**GOVERNMENT OF MEGHALAYA DEPARTMENT** 

OF

**SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION WEST GARO HILLS** 

## **DETAILED PROJECT REPORT**

## JEMBRA NOMIL ASI INTEGRATED WATERSHED

## **MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME**

IWMP - IV 2009 - 2010



GAMBEGRE C&RD BLOCK

WEST GARO HILLS

MEGHALAYA

## **SUMMARY**

Name of the Sate	:	Meghalaya
Name of the District	:	West Garo Hills
Name of the C&RD Block	:	Selsella
Name of the Village	:	Okkapara
Name of the Project	:	IWMP-IV
Total Geographical Area	:	842.80 Ha
Total Treatment Area	:	500 Ha
Total Project Cost	:	75 lakhs
Project Duration	:	5 Years
Project Implementing Agency	:	Soil & Water Conservation Territorial Division, Tura.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- ANNEXTURE I MAPS.
- ANNEXTURE II COST ESTIMATES.
- ANNEXTURE III MoA, SUB COMMITTEE DETAILS ETC.

## CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

### **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

### 1.1 Project Background:

The Jembra Nomil Asi (IWMP) Project is located in Gambegre C&RD Block, West Garo Hills District of Meghalaya. Consisting of a single micro-watershed, the project area is drained by the Mellim river and its tributaries flowing in a south to west direction. The total area is 842.80 Ha. with 500 Ha to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP). The Project area is located at a distance of about 45 km from Tura the District Headquarter . There is only one village which is covered under the project.i.e.-

i) Okkapara

### **1.2 Micro-watershed Information:**

The micro-watershed code is ...... as codified by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The total area of the microwatershed is 842.80 Ha., with 500 hectares to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

### **1.3 Need and Scope for Watershed Development:**

The micro-watershed Jembra Nomil Asi falls under the High Priority category as per the prioritization of watersheds by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The farmers are all marginal and Jhum cultivation is practiced by most of the inhabitants of these villages on the slopes. Even though the area receives ample rainfall during the monsoons, there is acute shortage of water during the dry seasons and the villagers have to travel long distances for fetching water even for domestic use.

### 1.4 Other developmental projects/schemes running in the Project Area:

The other developmental projects/schemes undertaken in the Project Area are:-

### 1. NREGS

# CHAPTER II BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

### CHAPTER II BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

### 2.1 Location:

The Project area is located at West Garo Hills. It is situated at a distance of about 45 km from Tura the District Headquarter . The geographical location is between  $90^{\circ}05'00"$  to  $90^{\circ}08'00"$ E Longitude and  $25^{\circ}25'00"$ N to  $25^{\circ}28'00"$ N Latitude. There is only one village within the Watershed which is as follows –

i) Okkapara

### 2.2 Physiography:

The physiography of the micro-watershed is highly undulating. The altitude ranges from a minimum of 80 m to a high of 220 m above mean sea level. About 45% (371.85 Ha) falls under 80-108 m elevation. The watershed shows flat gentle slopes with 43% of the geographical area having 15-45% slope.

Elevation (metres)	Slope Range (%)	Order of watershed Sub/Micro-watershed	Major streams	Topography
80 – 220 m	1 – 50%	3 Order of Mellim River Micro W/S	i)Jembra Nomil Asi Stream ii)Bokma Stream iii)Songtar Stream iv)Boldak Stream v)Ringbil Stream vi)Chigitcha k Stream	Flat and gentle slopes

### Table 2.1: Physiographic details

### 2.3 Drainage:

The major stream draining the micro-watershed is the Jembra Nomil Asi which is a2<sup>th</sup>to3<sup>th</sup> order stream flowing in a north-west direction. The slopes of the micro-watershed are dissected by numerous small tributaries flowing to the Jembra Nomil Asi.

### 2.4 Soil:

Soil in general is moderately deep with clay to loamy clay in surface structure. They are moderately acidic in nature. The soil depth is deep to moderately deep. Due to uniform slopes and presence of many water courses, no drainage problem exists. The water shed area does not have major erosion problem with 520.20 Ha area facing moderate erosion problem.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Sl. No.	Names of State	Names of District	Names of Projects	Cause	Types of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run-off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year)
				Water of	erosion:			
		West alaya Garo	WGH IWMP-	a	Sheet	500		
				b	Rill		NA	NA
1	Meghalaya			с	Gully			
		Hills IV		Sub	total	500		
				Wind e	erosion	Nil	Nil	Nil

Table 2.2: Details of soil erosion in the project areas:

### 2.5 Climate:

The watershed lies under Central Hyper-thermic Agro-climatic plateau. The average annual rainfall is about 3600 mm. Monsoon normally starts in the middle of May and last till middle of October. About 80% of the total annual rainfall is received from June to September. May and June are the hottest month recording average maximum temperature of 32C. December and January accounts for lowest temperature of 10 to 12 C

 Table 2.3: Agro-climatic zones of the project areas, soil types, average rainfall and major crops.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9					
		Name of the	Area	Names	Names	Major soil typ	es	Average annual	Major cr	rops				
Sl. No	Name of State	Agro- (i climati ha c zone	(in ha)	of the districts	of the Projects	a) Type	b) Area (ha)	(preceding 5 years' average)	a) Name	b) Area (ha)				
									Paddy	56.90				
		Central Hypert alahermic Plateau 500								Arecanut	50.00			
									Cashew	58.20				
			Hypert nermic Plateau 500	t <sup>2</sup> 500	500			West	WGH	CI			Maize	10.00
1	Meghala va					Garo	IWMP-	Loamy Clavey	500	3600mm				
	2	50-150		Hills	IV	5 5 5								
		m												
								Total		175.10				

### **2.5 Agriculture:**

The Project village has about 56.90 Ha of land under Permanent cultivation system. Crops are cultivated under rain fed condition and thus offer only single cropping. Thus the village hardly produce market surplus of agricultural crops though market is available. The major crop includes paddy with total production of about 682.80 quintals per annum. Maize is cultivated in about 10 Ha of agriculture land with total production of 240 Quintals annually.

Table 2.4: Crop yield and production

Crops	Area (ha)	Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.	Total Production (Qtl.)
Paddy	56.90	682.80	-
Arecanut	50.00	-	-
Cashew	58.20	-	-
Maize	10.00	240	

### 2.6 Natural Vegetation:

The project area has about 270.50 Ha of degraded forest which comprises 33.33% of the total geographical area. Various biotic factors i.e. deforestation for Commercial use and horticultural activities have destroyed the rich biodiversity and left scrub vegetation in most of the area. The dominant species in the area includes Albizzia spp, Schima wallichii, Emblica officianalis, Bombax cieba and bamboo spp namely, Dendrocalamus and Melocana baccifera.

#### 2.7 Socio-Economic Profile:

The Socio-economic condition of the people is poor. The per capita holding of agricultural land is 3.44 Ha. The entire population depends upon agriculture and horticulture for sustenance. There are about 110 small farmers with average agricultural land holding 3-5 Ha.

<u>Demographic Status</u>: There are total number of 110 households in the village The total population of watershed area is 658.

### Infrastructure facilities :

- 2.1.1 *Roads:* The Project area is about 4 km from the main road and is connected by an all weather road.
- 2.1.2 *School:* there are only two numbers of Primary Schools within the Project Area run either by the Mission or by the Government.
- 2.1.3 *Electricity* : Only 44% of the village household are electrified.
- 2.1.4 *Health:* The Project does not have any veterinary dispensary or Primary Health Centre in the village.
- 2.1.5 Water Supply:Dikimpara village has PHE water supply but there is no proper drinking water connectivity at Jebalgre village and thus need to depends on springs available in the area to meet the daily requirement. About 30 households do not have access to drinking water system and depend on natural streams.
- 2.1.6 *Market* : There is no any market under this project area

### Table 2.5: Infrastructure Status.

1	2		3	4			
Name	Name						
of	of		Parameters:	Status			
District	Project						
		(i)	Whether connected to the main road by an all weather road		Y	ES	
		(ii)	No. of households without electricity	Okka	para(70	House	holds)
		(iii)	No. of households without access to drinking water		3	80	
		(iv)	No. of educational	(P)	(S)	(HS)	(VI)
			institutions: Primary (P)/ Secondary (S)/ Higher Secondary (HS)/ Vocational institution (VI)	2	NIL	NIL	NIL
		(v)	Distance of project village from nearest Primary Health Centre	n 5 Km			
	WGH- IWMP- IV	(vi)	Distance of project village from nearest Veterinary Dispensary	Mellim 5 Km			
		(vii)	Distance of project village from nearest Post Office	Mellim 5 Km			
WGH		(viii)	Distance of project village from nearest Banks	Garobadha 25 Km			
		(ix)	Distance of project village from nearest Markets/ mandis		Mellir	n 5 km	
		(x)	Distance of project village from nearest Agro- Industries	NIL			
		(xi)	Total quantity of surplus milk		N	ĨL	
		(xii)	No. of milk collection	(U)	(S)	(PA)	(0)
			centres (e.g. Union (U)/ Society (S)/ Private agency (PA)/ Others (O))	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
		(xiii)	No. of villages with access to Aganwadi Centres			1	
		(xiv)	No. of worship place			1	
		(xv)	No. of Community Hall		N	ΊL	
		(xvi)	No. of water tanks/Ringwell/Spring chamber			3	

### 2.8 Livestock:

There are only 7 kinds of livestock farming being farmed in the area viz. Piggery, Poultry,Cattle and Goatery .

