36 |
|  | ii First year planting @ Rs. 5500/-Ha | - | - | - | - | 80 Ha | 4.40 | - | - | - | - | 80 Ha | 4.40 |


|  | ii Second year Maintenance @ Rs 2900/-Ha | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 Ha | 2.32 | - | - | 80 Ha | 2.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total of B- Non Arable Land Treatment | - | - | - | - | 310Ha(c) | 11.77 | 310Ha(M) | 4.62 | - |  | 310Ha <br> (c) | 15.966 |
| C. | Drainage line Treatment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Protection wall / Utility Platform (as per estimate) | - | - | 24 nos | 4.88 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 nos | 4.88 |
|  | Loose boulder check dam @ Rs.7500/- | - | - | 20 Nos | 1.50 | 10 Nos | 0.75 | - | - | - | - | 30 nos | 2.25 |
|  | Water Harvesting and Distribution @ Rs. 32,800/- | - | - | 8 nos | 2.624 | 17 nos | 5.576 | - | - | - | - | 25 nos | 8.20 |
|  | CC check Dams @ Rs. 29,900/each | - | - | - | - | 18 nos | 5.382 | - | - | - | - | 18 nos | 5.382 |
|  | Dugout Pond | - | - | 2 nos | 0.32 | 8 nos | 1.28 | - | - | - | - | 10 nos | 1.60 |
|  | Run-off disposal channel <br> @ Rs 26/Rm | - | - | 1446 Rm | 0.376 | 8033 Rm | 2.0887 | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 8080 \\ \mathrm{Rm} \end{gathered}$ | 2.4647 |
|  | Total of C- Drainage line Treatment | - | - | 153 Ha | 9.70 | 101 Ha | 15.0767 | - | - | - | - | 254 Ha | 24.77 |
|  | Total of Watershed Treatment/Development works | - | - | 7.5 \% | 11.25 | 35 \% | 52.50 | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | 75.00 |
| D | Livelihood Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Kitchen gardening, agri-implements @ Rs.2500/ unit | - | - | 60 nos | 1.50 | 100 nos | 2.50 | 80 nos | 2.0 | - | - | 240 nos | 6.00 |
| B | Piggery/ Poultry/ <br> @ Rs.10,000/ unit | - | - | - | - | 20 nos | 2.00 | 50 nos | 5.00 | - | - | 70 nos | 7.00 |
| C | Tailoring, carpentry, black smithy @ Rs. 10,000/unit | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 nos | 2.00 | - | - |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 nos | 2.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total of D- Livelihood Activities | - | - | 1 \% | 1.5 | 3 \% | 4.50 | 6\% | 9.0 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 10.00 \% \\ 22 \mathrm{Ha} \end{gathered}$ | 15.00 |
| E | Production System \& Micro Enterprise | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |
|  | Mud brick making/ basket making @ Rs. 25,000 / unit | - | - | - | - | 2 nos | 0.50 | 8 nos | 2.00 | - | - | 10 nos | 2.50 |
|  | Utility shop <br> @ Rs. 25,000/ unit | - | - | - | - | 4 nos | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 4 nos | 1.00 |
|  | Fishery <br> @ Rs.25,000/each | - | - | 6 nos | 1.50 | 20 nos | 5.00 | 26 nos | 6.50 | - | - | 52 nos | 13.00 |
|  | Cash crop value addition @ Rs 50,000/unit - areca nut soak tanks/Rice mill | - | - | - | - | 2 nos | 1.00 | 4 nos | 2.00 | - | - | 6 nos | 3.00 |
|  | Total of E- Production System \& Micro rprise | - | - | 1 \% | 1.5 | 5 \% | 7.5 | 7 \% | 10.50 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 13.00 \% \\ 24 \mathrm{Ha} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 19.50 |
| F. | Consolidation \&Withdrawal Phase (PIA) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5\% | 7.5 | 5\% | 7.5 |
|  | Total of Consolidation Phase | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5\% | 7.5 | 5\% | 7.5 |
|  | Total of II(A+B+C+D+E+F) | - | - | 9.5\% | 14.25 | 43\% | 57.00 | 20.5\% | 31.50 | 5\% | 7.50 | 78\% | 117.00 |
|  | GRANT TOTAL (I+II) | 6\% | 9.00 | 14\% | 21.00 | 50\% | 75.00 | 25\% | 37.50 | 5\% | 7.50 | 100\% | 150.00 |