Type of Animal	Population
Piggery	81140
Poultry	707927
Goatery	120311
Cattle	220562
Buffaloes	8223
Horse & Ponies	18
Sheep	6228
Total	1,144,409

**Table 2.6: Existing livestock population** 

### 2.9 Land ownership:

The proposed project is under the "A'king land tenure system." prevailing in Garo Hills District of Meghalaya in which a land is held a particular class {Mahari) under the custody of the Head of the Clan or a Village Chief called "Nokma" recognized as such by the Garo Hils District Councils.

### Table 2.7: Land Holding:

1	2	3	4	5		6			
Name of	Name of	Types of	No. of	No. of BPL	L	Land holding (ha)			
Distri ct	the Project	Farmer	househol ds	househo lds	Irrigated	Rainfed	Total		
		(i) Large(>5 Ha)	-	-	-	-	_		
<b>XX</b> 7 /		(ii) Small(1-5 Ha)	-	-	-	-	_		
West Garo Hills	IWMP- IV	(iii) Marginal(<1 Ha)	110	-	-	165.1	165.1		
		(iv) Landless	-	-	-	-	-		
		Sub – Total	110	-	_	165.1	165.1		

1	2	3	4					5						
Name of Nam Distric t Project	Name		Total Area (ha) Area owned/ In possession of				Area available for treatment (ha)							
	of the Projects	CPR Particulars	Pvt. Person	Govt. (specif y deptt.)	PRI	Any other (Communi ty)	Pvt. Person	Govt. (specify deptt.)	PRI	Any other (Community)				
		(i) Wasteland/ degraded land	-	-	-	110.9		-	-	110.9				
		(ii) Pastures	-	-	-	-		-	-					
		(iii) Orchards	273.1	-	-	100	30.4	-	-					
		(iv) Village woodlot	-	-	-	-		-	-					
		(v) Forest	-	-	-	270.5		-	-	270.5				
West	WGH	(vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks	-	-	-	-		-	-					
Garo Hills	IWMP- IX	(vii) Community Buildings	-	-	-	-		-	-					
		(viii) Weekly Markets	-	-	-			-	-					
		(ix) Permanent Markets	-	-	-			-	-					
		(x) Temples/ Places of worship	-	-	-	-		-	-					
						(xi) Jhum Cultivation		-	-	31.3		-	-	31.3
		(xii)Permanent Cultivation	56.9	-	-	-	56.9	-	-					
		(xiii) Habitation including streams	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	Total		330.10	-	-	512.70	87.30	-	-	412.70				

 Table 2.5: Common Property Resources in the Project Area

2.9 Land use and land cover : As per the map .

#### 2.10 Problems of the Area :

The primary problems of the area is jhumming. Majority of the population depends on Jhum Cultivation for their livelihood. Vast tracks of abandoned Jhum areas which has further degraded the capability of the land. Moreover, unscientific method of cultivation has not only reduced the Jhum cycle and crop yield but had adversely affected the ecological balance within the area. Road communication is another infrastructural problems that the area is facing where large volume crops like pineapple, jackfruits etc do not find their way into the market which has resulted in poor socio-economic status of the people. However, to control or to overcome the said problems an innovative approach has been formulated and documented in the Action Plan or the Treatment Plan the Detailed Project Report. The method of identification of the problems is through the Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercises conducted in all the villages within the Watershed.

## **CHAPTER III**

## **PROJECT PLANNING & INSTITUTION BUILDING**

### **CHAPTER III**

### **PROJECT PLANNING & INSTITUTION BUILDING**

### **3.1 Scientific Planning**

- i) <u>Base Line Survey</u>: To establish a benchmark for assessing the impact of any intervention (pre-project & post project) a baseline survey is essential. The baseline survey included household census & socio-economic survey by using structured and semi –structured questionnaires, bio-physical survey to identify and assess the status of natural resources in the project area.
- ii) <u>Participatory Rural Appraisal</u>: To further obtain information on the project area, the people, resources, various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, seasonal calendars, matrix ranking, Venn diagrams were used.
- iii) <u>GIS & Remote Sensing</u>: To facilitate the process of prioritization and planning Geographic Information System was use. The land use and land cover (LULC) maps were prepared by the North Eastern Space Application Centre (NESAC) using the LISS III images (2006). The activities were located on the field by using GPS and accordingly transferred to the maps on GIS platform.

1	2	2
Sl.No.	Scientific criteria/ inputs used	No. of projects in which scientific criteria were used
А.	Planning	
	Cluster approach	3
	Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the name of the	YES
	Institute.	i)NESAC,Nongsder
		ii)SNLA,GIS lab,Shillong
	Baseline survey	YES
	Hydro-geological survey	GIS survey/engineering Survey
	Contour mapping	Toposheet(1:50000)
	Participatory Net Planning (PNP)	PRA exercise

### Table 3.1: Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP projects:

1	2	2
	Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover	YES
	Ridge to Valley treatment	YES
	Online IT connectivity between	
	(1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP	YES
	(2) DRDA and SLNA	YES
	(3) SLNA and DoLR	YES
	Availability of GIS layers	
	1. Cadastral map	NO
	2. Village boundaries	NO
	3. Drainage	YES
	4. Soil (Soil nutrient status)	YES
	5. Land use	YES
	6. Ground water status	NO
	7. Watershed boundaries	YES
	8. Activity	YES
	Crop simulation models <sup>#</sup>	NO
	Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy for high speed soil nutrient analysis	NO
	Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)#	YES
	Weather Stations	NO
В.	Inputs	
	1. Bio-pesticides	NO
	2. Organic manures	YES
	3. Vermi-compost	NO
	4. Bio-fertilizer	YES
	5. Water saving devices	YES
	6. Mechanized tools/ implements	NO
	7. Bio-fencing	YES
	8. Nutrient budgeting	YES
	9. Automatic water level recorders & sediment samplers	NO
	Any other (please specify)	

### **3.2 Project Implementing Agency:**

The PIA is the Soil & Water Conservation Territorial Division, Tura West Garo HillsDistrict of Meghalaya. The Project Manager will be the Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer and will be assisted by an Asst. Soil & Water Conservation Officer along with WDT members in which expertise is drawn from the relevant fields for achieving smooth and successful implementation of the project.

1	2		3				
Names of Districts	Names of projects		Details of PIA				
		(i)	Type of organization#	Government			
		(ii)	Name of organization	Soil & Water Conservation (T) Division,			
		(iii)	Designation & Address	Divisional Officer, Tura Soil & Water Cons.(T)			
West Garo Hills	W.G.H. IWMP-IV			Division, W.G.H, Tura Meghalaya.			
		(iv)	Telephone	03651-222354			
		(v)	Fax	03651-222354			
		(vi)	E-mail	turadivsoil@gmail.com			

**3.3 Institution Building** 

### i) Watershed Committee (WC)

The Watershed Committee of the Upper Dabang Watershed IWMP-II was constituted with the active involvement of the villagers with strong support of the Traditional Institutions (Village Durbar/Council). The Upper Dabang Watershed Committee has been registered under the Society Registration Act 1983.

### Table 3.2: Details of Watershed Committees (WC):

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Names of the Districts	Names of projects	Names of WCs	Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	Designa tion	M/F	SC	ST	SF	MF	LF	Land- less	UG	SHG	GP	Any other	Educa- tional ualify- cation	Function/s assigned#
				President	М		ST									Class XII	A to I
W.G.H	W.G.H- IWMP-	Jembra Nomil	Under	Secretary	М		ST									P.U (Arts)	A to I
	IV	Asi	progress	Member	5 M		ST									Class	A to I
				Member	3 F		ST									III-VII	A to I
				Member													

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

B. Planning

D.

F.

- Signing of cheques and making payments
- Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
- J. Any other (please specify).

### ii) Self Help Group

Awareness programmes were organized in the villages to inform and sensitize the people on the essence of organizing themselves in to homogenous groups for uplifting their livelihood especially for the women and the landless. Discussions were held at length with the WDT on the scope and procedure of group formation, availing credit, grading of the groups and so on

1	2		3				4				5			6	
Names of	Nomaa of	То	tal no. of reg	no. of registered SHGs No. of members					No. o	f SC/S' catego	T in each ory	No.	of BPL catego	in each ory	
the Districts	projects	With only Men	With only Women	With both	Total	Categories	М	F	Total	М	F	Total	М	F	Total
	WGH					(i) Landless									
WGH	IWMP_	1No	1 Nos	1 Nos	3 Nos	(ii) SF									
W.O.II	IV WII -	1110	1 1105	1 1005	5 1105	(iii) MF	15	15	30	15	15	30	NA	NA	NA
	1.4					(iv) LF									

 Table 3.3: Details of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

### iii) User Group

To manage the assets created and ensure their sustainability User Groups will be formed. The people have been sensitized on the importance of ensuring that the assets created are sustainably used and the essentiality of having User Groups for maintenance and operation of their assets.

1	2		3				4				5			6	
Names of Districts	Names of		Total no.	of Ugs		No. c	of meml	bers		No. c	of SC/S catego	Г in each ry	No. of B	PL in eac	h category
Trailes of Districts	Projects	Men	Women	Both	Total	Categories	М	F	Total	М	F	Total	М	F	Total
						(i)Landless									
						(ii) SF									
W.G.H	W.G.H. IWMP-IV					(iii) MF									
						(iv) LF									
Total					NIL				NIL			NIL			NIL

### **Table 3.4: User Group Details**

CHAPTER IV PROJECT ACTIVITIES

## CHAPTER IV PROJECT ACTIVITIES

## 4.1 Preparatory Phase:

i) Entry Point Activities (EPA)

(Financial – Rs. in lakh)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Sl. No.	State	District	Names of Project	Amount earmarked for EPA	Entry Point Activities planned	Estimated cost	Expenditure incurred	Balance	Expected outcome	Actual outcome
1	Meghalaya	W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP-IV	3.00 Lakh	Construction of Spring Chamber/Ringwe ll	3.00 Lakh	-	-	_	Increase in availability of drinking water

## ii) Other activities of Preparatory Phase:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
District	Name of Projects	Initiation of village level institution	Capacity building	IEC activities	Baseline survey	Hydro- geologi cal survey	Identifyin g technical support agencies	Resource agree-ments	Prepar ation of DPR	Evaluati on of DPR	Any other (please specify)	Cost incurre d (Rs. In lakh)
W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP- IX	a) Rapport Building b) Community meeting c)Formation of	a) Project concept/roles and responsibility of W.C b) Concept/roles and responsibility of SHG and UG c) Concept/roles and responsibility of of WDT members d) Off- campus exposure trip to research Institutes/Esta blished farms etc.	a)Pamplet s b)Banners c)Posters	a)Particip atory Rural Appraisal s b)Socio Economic Survey	a)GPS survey b)Engi- neering Survey	a) NIRD b)SIRD c)ICAR d)NEHU	a) NOC with village headman for under-taking develop- mental works b) Agreement for convergence of NREGS scheme with IWMP with VEC.	a)Res ource invent ory works	Done		1.5

### 4.2 Watershed Works Phase:

## 4.2.1 Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5		6								7					
					]	Pre Pro	ject						Prop	osed Proje	ect				
								A	ugmenta existing	tion/ repa	ur of es	Con	struction	of new stru	uctures		Total	target	_
S1 N o	Name of States	Name of Distri cts	Name of Project s	Type of structures	N o	Are a irrig ated (ha)	Stor age capa city	No	Area to be treate d (ha)	Stora ge capaci ty	Estima ted cost (in lakhs)	No/R M	Area to be treate d (ha)	Storage capacit y (per unit)	Estimat ed cost (in lakhs)	No	Area to be treat ed (ha)	Storag e capaci ty (m <sup>3</sup> )	Estima ted cost
1				Dug out Pond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			WGH	C.C Check cum Irrigation Dam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	86	774	1.00	1	86	774	1.00
	Megh	W.G.	IWMP- IV	Conservatio n Pond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	alaya	Н		Earthen Irrigation Channel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1480. rmt	24	-	0.74	1480. rmt	24	-	0.74
				Water harvesting farm pond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	81	1215	4.00	4	81	1215	4.00
			Total										191	1989	5.74		191	1989	5.74

						8					9	10
				A	chievement	due to proje	ct					
Aug	mentation/ stru	<sup>7</sup> repair of e actures	existing	C	Construction	of new struc	ctures	Т	otal achievem	ent	Change in storage capacity (col 8-6)	Change in irrigated area (ha) Col. (8-6)
No	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity	Expenditur e incurred (in lakhs)	No	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity	Expenditure incurred (in lakhs)	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity	Estimated incurred		-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## 4.2.2 Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project areas:

	1 2	)	3	4	5		6					7								8				9
						Pre-	-project			F	Propo	sed tar	get	-				Achiev	vemer	nt due to	o proje	ct		
S	S. Nan No o	nes f	Name s of Distri	Names of project	Type of structures	No.	Area	Aug repa re	gmentat ir of exi echargin structure	tion/ isting ng es	Co	nstruct w recha structu	tion of arging ares	Total	target	Au rep	igmentati air of exis rechargin structures	on/ sting g s	Cor new	nstructio v rechar structure	on of ging es	To achiev	tal ement	Change in irrigated area
	. Sta	tes	cts	S			d (ĥa)	No.	Area to be irrigat ed (ha)	Estim ated cost	No.	Area to be irrigat ed (ha)	Estima ted cost	Area to be irrigat ed (ha)	Estima ted cost	No.	Area irrigated (ha)	Expe ndi- ture incur red	No	Area irri- gated (ha)	Expe ndi- ture incur red	Area irri- gated (ha)	Expen di-ture incurre d	(Col. 8-6) (ha)
					(i)Dug out Pond	0				0	19	20	7.6	20	7.6	0		0	0		0	0	0	0
1	Meg	ghal	West	WGH			NIL		NIL								NIL			NIL				
	aya		Garo Hills	-IV																				
					Total for the project							20	7.6											

4.2.3 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas.	

	2				3			
			Major activities of	of the UGs –Ta	rgets			
Names of	Names of		Structure/ ac	tivity proposed		No. of UGs	Estimated	Amount of WDF to be
Districts	Projects	Sl. No.	Туре	No.#	Treatment (ha)	involved	Cost	(Rs.)
		1.	C.C Check-cum irrigation dam	1 Nos	86 Ha	2	1.00	0.05
		2	Stone masonry Protection Wall	2Nos	39 Ha	2	1.00	0.05
W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP-IV	3	Earthen Irrigation Channel	1480 rmt	24 Ha	1	0.74	0.037
			Total		149 Ha	5	2.74	0.137

## 4.2.4 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas:

				4					
				Major activities of the	UGs – Achievements				
	Structu	ure/ activity			Expenditure incurred	No. of	mandays		Amount of WDF collected
Sl. No.	Туре	No.#	Treated Area (ha.)	No. of UGs involved	(Rs.)	SC	ST	F	(Rs.)
1.	C.C Check-cum irrigation dam	1 Nos	86 Ha	2	1.00		240	160	0.05
2	Stone masonry Protection Wall	2Nos	39 Ha	2	1.00		240	160	0.05
3	Earthen Irrigation Channel	1480 rmt	24 Ha	1	0.74		444	296	0.037
	Total		149 Ha	5	2.74		924	616	0.71

4.2.5 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

1	2		3	
			Major activities of the SHC	Js -
Names of the Districts	Names of projects	Name of activity	No. of SHGs involved	Average annual income from activity per SHG
		Piggery	7	2.80
	WGH	Poultry	5	1.75
West Garo Hills	IWMP-IV			
	Total		12	4.55

## 4.2.6 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

4			5			6	7		8		9	10	
	Г	Total assistance re (Amou	eceived by the nt in Rs.)	e SHG		Fotol onnual Incomo	Total appual	No. of S	SHGs	Graded as	Total Amount of		
given training	Loan from revolving fund	Training	Material	ncome generating activities	Amount	generated (Rs.)	Savings (Rs.)	Ι	II	III	loan sanctioned by the bank(s)	federated	
				Tailoring	0.72	0.20							
8 nos	NIL	1.90	NIL	Piggery	2.80	0.40	1 92					1	
0 1103				Poultry	1.75	0.50	1.92					1	
				Weaving	0.88	0.50							