|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ( unnulidation \& Exit Phase: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thpairs. Matuterance of CPR's | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.00 | - | 3.00 |
| \# | -lingroving the sustainability of various Interventions | - | * | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | 2.00 | - | 2.00 |
| III | 1 hmumentation of successful experiences \& fryparation of Completion Report | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $5 \%$ | 2.50 <br> 7.50 | - | 2.50 |
|  | Iutal of F: | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | * | - | - | - | 5\% | 7.50 | \% | $\underline{7.50}$ |
|  | Inat of II $(\mathbf{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F})$ | - | - | 9.5\% | 14.25 | $43 \%$ | 57.00 | $20.5 \%$ | 31.50 | 5\% | 7.5 | $78 \%$ | 117.00 |
|  | frand Total ( $\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}$ ) | 6\% | 9.00 | 14\% | 21.00 | $50 \%$ | 75.00 | $25 \%$ | 37.50 | 5\% | 7.50 | $100 \%$ | 150.00 |
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## Details of the types of areas covered under the IWMP Programme:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Districts } \end{gathered}$ | NamesofProjects | Year of sanct ion | Project duration (dd/mm/ уууу) |  | Area of the projects (Ha) | Projec $t$ cost (Rs. In lakh) | Names of Micro watersheds \& Code nos. (as per DoLR's unique codification) | Area (ha) of the projects |  |  |  | Area details (ha) <br> (falling within the projects) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cultivate d rainfed area | Cultivat <br> ed <br> irrigated <br> area | Uncul wast | vated and | Pvt. <br> Agri. <br> Land | Forest land | Comm unity land | Others (pl. specify) | Total area <br> (ha) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a) <br> Tempora ry fallow | b) Per manent |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Megh alaya | Ri Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { RB- } \\ \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { II } \\ \text { Jirang } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ -10 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 500 | 75.00 | 1.Umsyei 3B2A1a21 | 230 | 35 | 165 | 70 | 1340 | 170 | 180 | 40 Village, market, roads,pl aygrou nds,etc | 1730 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Megh } \\ & \text { alava } \end{aligned}$ | Ri Bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { RB- } \\ \text { IWMP- } \\ \text { II } \\ \text { Jirang } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ -10 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 500 | 75.00 | 2.Umlathu 3B1c7a1f | 140 | NIL | 160 | 0 | 440 | 80 | 85 | 26 | 631 |

Fund provision for the IWMP projects from all sources:

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of Projects | IWMP Fund |  | Funds from other sources in addition to IWMP funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  | Convergence funds |  | PPP |  | Community |  | Institutional finance |  | Others (Pl. specify) |  |  |
|  |  | Centra 1 Share | State <br> Share | Name of Scheme | Amount | Name of private sector | Financial contribution | Name | Financial contribution | Name | Financial contribution | Name | Financ ial contribution |  |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II 1.umsyei | 67.50 | 7.50 | NREGs | 10.00 | NIL | NIL | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | 85.00 |
| Ri-bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { RB-IWMP- } \\ \text { II } \\ \text { 2..umlathu } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 67.50 | 7.50 | NREGs | 8.00 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | 83.00 |
|  |  | 135.00 | 15.00 | NREGs | 18.00 | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | 168.00 |