## 4.2.7 Other activities of watershed works phase:

1	2	3		4		5		6		7		8			9	10	)	1	1	12		13
District	Names of projects	Ridge a	area ent	Drainage treatm	e line ent	Nursery	raising	Land deve	elopment	Cro demons ns	pp stratio	Horticul Cash ( Develoj	ture & Crop pment	Vete	rinary vices	Fish develoj	ery pment	No conver ene	n- ntional rgy Any other (p specify)		(please fy)	Total cost incurred (Rs. In lakhs)
		(a)	(b) (Rs)	(a)	(b) (Rs)	(a)	(b) (Rs)	(a)	(b) (Rs)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b) (Rs)	(a)	(b) (Rs)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	
W G H	W.G.H IWMP- IV	i)Impro vement of degrade d forest(4 0 Ha)	1.44	i)check dam. ii)protec tion wall. iii)farm pond. iv)dug out pond. v)Chann el. vi)Earth en embank ment	1.00 1.00 4.00 7.6 0.74 2.10			i)Wet Terrac e(40H a)	6.00	-	-	i)Rubb er plantat ion(10 0 Ha) ii)Are canut plantat ion (30 Ha)	15.0 0 3.42	i)pig gery ii)po ultry	2.80	Supply of fingerl ings (40unit )	0.40	-	-	i)Kitch en Garden (36 unit) ii)Tailo ring(11 unit) iii)Wea ving(6 unit) iv)Carp entry(1 0 unit)	5.4 0.88 0.72 0.5	
	Total		1.44		16.4 4				6.00				18.4 2		4.55		0.40				7.5	54.75

## 4.2.8 Details of engineering structures in watershed works:

	1	2	3	4			5			6	7						8					
				Тур	e of treatm	ent	]	Type of I	land	Executing agency			Та	arget		Achiever				nievement		
District		Project	Name of structures	(i) Ridge area (R)	(ii) Drainage line (D)	(iii) Land Dev. (L)	(i) Pri- vate	(ii) Com- munity	(iii) Others (pl. specify)	(i) UG (ii)SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	No. of units (No./ cum./ rmt)	Esti	mated co laki	ost (Rs. in 1)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)	No. o units (No./ cu.m./ rmt)	fExp ir (Rs	oend ncur . in	liture red lakh)	Status of comple-tion	Actual month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)	
												Μ	W	ОТ			Μ	W	T C			
			Dug out Pond		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			UG/WC	19 Nos		7.6	7.6	31/3/2012	r						
			Check Dam							UG/WC	1 Nos	0.4	0.6	1.00	31/3/2012							
			Wet Terrace							UG/WC	40 Nos		6.00	6.00	31/3/2012							
,	WGH	W.G.H	Stone masonry Protection Wall					V		UG/WC	2 Nos	0.4	0.6	1.00	31/3/2012							
	w.O.II	1 vv IvII -1 v	Earthen irrigation Channel					V		UG/WC	1480 Rmt		0.74	0.20	31/3/2012							
			Water Harvesting farm pond		√					UG/WC	4 Nos	1.6	2.4	4.00	31/3/2012							
			Earthen Embankment					V		UG/WC	300 rm		2.10	2.10	31/3/2012							
			Total									2.40	20.04	22.44								
				1	1		1	1		1				•	1						1	

## **4.2.9** Details of engineering structures in watershed works.

							9										
							Outcomes										
		Water le	evel (m)	Proc (qu	luction lintal)	Income	e (Rs.)		Ν	/landays g	enerated			ſ	No. of benefi	ziaries	
Reduction in run off (cu.m)	Area treated# (ha)																
		Pre-project	Post project	Pre-project	Post project	Pre- Project	Post project	SC	ST	Others (Men)	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
NA	262	NA	NA	Paddy (15 Qtls)	Paddy (30 Qtls)	20,000	30,000		11448		7632	19080		79		31	110
				Maize (42 Qlts)	Maize (53 Qlts)	30,000	50,000										

### **2.10 Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works:**

1	2	3		4			5		6	7					8					
			Тур	e of treati	ment	Type of land			Executing agency	Target					Achievement					
Distr ict	Proj ect	Name of structure/ work	(i) Ridge area (R)	(ii) Drainag e line (D)	(iii) Land dev. (L)	(i) Priva te	(ii) Com munit y	(iii) Other s (pl. specif y)	(i) UG (ii)SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	Area (ha)	No. of plants	Estimate d cost (Rs. in lakh)	Expected month & year of comple- tion (mm/ yyyy)	Area (ha)	No. of plants	Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh)	Actual month & year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy)			
		Improvement of degraded	R		С				WC	40 Ha	1000	1.44	31/3/2013							
	IW	Rubber Plantation	R			Р			Farmers	100 Ha	45,000	15.0	31/3/2013							
WG	MP																			
Н	-IV	Arecanut		D		Р			Farmers	30 Ha	36,000	3.42	31/3/2013							

# in case two or more activities are executed over same area, the figures in area treated should be accounted only once and should reflect only the actual watershed area treated.

4.2.11 Details of vegetative structures in watershed works: Phase – II (contd.):

								9							
								Outcom	nes						
Name	Reduc tion in	Produc (quint	tion al)	Inc (F	ome (s.)			Mandays	generated				No. of bene	ficiaries	
activitie s	run off (cu.m)	Pre-project	Post	Pre-project	Post project	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
Improvem ent of degraded	NA	0	<u> </u>				346		230	576		0		0	0
Rubber Plantatio n	NA	0	300	0	3000000		3600		2400	6000		0		0	0
Arecanut	NA	1623	2073	1298400	1658400		821		547	1368		0		0	0
Total		1623	2373	1298400	4658400		4767		3177	7944		0		0	0

### 4.2.12 Details of allied / other activities:

1	2	3		4		5		6	7		
				Type of	land	Executing agency		Target	Achiev	vement	
District	Project	Name of activity@	(i) Privat e	(ii) Communit y	(iii) Others (landless)	(i) UG (ii)SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)	Expendi-ture incurred (Rs. in lakh)	Actual month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)	
		Kitchen gardening	$\checkmark$		Individual	Private	5.40	31/3/2012			
		Piggery			SHG	SHG/UG	2.80	31/3/2012			
		Poultry			SHG	SHG/UG	1.75	31/3/2012			
West	WCH	Tailoring			SHG	SHG/UG	0.88	31/3/2012			
Garo Hills	IWMP-IV	Carpentry					0.5	31/3/2012			
		Fingerlings	$\checkmark$			Private	0.40	31/3/2012			
		Weaving				Private	0.72	31/3/2012			
		Dug out pond	1		Individual	Private	4 80	31/3/2012			
		Total					17.25	01,0,2012			

(Contd.)\* from column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of Projects; from column no. 5, activity-wise totals, from column no. 6, type-wise totals, from column no. 7, agency-wise totals, from column no. 8, total estimated cost, from column no. 9, total expenditure incurred, structure-wise no. of completed works, from column no. 10, item-wise totals, for the entire country may be indicated at the end of the table

@The activities given in this column are merely indicative and States are free to choose any other activity suited to the project area.

#### 8 Outcomes Income (Rs.) Mandays generated No. of beneficiaries Name of Post project SC ST Women SC ST Others Total Pre-project Others Total Women activities 5000-6000 20,000-129 2142 36 36 NIL Kitchen 864 25,000 gardening 6 672 NIL 1,000-2,000 20,000-448 20 20 1120 Piggery 30,000 2,000-3,000 15,000-420 280 700 NIL 20 20 Poultry 20,000 NIL 20,000-NIL 10 211 141 352 10 Tailoring 30,000 NIL NIL NIL 10 10,000-NIL 0 10 Carpentry 15,000 50,000-5 NIL NIL NIL 0 5 Fingerlings 80,000 20,000-5 5 NIL 173 115 288 Weaving 30,000 277 Total 1848 4620 51 55 106 2

### **4.2.13 Details of allied / other activities:**
## 14.3 Consolidation and withdrawal phase: Details of activities in the CPRs in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5			6				7				
						Та	rget			А	chievem	ent			
Names of the Districts	Names of projects	Name(s) of the villages	CPR particulars	Activity proposed	Target area under the	Estimated expenditure	Expected no. of beneficia-	Estimated contri- bution to	Area treated under the	Expenditure incurred	Actual no. of benefici	No	). of man	ıdays	WDF collected
					(ha)	(KS.)	ries	WDF (Rs.)	activity (na)	(KS.)	-aries	SC	ST	F	(KS.)
			Repairing												
West Garo	WGH IWMP-	Okkapara	maintanance			1.75		0.0875							
Hills	IV	<u>.</u>	of CPR's												

# CHAPTER V PROJECT PHASING & BUDGETING

#### CHAPTER V

**PROJECT PHASING & BUDGETING** 

#### ACTION PLAN OF JEMBRA NOMIL ASI WATERSHED UNDER IWMP TERRITORIAL DIVISION: TURA

#### Name of District :- West Garo Hills

No. of Villages: 1 nos Project Area : 500 Ha

	Name of C&RD Block:-O			Pro	oject Area : 50	0 Ha							
Sl.	Activities		( ( ) )		(1.10)							-	
No		lst Yea	r(6%)	IInd Yea	ar(14%)	Illrd Ye	ar(50%)	IV Year	(25%)	V Yea	r(5%)	Total(in	i lakhs)
		Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	MANAGEMENT COST:												
Α	Administrative Cost:-10%			2%		5%		3%				10%	
i	Honourarium of WDT Members @ Rs.8000/- month- 1 no.				0.96		0.96		0.96				2.88
ii	Honourarium of Watershed Committee Chairman @500/ month				0.01		0.06		0.02				0.09
iii	Honourarium of WCM @ Rs. 200/Members/month for 9 nos.				0.036		0.216		0.072				0.324
iv	Honourarium of Charter Accountant				0.15		0.15		0.15				0.45
v	TA/DA/ of Field Asst. @ 5000/- month				0.05		0.60		0.20				0.85
vi	Hiring charges of office building @ 1000/ month				0.02		0.12		0.12				0.26
vii	Hiring charges of vehicle @ 5000/ month				0.10		0.60		0.20				0.990
viii	Office expenses, POL, Stationeries, Printing of SHG's books, pamphlets, tea, snacks ets, cost of camera.				0.174		1.044		0.528				1.746
	TOTAL OF A:			2%	1.50	5%	3.75	3%	0.528			10%	7.50
	PREPARATORY PHASE: 4%												
В	Entry Point Activities:	4%											
i	Construction of Spring Chamber/Ringwell @Rs60,000/- each	1Nos.	0.6									1Nos.	0.6
	Submersible causeweay/culvert @Rs.175000/-	1Nos	1.75									1Nos	1.75
		0.50										0.50	
	Link road @ Rs. 130000/- per km	km	0.65									km	0.65
	TOTAL OF B:		3.00										3.00

С	Institution & Capacity Building : - 5%	1%		2%		1%		1%			5%	
i	Awareness Campaign & Capacity building of farmer	1	0.20	1	0.20	1	0.20	1	0.35		4	0.80
ii	Exposure visits - Off Campus			1	0.30			1	0.20		2	0.65
iii	Capacity building of SHG's/UG's.	1	0.20	3	0.60	1	0.20	1	0.20		6	1.20
iv	Capacity building of WC Members.	1	0.35	1	0.20	1	0.35				3	0.90
v	Capacity building of WDT/WV			1	0.20						1	0.20
	Total of C:		0.75		1.50		0.75		0.75			3.75
D	Detailed Project Report: 1%		1%									
i	Cost of Resources Inventories works		0.25									0.25
ii	Cost of PRA Exercises		0.10									0.10
iii	Cost of Land use Survey works		0.25									0.25
iv	Cost of formulating		0.15									0.15
	Total of D:		0.75									0.75
Ε	Monitoring & Evaluatio: 2%											
i	Cost of Monitoring			0.2%	0.15	0.5%	0.375	0.3%	0.225		1%	0.75
ii	Cost of Evaluation			0.3%	0.225	0.5%	0.375	0.2%	0.15		1%	0.75
	Total of E:				0.375		5.25		0.375			1.50
	TOTAL OF I (A - E)		4.50		3.375		5.25		3.375			16.50
II	PROJECT COST WATERSHED WORKS PHASE: 50%											
Α	Arable Land Treatment:											
i	Wet terrace@15000/-40 Ha			3.5	0.525	30	4.50	6.5	0.975		40	6.00
ii	Rubber plantation (100 ha) pre-work@6,000/ha					90	5.40	10	0.60		100	6.000
	1st yr. planting @Rs.9,000/ha						8.1		0.9			9.000
iii	Arecanut plantation(50 Ha) pre-works @Rs.4,200/ ha					20	0.84	10	0.42		30	1.260
	1st yr. planting@ 7,200/ha						1.44		0.72			2.16
	TOTAL OF – A				0.525		20.28		3.62			24.420
В	Non-Arable Land treatment:											
	Improvement of degraded forest @3600/40 ha					20	0.72	20	0.72		40	1.44
	Total of B:						0.72		0.72			1.44

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
С	Drainage Line Treatment:												
i	C.C.Check-Cum-Irrigation dam @1,00,000/ each -86 Ha			1	1.00							1	1.00
ii	Stone masonry protection wall @50,000/each - 39 ha			1	0.50	1	0.5					2	1.00
iii	Dug-out pond @40,000/-each -20ha			4	1.6	2	0.8	1	0.4			7	2.80
iv	Water harvesting farm pond @1,00,000/- each -81 ha			2	2.00	2	2.00					4	4.00
v	Earthern irrigation channel @Rs. 50 /- Rm. 24 ha					1100	0.55	380	0.19			1480	0.74
Vi	Earthen <u>embankment@Rs.700/-per</u> rmt-40					200	1.40	100	0.70			300	2.10
	TOTAL-C				5.10		5.2500		1.29				11.64
	TOTAL OF A+B+C			7.5%	5.625	35%	26.25	7.5%	5.625			5%	
D	Livelihood Activities for landless person: 10%												
i	Kitchen garden @15000/unit			5	0.75	12	1.8	19	2.85			36	5.40
ii	Tailoring @Rs.8000/-per unit					5	0.4	6	0.48			11	0.88
iii	Carpentry@Rs.5000/-per unit					1	0.05	9	0.45			10	0.50
Iv	Weaving@Rs.12000/-per unit							6	0.72			6	0.72
	Total of D:			1%	0.75	3%	2.25	6%	4.50			10%	7.50
E	Production system and Micro Enterprises (SHG's) - 13%												
i	Piggery unit @Rs.40,000 /- per unit			1	0.4	2	0.80	4	1.6			7	2.80
ii	Poultry unit @Rs.35,000 /- per unit			1	0.35	1	0.35	3	1.05			5	1.75
iii	Dug-out pond @40,000/-each					6	2.4	6	2.4			12	4.80
iv	Supply of fingerlings @Rs.1000/-per unit					20	0.2	20	0.2			40	0.40
	Total of E:			1%	0.75	5%	3.75	7%	5.25			13%	9.75

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
F	<b>Consolidation &amp; Exit Phase:</b>												
i	Repairing maintanance of CPR's										1.75		1.75
ii	Improving the sustainability of various intervention										1.00		1.00
iii	Documentation of successful experience and preparation of complation report										1.00		1.00
	Total of F:										3.75		3.75
	Total of II (A+B+C+D+E+F)				7.125		32.25		15.375		3.75		58.500
	Grand Total (I+II)	6%	4.50	14%	10.50	50%	37.50	25%	18.75	5%	3.75	100%	75.00

1	1 2	3	4	5	6	5	7	8	9	10					11			
	Name of Notate	Name of Distric ts	Names of Project s	Year of sanct ion	Pro dura (dd/) yyy	ject ttion mm/ yy) To	Area of the project s	Projec t cost (Rs. In lakh)	Names of Micro watersheds & Code nos. (as per DoLR's unique codification)	Area (ha) of the projects           Cultiv         Cultiv			ets		A (falling	rea detai g within t	ls (ha) he projects	5)
										Cultiv ated rainfe d area Cultiv ated irrigat ed area Uncultivated wasteland		Agri. Land	Fores t land (open )	Com m unity land	Others (pl. specify )	Total area (ha)		
												a) Tempor ary fallow	b) Per manent				Horti.	
	Meghalay a	West Garo Hills	W.G.H IWMP -IV	2009	2009	31/3 / 2014	500	7.5	Jembra Nomil Asi	87.3	0	301.8	110.9	87.3	270.5	142.2	Nil	500