Details of Project Fund Accounts of Distt. Agency and Watershed Committees:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Distt. Agency's Project Account details |  |  |  | Watershed Committee (WC) account details: |  |  |  |  |
| Sl. <br> No. | Names of States | Name of Districts | Names of Projects | Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened | Account Number (to be obtained confidentially) | Account type (Savings/ Current/ Others) |  <br> Designation of authorized persons who operate the account. | Name of Watershed Committee | Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened | Account number (to be obtained confidentially | Account type (Savings/ current others) | Name \& Designation of authorized persons who operate the account. |
| 1 | Meghalaya | Ri Bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> 1.umsyei | Indian Bank, Nongpoh | 867300258 | Savings | Smti V. Papang DSWCO <br> SWCDept. <br> Ri Bhoi District, Nongpoh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Umsyei } \\ & \text { W/C } \end{aligned}$ | Indian Bank Nongpoh | 874611981 | Savings | 1.Shri P.Nongtri Chairman WCUmsyei 2.Shri <br> L.Shabong ASWCO and Secretary WCUmsyei |
| 2 | Meghalaya | Ri-bhoi | RB- <br> IWMP-II <br> 2..umlathu | Indian Bank, Nongpoh | 867300258 | Savings | Smti V. Papang DSWCO <br> SWCDept. <br> Ri Bhoi District, Nongpoh | Umlathu W/C | Indian Bank Nongpoh | 881086359 | Savings | 1.Shri M. <br> Mayong <br> Chairman <br> 2.Shri <br> L.Shabong <br> Secretary |

Details of Convergence of IWMP with other Schemes:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Names of projects | Names of Departments with Schemes converging with IWMP | Fund made available to IWMP due to convergence (Rs. in lakh) | Name of activity/task/structure undertaken with converged funds | Reference no. of activity/ task/ structure in DPR ${ }^{@}$ | Level at which decision for convergence was taken ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | (a) Structures <br> (b) livelihoods <br> (c ) Any other (pl. specify) ${ }^{\#}$ |  |  |
| Ri bhoi | RB-IWMP- <br> II <br> (1)Umsyei | C\&RD Dept NREGS | 10.00 | Water harvesting | III C fisheries | Deputy Commissioner |
| Ri bhoi | RB-IWMP- <br> II <br> (2)Umlathu | C\&RD Dept NREGS | 8.00 | Construction of Village Road |  | Deputy Commissioner |

Public-Private Partnership in the IWMP projects:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of project | Name of <br> Private <br> Sector <br> Partner <br> Agency | Type of agreement signed |  |  | Financial contribution |  | Partnership Interventions | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes | Comments |
|  |  |  | a) MoU | b)Contract | c) Any other (pl. specify) | IWMP | Private sector |  |  |  |  |
| Ri bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iwmp } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, total no. of projects under PPP; from Column no. 5, total no. of private companies/ agencies, from column no. 7, total amounts may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.


## CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity Building is a process to systematically upgrade the skill of individuals or groups for achieving a specific target. Capacity building in the project has been planned for all the stake holders involved i.e. State Level, District Level, Project Level and Village Level. The relevant details pertaining to Capacity Building has been shown below.
Table 6.1: List of approved Training Institutes for Capacity Building:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Name of the Training Institute | Full Address with contact no., website \& e-mail | Name \& Designati on of the Head of Institute | Type of Institute ${ }^{\#}$ | Area(s) of specialization ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Accreditation details | Performance |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | State |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reference Year | No. of trainings assigned | No. of trainees to be trained | No. of trainings conducted | No. of trainees trained |
| 1 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { NIRD } \\ \text { (NER) } \end{array}$ | Guwahati | Director | Central Govt. | Remote Sensing, Rural Devt. | NA | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | SIRD | Nongsder | Director | State Govt. | Capacity Building | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | RRTC | Umran | Director | Don-Bosco | Agri-Horti, Animal Husbandry, Entrepreneurship | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | ICAR | Umiam | Director | Central Govt. | Do | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | VTC | Kyrdem Kulai | Director | State Govt. | Animal Husbandry | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | Fruit Garden | Shillong | Director | State Govt. | Agri-Horti, Fruit Processing | NA |  |  |  |  |  |