1	2		3					4						5
	NT					Funds	from other	sources in	n addition to	IWMP fu	inds	1		-
District	of Projec ts	IWMI	P Fund	Converge	ence funds	Р	PP	Con	nmunity	Institt fina	utional ance	Oth sp	ers (Pl. ecify)	Total
		Centra 1 Share	State Share	Name of Scheme	Amount (Lakhs)	Name of private sector	Financial contri- bution	Name	Financial contri- bution	Name	Financi al contri- bution	Name	Financial contri- bution	
Meghala ya	W.G. H IWMP -IV	67.50	7.50	NREGS	36.04	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	111.04

Fund provision for the IWMP projects from all sources:

### **Details of Project Fund Accounts of Distt. Agency and Watershed Committees:**

1	2	3	4		5					6		
				Distt	Agency's Proj	ect Account d	etails		Watershed Comm	nittee (WC) ac	count details	:
SI. No.	Names of States	Name of Districts	Names of Projects	Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened	Account Number (to be obtained confiden- tially)	Account type (Savings/ Current/ Others)	Name & Designatio n of authorized persons who operate the account.	Name of Watershed Committee	Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened	Account number (to be obtained confiden- tially	Account type (Savings/ current others)	Name & Designation of authorized persons who operate the account.
1	Megha laya	W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP- IV	-	-	-	-	Jembra Nomil Asi Micro Watershed	S.B.I Chandmary	3104848 2068	Saving	Chairman W.C Secretary W.C Project Leader/WD T

#### Public-Private Partnership in the IWMP projects: NIL

1	2	3		4			5	6	7	8	9
			Туре	e of agreement	signed	Fina contri	ncial bution				
District	Name of project	Name of Private Sector Partner Agency	a)MoU	b)Contract	c) Any other (pl. specify)	IWMP	Private sector	Partnership Interventions	Expected Outcomes	Actual Outcomes	Comments
WGH	IWMP- IV										
			NIL								

\* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, total no. of projects under PPP; from Column no. 5, total no. of private companies/ agencies, from column no. 7, total amounts may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

# CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

#### CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity Building is a process to systematically upgrade the skill of individuals or groups for achieving a specific target. Capacity building in the project has been planned for all the stake holders involved i.e. State Level, District Level, Project Level and Village Level. The relevant details pertaining to Capacity Building has been shown below.

1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8			9		
		Name of	Full Address	Name &			Accre			Performanc	e	-
S.	State	the	with contact no	Designation	Type of	Area(s) of specialization <sup>\$</sup>	ditation	Refer-	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of
No	State	Training	website & e-mail	of the Head	Institute*		details	ence	trainings	trainees to	trainings	trainees
		Institute		of Institute				Year	assigned	be trained	conducted	trained
1		NIRD	Guwahati	Director	Central Govt.	Remote Sensing, Rural Devt.	NA	-	-	-	-	-
		(NER)										
2		SIRD	Nongsder	Director	State Govt.	Capacity Building	NA	-	-	-	-	-
3	5,	RRTC	Umran	Director	Don-Bosco	Agri-Horti, Animal Husbandry,	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	lay		Meghalaya			Entrepreneurship						
4	gha	ICAR/KV	Umiam/Tura	Director	Central Govt.	Do	NA	-	-	-	-	-
	Aeg	IC	Meghalaya									
5		MRDS	Shillong	Director	State Govt.	Animal Husbandry	NA	-	-	-	-	-
			Meghalaya									
6	]	NEHU	Shillong/Tura	Director	Central Govt.	Agri-Horti, Fruit Processing	NA	-	-	-	-	-
		Meghalaya										

Table 6 1. List of	annroved '	Training	Institutes f	or Ca	nacity Ruilding.
	appioveu	r ranning .	monutes 1	u Caj	pacity bunning.

• From Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, no. of training institutes, from column No. 9, total no. of category-wise trainings and trainees may be given at the end of the table for the entire country

• # Central govt. Dept./ State govt. Dept./ Autonomous Body/ Research Institutes/ Universities/ Others (pl. specify)

\$ Capacity Building/ Agriculture/ Horticulture/ Animal Husbandry/ Pisciculture/ Remote Sensing/ Water conservation/ Ground water/ Forestry/ livelihoods/ entrepreneurship development/ others (pl. specify)

<sup>@</sup> The training institutes must fulfill the conditions mentioned in the operations guidelines.

- (i) Technical experts in fields required by IWMP
- (ii) Past experiences
- (iii) Annual Turnover
- (iv) Receives funds either from the Central or State Government
- (v) Publications
- (vi) Not blacklisted by any Govt. organizations
- (vii) Audited accounts
- (viii) Organizational structure

1	2	3	4	5		6		7
Project	Total no.	No. of persons	No. of persons to be trained	No. of persons trained during	Sources of tra	f funding for ining	Funds (La	s utilized akhs)
Stakeholders	of persons	trained so far	during current financial year	current financial year	a) DoLR	b) Any other (Pl. specify)	a) DoLR	b) Any other (Pl. specify)
PIAs	10	NIL	10	NIL				
WDTs	4	NIL	4	NIL				
UGs	40	NIL	40	NIL			2.25	NIL
SHGs	50	NIL	50	NIL				
WCs	10	NIL	10	NIL	3.75	NIL		
GPs	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL				
Community		NIL		NIL				
Others Pl. specify)								
TOTAL	114	0	114	0	3.75	0	2.25	0

Table 6.2: Capacity Building activities for the year <u>2009 – 10</u> as on <u>31/03/2009</u> (dd/mm/yyyy)\*

<b>Table 6.3: Information</b>	, Education & Commu	nication (IEC) activities	s for the year 2009-10 a	as on 31/03/09 (dd/mm/yyy)*

	1	2	3	4	5
	Activity	Executing agency	Estimated expenditure (Rs.)	Expenditure incurred (Rs.)	Outcome (may quantity, wherever possible)
1.	Awareness	S&WC (T) Division	0.25	-	-
2.	Exposure Visits	S&WC (T) Division	0.25	-	-
3.	Capacity Building	S&WC (T) Division	0.15	-	-
		Total	0.65	-	-

# CHAPTER VII EXPECTED OUTCOME

# CHAPTER VII EXPECTED OUTCOME

#### Table 7.1 Employment related outcomes:

<i>a</i> .			1											2					
Sl	Name of Village		Wage employment											Self employment					
No	runic or vinage		Ν	lo. of mar	ndays	No. of beneficiaries						No.	of benef	iciaries					
		SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total			
1.	Okkapara		- 20868	-	14088	34956	-	195	-	128	323	-	25	-	20	45			

#### Table 7.2 Migration Details:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	0
Names of the Districts	Names of Projects	Name of village	No. of persons	No. of days per year of	Major reason(s) for	Distance of destination of migration	Occupation during	Income from such occupation	For reduce identify majo IWMP re	d migration or activities of esponsible
Districts	Tiojeeus	, muge	migrating	migration	migrating	from the village (km)	migration	(Rs. in lakh)	(a) Structures	(b) Livelihoods
				Ν	Ι	L				

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of villages; from column no. 6, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 7, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 9, average distance of migration from the village and form column no. 11, average income from occupation during migration, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

1	l	2	2		3	4
Wa	ges	Trai	ning	Li	velihoods	
Woman days	Amount (Rs. in lakh)	No. of women participants	Amount (Rs. in lakh)	No. of women beneficiaries	Value of assistance provided (Rs. in lakh)	Total (Rs. in lakh)
14088 9.8616		160	1.6	40	6.1	17.5616

 Table 7.3 Economic benefits accrued to women:

\* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3 to 6, category-wise totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

1	2	3	4 5 6		6				8	
Names of the Districts	Names of the projects	Names of the villages	Particular of CPR	Nature of right	Period of right	Be	neficiaı fa	y details ( milies)	no. of	User Charges (Rs.)
Districts	projects	Vininges	of CI K	ingit	ingit	SC	St	Others	Total	(100)
			Reserved forest	FW/MFP/ T	Unspecified		110		110	NIL
Meghalaya	W.G.H	Okkapara	Spring Chamber	Wd	Unspecified		35		35	NIL
	IWMP-IV		Check dam	Wi	Unspecified		20		20	NIL
			Irrigation Channel	Wi	Unspecified		35		35	NIL

 Table 7.4 Details of rights conferred in the CPRs of the project areas:

\* From column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, no. of projects; from column no. 5, no. of villages; from column nos. 9 & 10, particular-wise totals for the entire country may be given at the end of the table.

@ In column no. 6, the categories given in table no. M(SP) 10, column 5 may be filled as required.

# In column no. 7, only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.

F	for right to	fishing [culture, harvest and sale]
Fw	for right to	collect firewood for domestic purposes
G	for right to	grazing for cattle and
MFP	for right to	collect and sell minor forest produces
Р	for right to	passage across the CPR
Rd	for right to	construct a road for access to individual property
S/M	for right to	collect and sell sand and minerals
Т	for right to	collect timber for construction of house
Wd	for right to	collect/ use water for drinking
Wi	for right to	use water for irrigation

• for any right other than indicated above (please specify)

#### Table 7.5 Water related outcomes:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Names of Districts	Names of Projects	Sources	Pre-Project level	Mid-term project level	Post-Project level	Increase/decrease (Col. 8 – Col. 6)	Remarks
		Open Well	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Meghalaya	W.G.H IWMP-IV	Bore Well	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1 ** 1*11 -1 *	Other (specific) Spring	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table 7.5.1 Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas of the Country: State-wise \* (in metres)

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 to 9, the average measurements, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the table. The data must be based on the average of the Ground Water Table collected by PIA with the help of concerned technical expert in the same sample of 10 % of selected wells and bore wells in the villages in the watershed project area during pre-project, mid-term and post-project periods.

#### Table 7.5.2 Status of Drinking water:

1	2		3				5	
District	Nome of the president	Availa (no.	bility of drinki of monyhs in a	ing water a year)	Qualit	Commonta		
District	Name of the project	Pre-project	Post- project	Change in availability	Pre- project	Post- project	Change in quality	Comments
Meghalaya	WGH IWMP-IV	10 months	12 months	2 months	Unsafe	Potable	Improved	Better drinking water supply

\* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, category-wise no. of projects, from column no. 5, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

#### Table 7.5.3 Water Use efficiency:

1	2	3	4								
			Water savings in cum.								
District	2Name of the projectNameWGHPaddIWMP-IVMaiz	Name of major crop	through water saving devices <sup>\$</sup>	through water conserving agronomic practices <sup>#</sup>	Any other (pl specify)	Total					
	WCH	Paddy	NA	NA	NA						
W.G.H	IWMP-IV	Maize	NA	NA	NA						

\* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, practice-wise totals may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country. <sup>\$</sup> Sprinkler, Drip, PVC pipe, etc. <sup>#</sup> Vermi-compost, organic manuring, Mulching, Check basin, Alternate furrow, Ridges & furrow & other scientific practices.

#### Table 7.6: Vegetation/ crop related outcomes:

Table 7.6.1 Details of Karif crop area and yield in the project areas:

1	2	3			2	l					5	5			6					
					Pre-p	roject					Mid-	term			Post-project					
Names of the Districts	Name of Projects	Name of crops	Name of crops		Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.		Pro	Total oduction (Qtl)	Ar (ha	ea a)	Ave Yi per (Q	rage eld : ha (tl)	Tot Produc (Qt	al ction l)	Are (ha	a )	Average Yield per ha (Qtl)		Total Production (Qtl)	
			Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irr i	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.
		Paddy		56.9		12		682.8	76.9	0	15	15	1153. 5	0	96.9	0	15	15	1453.5	0
WOU	WOU	Maize		10		24		240	0	10	0	24	0	240	0	10	0	24	0	240
W.G.H	IWMP-	Vegetable		5		30		150	6	5	36	30	216	150	6	5	36	30	216	150
	IV	Total		71.9		66		1072.8	82.9	15	51	69	1369. 5	390	102.9	15	51	69	1669.5	390

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8, the totals for the4e area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - Irrigated Rf - Rainfed

Table 7.6.2 Details of Rabi crop area and yield in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5				6						7						8		
							Pre-p	oroject	t				Mid	-term					Post	proje	ct	
Sl No	Names of States	Names of the Districts	Name of Proje	Name of crops	A: (1	rea 1a)	Ave Yi (Qtl h	erage ield ) per a.	To Proc 0 (Q	tal lucti n (tl)	Are (ha	a )	Ave Yie per (Q	rage eld ha tl)	Tota Produce (Qtl)	l tion )	Area (ha)		Ave Yie per (Q	rage eld ha tl)	Total Producti (Qtl)	ion
•		Districts	cts		Irr i	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	R f.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	R f.	Irri	R f	Irri	Rf.	Irri	R f.
	Meghalay	West	WGH	Paddy	-	-	-	-	-	-	76.9	-	15	-	1153.5	-	96.9	-	15	-	1453.5	-
	а	Garo Hills	IWM P-IV	Vegetabl es	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	36	-	216	-	6	-	36	-	216	-
				Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	82.9	-	51	-	1369.5	-	102.9	-	51	-	1669.5	

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8, the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. – Irrigated Rf – Rainfed

1	2	3	4	5			6						7	7					8	5		
							Pre-pi	oject					Mid-	term				]	Post-p	rojec	t	
			Nomo				Ave	rage	To	tal			Ave	rage	To	tal			Aver	age	То	tal
Sl	Nomos of	Names	Name	Name	Ar	ea	Yi	eld	Proc	lucti	A	rea	Yie	eld	Prod	uctio	Ar	ea	Yie	eld	Prod	uctio
No	States	of the	Project	of	(h	a)	(Qtl)	per	0	n	(h	a)	per	ha	n	l	(ha	a)	per	ha	r	1
•	States	Districts	riojeci	crops			h	a.	(Q	(tl)			(Q	tl)	(Q	tl)			(Q	tl)	(Q	tl)
			5		Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf	Irri	Rf.
	Meghalay	West	WGH		nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Nil	nil	nil	nil	nil
	a	Garo	IWMP-		nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Nil	nil	nil	nil	nil
		Hills	IV		nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Nil	nil	nil	nil	nil
				Total	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Nil	nil	nil	nil	nil

Table 7.6.3 Details of Zaid crop area and yield in the project areas of the Country: State-wise:

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8, the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. – Irrigated Rf – Rainfed

#### Table 7.6.4 Increase/ Decrease in area under fodder:

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existing a	rea under fodde	er (ha)		Achievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under fodder	Area under fodder proposed to be covered through IWMP	Area under fodder actually covered through IWMP	Change in area under fodder
W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP-IV	5 yrs	NA	NA	NA	NIL	NIL	NIL

\* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

 Table 7.6.5 Increase/ Decrease in Forest/vegetation cover:

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existi	ing area tree c	cover (ha)		Achievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under forest/vegetative cover	Forest/vegetative cover area proposed to be covered under IWMP	Forest/vegetative cover area actually covered under IWMP	Change in forest/vegetative cover area
W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP- IV	5 yrs	Land use survey conducted by the Department	2009	270.50	310.50	310.50	40

\* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

#### Table 7.6.6 Increase/ Decrease in area under horticulture:

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existing ar	ea under horticu	lture (ha)		Achievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under horticulture	Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP	Area under horticulture actually covered through IWMP	Change in area under horticulture
W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP-IV	5 yrs	Land use survey conducted by the Department	2009	373.20	503.20	503.20	130

\* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.7 Increase/ Decrease in area under fuel-wood:

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existing a	rea under fodder	(ha)	Α	chievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under fuel- wood	Area under fuel- wood proposed to be covered under IWMP	Area under fuel- wood actually covered under IWMP	Change in area under fuel-wood
W.G.H	W.G.H IWMP-IV	5 yrs	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL

\* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

#### Table 7.7 Livelihood related outcomes:

1	2	3		4			5			6		7
				Pre-proj	ect		Mid-ter	m		Post-proj	ject	
Names of the Districts	Name of Projects	Type of Animal	No.	Yield	Income	No.	Yield	Income	No.	Yield	Income	Remarks
West Garo Hills	W.G.H IWMP-IV	Cattle	300		24	300		24	-	-	-	Use for ploughing & local consumption self production earning.
		Piggery	40		2.8	45		3.60	60		4.80	
		Poultry	1221		3.05	1321		3.96	1500		4.50	
		Goatery	107		1.60	107		1.60	-	-	-	
	Total for all projects		1668		31.45	1773		33.16	1560	-	9.30	

Table 7.7.1 Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.):

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 5 to 8, the total nos. of animals and the average yield and incomes, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.2 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

1	2	3	4		5			6			7					8		
District			Fund require	Sou	rces of fu	nding (Rs	.)	Actual Expenditur	No.	of be	eneficia	ries trai	ned	No.	of be	neficia activ	ries takiı ity	ng up
	Project	Name of activity	d for the activity (Rs.)	Project Fund	Benefi -ciary	Others (pl. specify )	Tot al	e incurred on activity (Rs.)	SC	ST	Othe rs	Wome n	Tot al	SC	ST	Oth ers	Wome n	Total
		Tailoring	-	0.88	-	-	0.88	-	-	-	-	20	20	-	-	-	20	20
		Weaving	-	0.72	-	-	0.72	-	-	-	-	20	20	-	-	-	20	20
		Carpentry	-	0.5	-	-	0.5	-	-	10	-	-	10	-	10	-	-	10

(Contd.)