* From Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, no. of training institutes, from column No. 9, total no. of category-wise trainings and trainees may be given at the end of the table for the entire country
\# Central govt. Dept./ State govt. Dept./ Autonomous Body/ Research Institutes/ Universities/ Others (pl. specify)
\$ Capacity Building/ Agriculture/ Horticulture/ Animal Husbandry/ Pisciculture/ Remote Sensing/ Water conservation/ Ground water/ Forestry/ livelihoods/ entrepreneurship development/ others (pl. specify)
${ }^{@}$ The training institutes must fulfill the conditions mentioned in the operations guidelines.
(i) Technical experts in fields required by IWMP
(ii) Past experiences
(iii) Annual Turnover
(iv) Receives funds either from the Central or State Government
(v) Publications
(vi) Not blacklisted by any Govt. organizations
(vii) Audited accounts
(viii) Organizational structure

Table 6.2: Capacity Building activities for the year 2009-2010 as on ...31/03/2010... (dd/mm/yyyy)*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Stakeholders | Total no. of persons | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { persons } \\ \text { trained so } \\ \text { far } \end{gathered}$ | No. of persons to be trained during current financial year | No. of persons trained during current financial year | Sources of funding for training |  | Funds utilised |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | a)DoLR | b)Any other (pl. specify) | a)DoLR | b)Any other (pl. specify) |
| SLNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DRDA/ZP cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIAs | 54 nos | 24nos | 30nos | NA | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |  |
| WDTs | 12nos | 12 nos | 12 nos | NA | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |  |
| UGs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHGs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WCs | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \operatorname{nosx} 4 \\ & \text { times } \end{aligned}$ | 26 nos | 26 nos | NA | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| GPs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community | 876 nos | 292nos | 584nos | NA | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Others (Pl. specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 968 | 354 | 652 | NA | 3.00 |  | 3.00 |  |

Table 6.3:
Information, Education \& Communication (IEC) activities for the year 2009-2010 as on 31/03/2010 (dd/mm/yyyy)*-

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 5 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Activity | Executing agency | Estimated expenditure <br> (Rs.) | Expenditure <br> incurred <br> (Rs.) | Outcome <br> (may quantity, wherever possible) |
| 1. | Awareness | S\&WC Ri Bhoi Division | 0.50 | 0.50 |  |
| 2. | PRA Exercises | S\&WC Ri Bhoi Division | 0.50 | 0.50 |  |
| 3. | Exposure Visits | S\&WC Ri Bhoi Division | 0.30 | 0.30 |  |
| 4. | Capacity Building | S\&WC Ri Bhoi Division | 1.70 | 1.70 |  |
| 5. | Photos/Pamphlets/Literature | S\&WC Ri Bhoi Division | 0.50 | 0.50 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER VII

 EXPECTED OUTCOMETable 7.1 Employment related outcomes:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Village | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wage employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Self employment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. of mandays |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
| 1. | Old Tasku |  | 100 \% |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |
| 2. | Lailad Rim |  | 100 \% |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 3. | Lailad Jingkieng |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 4. | Umlathu |  | 100 \% |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 5. | Pahamjula |  | 100 \% |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 6. | Pynker |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 7. | Pillingku |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 7.2 Migration Details:

## Details of seasonal migration from Project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the | Names of Projects | Name of village | No. of person s | No. of days per year of migration | Major reason(s) for migrating | Distance of destination of migration from the village (km) | Occupation during migration | Income from such occupation (Rs. in lakh) | For reduced migration identify major activities of IWMP responsible |  |
| Districts |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { migrati } \\ \text { ng } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (a) Structures | (b) Livelihoods |
| Ri-bhoi | RB-IWMP-II Jirang (1)Umsye i | Tasku | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |  |
|  |  | Lailad | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RB- } \\ & \text { IWMP-II } \\ & \text { Jirang } \\ & (2) \\ & \text { Umlathu } \end{aligned}$ | Pyngker | 30 | 60 |  | 50 | Hired Wages | 1.20 |  |  |
|  |  | Umlathu | 25 | 65 |  | 50 | --Do-- | 1.10 |  |  |
|  |  | Pilingku | 22 | 45 |  | 30 | --Do-- | 0.70 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pahamju } \\ & \text { la } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 45 |  | 50 | --Do-- | 0.40 |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of villages; from column no. 6 , total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 7, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 9 , average distance of migration from the village and from column no. 11, average income from occupation during migration, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.3 Economic benefits accrued to women: NA


* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3 to 6 , category-wise totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.4 Details of rights conferred in the CPRs of the project areas: NA

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of the projects | Names of the villages | Particular of CPR | Nature of right | Period of right |  | efici | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y details } \\ & \text { milies) } \end{aligned}$ | o. of | User Charges (Rs.) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | SC | ST | Others | Total |  |
| RI BHOI | RB-IWMP-II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, no. of projects, from column no. 5, no. of villages, from column nos. $9 \& 10$, particular-wise totals for the entire country may be given at the end of the table.
@ In column no.6, the categories given in table no. $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{SP}) 10$, column 5 may be filled as required.
\# In column no. 7, only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.

| F | for right to | fishing [culture, harvest and sale] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fw | for right to | collect firewood for domestic purposes |
| G | for right of | grazing for cattle and |
| MFP | for right to | collect and sell minor forest produces |
| P | for right to | passage across the CPR |
| Rd | for right to | construct a road for access to individual property |
| S/M | for right to | collect and sell sand and minerals |
| So | for right to | collect soil for nursery and plantation activities and constructions |
| T | for right to | collect timber for construction of house |
| Wd | for right to | collect/ use water for drinking |
| Wi | for right to | use water for irrigation |
| O | for any right other than indicated above (please specify) |  |

Table 7.5 Water related outcomes:
Table 7.5.1 Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas of the Country: State-wise * (in meters)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of Districts | Names of Projects | Sources | Pre-Project level | Mid-term project level | Post-project level | Increase/decrease (Col. 8 - Col. 6) | Remarks |
| Rib hoi | IWMP II | Open wells | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bore wells | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Others (specify) | NA |  |  |  |  |

*From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column nos. 6 to 9 , the average measurements, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the table. The data must be based on the average of the Ground Water Table depth collected by PIA with the help of concerned technical expert in the same sample of $10 \%$ of selected wells and bore wells in the villages in the watershed project area, during pre-project, mid-term and post-project periods.

Table 7.5.2 Status of Drinking water:

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of the project | Availability of drinking water (no. of months in a year) |  |  | Quality of drinking water |  |  | Comments |
|  |  | Pre-project | Postproject | Change in availability | Preproject | Postproject | Change in quality |  |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-VI | Insufficient | Sufficient | $10-12$ <br> months | Moderate | Improved | Improved | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, category-wise no. of projects, from column no. 5, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.5.3 Water Use efficiency:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of the project | Name of major crop | Water savings in cu.m. |  |  |  |
| District |  |  | through water saving devices ${ }^{\$}$ | through water conserving agronomic practices ${ }^{\#}$ | Any other (pl. specify) | Total |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP II | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, practice-wise totals may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.
${ }^{\$}$ Sprinkler, Drip, PVC pipe, etc.
\# Vermi-compost, organic manuring, Mulching, Check basin, Alternate furrow, Ridges \& furrow \& other scientific practices


## Table 7.6: Vegetation/ crop related outcomes:

Table 7.6.1 Details of Karif crop area and yield in the project areas:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Name of Projects | Name of crops | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Area (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | TotalProduction(Qtl) |  | Area (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | Total production (qtl) |  | Area (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | Total production (qtl) |  |
|  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
| Ri Bhoi | IWMP II | Paddy |  | 240 |  | 15 |  | 3600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Other food crops |  | 250 |  | 18 |  | 9000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Vegetabl } \\ \text { es } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 100 |  | 20 |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no.4, total no. of projects; from column no.5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - IrrigatedRf. - Rainfed

Table 7.6.2 Details of Rabi crop area and yield in the project areas: NIL

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Names of States | Names of the Districts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Projects } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Name } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { crops } \end{gathered}$ | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Area <br> (ha) |  |  |  | Total <br> Producti on <br> (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (Otl) |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (QtI) |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - Irrigated Rf-Rainfed

Table 7.6.3 Details of Zaid crop area and yield in the project areas of the Country: State-wise:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Names of States | Names of the Districts | Name of Projects | Name of crops | Pre-project |  |  |  |  |  | Mid-term |  |  |  |  |  | Post-project |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Area (ha) |  | Average Yield (Qtl) per ha. |  | Total Production (Qtl) |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { production } \\ \text { (qll) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Area <br> (ha) |  | Average Yield per ha (qtl) |  | $\qquad$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. | Irri | Rf. |
|  | Meghala ya | Ri Bhoi | IWMP II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total for the <br> District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no.5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8 , the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - IrrigatedRf. - Rainfed

Table 7.6.4 Increase/ Decrease in area under fodder:

| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of project | Duration of Project | Existing area under fodder (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/ Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under fodder | Area under fodder proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under fodder actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under fodder |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP II | 5 years | Umsyei WC | 2009 | Nil | Nil |  |  |
| Ri-bhoi | IWMP-II | 5 years | Umlathu WC | 2009 | 160 | NIL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.5 Increase/ Decrease in Forest/vegetation cover:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name of project | Duration of Project | Existing area tree cover (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District |  |  | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under forest/vegetative cover | Forest/vegetative cover area proposed to be covered under IWMP | Forest/vegetative cover area actually covered under IWMP | Change in forest/vegetative cover area |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP II | - | NESAC | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.6 Increase/ Decrease in area under horticulture:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Existing area under horticulture (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District | Name of project | Duration of Project | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area <br> already under horticulture | Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP | Area under horticulture actually covered through IWMP | Change in area under horticulture |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II | 5 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II | 5 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.7 Increase/ Decrease in area under fuel-wood:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Duration of Project | Existing area under fodder (ha) |  |  | Achievement (ha) |  |  |
| District | Name of project |  | Source/Name of report | Year of reference | Area already under fuelwood | Area under fuelwood proposed to be covered under IWMP | Area under fuelwood actually covered under IWMP | Change in area under fuel-wood |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II | 5 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ri Bhoi | RB-IWMP-II | 5 yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. $6 \& 7$, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.7 Livelihood related outcomes:
Table 7.7.1 Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Names of the Districts | Names of Projects | Type of Animal | Pre-Project |  |  | Mid-term |  |  | Post-project |  |  | Remarks |
|  |  |  | No. | Yield | Income | No. | Yield | Income | No. | Yield | Income |  |
| Ri bhoi | IWMP II | Milch-animals | Nil | Nil | Nil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Animals for other purpose <br> (Meat) | 120nos | 8900 | 4,70,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total for all projects |  | 120nos | 8900 | 4,70,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total for all Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column nos. 5 to 8 , the total nos. of animals and the average yield and incomes, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.2
Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project | Name of activity | Funds required for the activity (Rs.) | Sources of funding (Rs.in Lakhs) |  |  |  | Actual Expenditure incurred on activity (Rs.) | No. of beneficiaries trained |  |  |  |  | No. of beneficiaries taking upactivity |  |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  | Project <br> Fund | Benefi -ciary | Others (pl. specify | Total |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
| Ri <br> bhoi | $\begin{gathered} \text { IWMP } \\ \text { II } \end{gathered}$ | Kitchen garden |  | 6.00 |  |  | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Piggery |  | 4.00 |  |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Poultry |  | 3.00 |  |  | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tailoring/ carpentry |  | 2.00 |  |  | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Utility shop |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mud brick making |  | 2.50 |  |  | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Contd.

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of activities, from column no. 6 , total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12 , categorywise totals, from column no. 13 , category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.3
Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

| 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity |  | Annual increase in income due to activity (Rs.) | Impact of livelihoods programme |  |  |  | Any other information (Pl. Specify) |
|  |  | Migration (No. of beneficiaries) | Development of backward-forward linkages |  |  |
| Total | Grand total $(8+9)$ |  | Pre-project | Post-project | Pre-project | Post-project |  |
| NA | NA |  | NA | NA | NA |  |  | NA |

Table 7.7.4 Details of other livelihoods created for farmers:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Name of activity | Funds required for the activity (Rs.) | Sources of funding (Rs.) |  |  |  | Actual Expenditure incurred on activity (Rs.) | No. of farmers trained |  |  |  | No. of farmers taking up activity |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Project Fund | Beneficiary | Others (please specify) | Total |  | SF | MF | LF | Total | SF | MF | LF | Total |
| Ri bhoi | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { IWMP } \\ \text { II } \end{array}$ | Cash crop value addition/ Rice mill |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.00 \\ \text { Lakhs } \end{gathered}$ | 5\% |  | 3 Lakhs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fishery |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 13.00 \\ \text { Lakhs } \end{array}$ | 5\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.00 \\ & \text { Lakhs } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Contd.)

* From column no. 2, total number of States, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of activities, from column no. 6 , total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12 , categorywise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.5
Details of other livelihoods created for farmers* (contd.)

|  | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity |  | Annual increase in income due to activity (Rs.) | Impact of livelihoods programme |  |  |  | Any other information (Pl. Specify) |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} \\ \text { (No. of } \end{array}$ | ation neficiaries) | Developme forwar | backwardkages |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grand total } \\ (8+9) \end{gathered}$ |  | Pre-project | Post-project | Pre-project | Post-project |  |
| NA | NA |  | NA | NA | NA |  |  | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.8 Marketing related outcomes:
Backward-Forward linkages *

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Project | Type of Marketing Facility | Pre-project (no.) | During the project (no.) | Post-project (no.) |
|  |  | (A) Backward linkages |  |  |  |
|  |  | (i) Seed certification | No |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) Seed supply system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Fertilizer supply system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Pesticide supply system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (v) Credit institutions | No |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) Water supply | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) Extension services | No |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) Nurseries | No |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) Tools/machinery suppliers | No |  |  |
|  |  | (x) Price Support system | No |  |  |
|  |  | (xi) Labour | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (xii) Any other (please specify) | No |  |  |
|  |  | (B) Forward linkages |  |  |  |
|  |  | (i) Harvesting/threshing machinery | No |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) Storage (including cold storage) | No |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Road network | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (iv) Transport facilities | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (v) Markets / Mandis | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (vi) Agro and other Industries | No |  |  |
|  |  | (vii) Milk and other collection centres | No |  |  |
|  |  | (viii) Labour | Yes |  |  |
|  |  | (ix) Any other (please specify) | No |  |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. $6,7 \& 8$, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.9 Abstract of outcomes:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | State | Item | Unit | Pre-project Status | Post-project Status | Remarks |
|  |  | Status of water table |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Ground water structures repaired/rejuvenated |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Quality of drinking water |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Availability of drinking water |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in irrigation potential |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Change in cropping/ land use pattern |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Area under agricultural crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | i ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Area under single crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | ii Area under double crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | iii Area under multiple crop |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Net increase in crop production area |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in area under vegetation |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in area under horticulture |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in area under fuel \& fodder |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in milk production |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | No. of SHGs |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in no. of livelihoods |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Increase in income |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Migration |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | No. of school going children |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | SHG Federations formed |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Credit linkage with banks |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Resource use agreements |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | WDF collection \& management |  | NA |  |  |
|  |  | Summary of lessons learnt | May be attached as a separate file |  |  |  |

Table 7.10 Cost effectiveness of structures/ activities*

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Name of project | Name of WC | Name of structure/ activity | Estimated cost (Rs.in Lakhs) | Expected quantifiable benefits (Rs.) | Expenditure incurred (Rs.) | Actual quantifiable benefit (Rs.) | Benefit: Cost ratio ${ }^{\#}$ | IRR |
| RI BHOI | IWMP II | Umsyei And UmlathuWC | Protection walls | 4.88 | 5.20 | NA | NA | 1.065 |  |
|  |  |  | Loose boulder check dam | 2.25 | 3.00 | NA | NA | 1.33 |  |
|  |  |  | Water harvesting and distribution | 8.20 | 10.60 | NA | NA | 1.29 |  |
|  |  |  | Dugout ponds | 1.60 | 3.20 | NA | NA | 2.00 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CC check } \\ \text { dam } \end{gathered}$ | 5.382 | 6.50 | NA | NA | 1.20 |  |
|  |  |  | Run-off channel | 2.101 | 2.50 | NA | NA | 1.19 |  |
|  |  |  | Livelihoods | 15.00 | 20.00 | NA | NA | 1.33 |  |
|  |  |  | Production systems | 19.50 | 25.00 | NA | NA | 1.28 |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 58.913 | 76.00 | NA | NA | 1.29 |  |

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, no. of projects, from column no. 5 , no. of WCs, from column no. 6 , no. of structures/ activities, from column no. 7 to 10 , category-wise\# totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.
\# B:C ratio more than 1 -cost effective
less than 1 - Not cost effective
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ANNEXURE III
COST ESTIMATES

# MODEL NORMS PER HECTARE FOR AGRO - HORTICULTURE WITH CITRUS FRUIT 

(INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)
(Rate as per PWD, SOR for R\&B 2008-2009)
Spacing $\quad-8 \mathrm{mx} 6.3 \mathrm{~m}$
Plant Density - 200 Nos.
A. Preliminary works
I. Site clearance

3 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 300.00
II. Pit digging (pit size $0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) 200 Nos. @ Rs.5/- each - $\quad$ Rs. 1000.00

Total - Rs.1300.00
B. First year Planting
I. Cost of planting materials 200 Nos. @ Rs.10/- each - Rs.2000.00
II. Cost of planting 200 Nos. @ Rs. 3/- each - Rs. 600.00
III. Weeding two times

20 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs.2000.00
C. Second year Planting
I. Refilling vacancy (10\%) - Rs. 360.00
II. Weeding two times

20 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs.2000.00
III Plant protection measures including cost of chemical - Rs. 340.00

Total - Rs. 2700.00
Grand Total $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}=$ Rs. $1300.00+$ Rs. $4600.00+$ Rs. $2700.00=$ Rs. 8600.00
(Rupees Eight thousand six hundred) only.

## MODEL NORMS PER HECTARE FOR AFFORESTATION WITH PINE/

## NON-PINE (INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)

(Rate as per PWD, SOR for R\&B 2008-2009)
B. Preliminary works
J. Jungle clearance etc.

5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday - Rs. 500.00
II. Pit digging (pit size $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) 300 Nos. @ Rs.4/- each

Total $\quad \frac{\text { Rs. } 1200.00}{-\quad \text { Rs. } 1700.00}$
B. First year Planting
I. Cost of planting materials
300 Nos. @ Rs.8/- each
Rs. 2400.00
II. Cost of planting 300 Nos. @ Rs. 2/- each

Rs. 600.00
III. Weeding two times 20 mandays
@ Rs.100/- per manday
Rs. 2000.00
IV. Fire protection measures 5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday

Total - $\quad \begin{array}{ll} & \text { Rs. } \quad 500.00 \\ - & \text { Rs. } 5500.00\end{array}$
C. Second year Planting
I. Vacancy refilling (10\%) - Rs. 400.00
II. Weeding two times 20 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday

Rs. 2000.00
III. Fire protection measures 5 mandays @ Rs.100/- per manday
$\begin{array}{rlr} & - & \text { Rs. } \\ \text { Total } & 500.00 \\ & - & \text { Rs. } 2900.00\end{array}$
Grand Total $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}=$ Rs. $1700.00+$ Rs. $5500.00+$ Rs. $2900.00=$ Rs. 10100.00
(Rupees Ten thousand one hundred) only.

## ANNEXURE IV

MoA, SUB COMMITTEE DETAILS ETC.

##  <br> 

Registration fee of Rs．250／－（Rupees Two Hundred Fifty）only（Paid）．
（นัวัลวัว （TUYENTTYFIRST）Day of 04 （ATRLS．） 2011 （Two Thousand
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## NO．JR／U．W．C．I．W．M．P．II－30／2011 of 2011.

## CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION OF SOCIETIES

## － 5


－

3. Date of establishment :
P.O. Nongpohn..................... PS ..Pathrutshmah.

Memorandum of Association
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