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of activities; from column no. 6, total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, category-wise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.3 Details of o	other livelihoods created	for landless people:
--------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------

	9	10			11		12
No of porc	one omployed			Impact of livelil	noods programme		
indirectly i	in the activity	Annual increase in income due to	Mig (No. of be	ration eneficiaries)	Development forward	of backward- linkages	Any other information
Total	Grand Total (8+9)	activity (Rs.)	Pre-project	Post-project	Pre-project	Post-project	(pl. Specify)
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

1	2	3	4		-	5		6			7				8	
			Fund required	Sources	of fundi	ng (Rs.) in	Lakhs	Actual	No	. of far	mers t	rained	No.	of farr ac	ners ta ctivity	king up
District	Project	Name of activity	for the activity (Rs.) in lakhs	Project Fund	Benefi -ciary	Others (pl. specify)	Total	incurred on activity (Rs.)	SF	MF	LF	Total	SF	MF	LF	Total
West Garo	WGH IWMP-															
Hills	IV				N	I	L									

#### Table 7.7.4 Details of other livelihoods created for farmers:

\* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of activities; from column no. 6, total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, category-wise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

## Table 7.7.5 Details of other livelihoods created for farmers \* (contd.)

	9	10			11		12
No. of per- indirectly	sons employed in the activity	Annual increase in	Mig (No. of bo	Impact of livelil gration eneficiaries)	hoods programm Developmen forward	e t of backward- d linkages	Any other information
Total	Grand Total (8+9)	activity (Rs.)	Pre-project	Post-project	Pre-project	Post-project	(pl. Specify)
NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-
NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-
NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-
NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-

#### Table 7.8 Marketing related outcomes:

#### Backward-Forward linkages \*

1	2	3	4	5	6
District	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-project (no.)	During the project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
		(A) Backward linkages		-	-
		(i) Seed certification	Nil	-	-
		(ii) Seed supply system	Nil	-	-
		(iii) Fertilizer supply system	Nil	-	-
		(iv) Pesticide supply system	Nil	-	-
		(v) Credit institutions	1	5	5
		(vi) Water supply	1	5	5
		(vii) Extension services	Nil	-	-
		(viii) Nurseries	Nil	-	-
		(ix) Tools/machinery suppliers	Nil	-	-
WOII		(x) Price Support system	Nil	-	-
WGH		(xi) Labour	Nil	-	-
		(xii) Any other (please specify)	Nil	-	-
		(A) Forward linkages			
		(i) Harvesting/threshing machinery	Nil	-	-
		(ii) Storage (including cold storage)	Nil	-	-
		(iii) Road network	1	1	1
		(iv) Transport facilities	Nil	-	-
		(v) Markets / Mandis	Nil	-	-
		(vi) Agro and other Industries	Nil	4	4
		(vii) Milk and other collection centres	Nil	-	-
		(viii) Labour	Nil	-	-
		(ix) Any other (please specify)	Nil	-	-

\* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 6, 7 & 8, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the entire country

#### **Table 7.9 Abstract of outcomes:**

1	2		3	4	5	6	7
Sl. No.	State		Item	Unit	Pre-project Status	Post-project Status	Remarks
		Status of water table			Lack of management	Improved	
		Ground water structures repaired/ rejuvenated		Nil	Nil	Nil	
		Quality of drinking water         Availability of drinking water         Increase in irrigation potential         Change in cropping/ land use pattern         Area under agricultural crop		5 nos	Unsafe	Better quality	
					10 months in a year	12 months availability	
				11 nos	100% rainfed		
					Single croping	Double cropping	
		i	Area under single crop	На	56.90	Nil	
		ii	Area under double crop	На	Nil	96.90	
		iii	Area under multiple crop				
	Meghalaya	Net	ncrease in crop production area		56.90	96.90	24% increase in cropping area
	negnalaya	Incre	ease in area under vegetation	На	270.50	370.50	23% increase in vegetative cover
		Incre	ease in area under horticulture	На	373.2	503.2	120% increase in horticulture and cash crop plantation
		Incre	ease in area under fuel & fodder	На	270.50	370.50	23% increase in vegetative cover
		Incre	ease in milk production		NA	NA	
		No.	of SHGs	No.	1	8	
		Incre	ease in no. of livelihoods	Activities	a) Agriculture b) Horticulture	<ul> <li>a) Agriculture</li> <li>b) Horticulture</li> <li>c) Farm Pond</li> <li>d) Rubber Plantation</li> <li>e) Piggery Poultry</li> </ul>	
		Incre	ease in income	Rs.	200000-300000	500000-600000	
		Mig	ation	Nos	Nil	Nil	
		No.	of school going children	Nos.			
	SH		Federations formed	Nos	Nil	1	
			Credit linkage with banks		Nil	8	
		Resc	ource use agreements	Nos			

WDF collection & management	None	a) Total WDF to be	
		collected=Rs.5%	
		b) WC shall formulate	
		guidelines for	
		utilization of WDF	
Summary of lessons learnt	Nil		

#### Table 7.10 Cost effectiveness of structures/ activities\*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
District	Name of project	Name of WC	Name of structure/ activity	Estimated cost (Rs.)	Expected quantifiable benefits (Rs.)	Expenditure incurred (Rs.)	Actual quantifiable benefit (Rs.)	Benefit: Cost ratio <sup>#</sup>
WGH	WGH IWMP_IV	Jembra Nomil Asi	As per action plan	5850000	-	-	-	-

\* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, no. of projects, from column no. 5, no. of WCs, from column no. 6, no. of structures/ activities, from column no. 7 to 10, category-wise# totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

<sup>#</sup>B:C ratio more than 1 – cost effectivess than 1– Not cost effecti

# ANNEXTURE I MAPS


























ANNEXTURE III COST ESTIMATES

## MODEL NORM PER HECTARE FOR AGRO-HORTICULTURE WITH RUBBER PLANTATION (INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)

Spacing 6.06 m x 3.65 m Plant density 450 nos А Preliminary Works I. Site clearance 15 mandays @Rs. 100/- per manday 1500 Pit digging (pit size 0.75mx0.75mx0.75m) 450 nos II. @Rs. 10/- each 4500 Total: 6000 В First year Planting Cost of planting materials 450 nos @Rs. 20/-I. each 9000 Cost of planting 450 nos @Rs. 3/- each = Rs. 1350.00 (Contribution II. from the beneficiaries) III. Weeding two times 20 mandays @Rs. 100/- per manday = Rs. 2000/-(Contribution from the beneficiaries) Total: 9000 15000

### Grand Total: (Rupees Fifteen thousand) only.

\* The cost of norms in Arable Land for Rubber Plantation has been worked out keeping in mind the high demand for rubber planting by the farmers in the proposed projects, besides it is a high income generating crop which will help the people in the watershed project to improve their economic condition. The cost of norms has been worked at the minimum by taking into account the expected beneficiary contributions by way of plantings and weedings.

## MODEL NORM PER HECTARE FOR AGRO-HORTICULTURE WITH ARECANUT PLANTATION (INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME)

Spacing 3.5 m x 2.35 m Plant density 1200 nos А **Preliminary Works** I. Site clearance 6 mandays @Rs. 100/- per manday 600 Pit digging (pit size 0.45mx0.45mx0.45m) 1200 nos II. @Rs. 3/- each 3600 Total: 4200 В First year Planting I. Cost of arecanuts 1200 nos @Rs. 1/- each 7200 Cost of planting 1200 nos @Rs. 2/- each = Rs. 2400.00 (Contribution II. from the beneficiaries) III. Weeding two times 10 mandays @Rs. 100/- per manday = Rs. 2000 (Contribution from the beneficiaries) Total: 7200 11400

## (Rupees Eleven Thousand Four Hundred ) only.

\* The cost of norms in Arable Land for Arecanut Plantation has been worked out keeping in mind the demand for Arecanut planting by the farmers in the proposed projects. The crop also has consistency in generating income which will help the people in the watershed project to improve their economic condition. The cost of norms has been worked at the minimum by taking into account the expected beneficiary contributions by way of plantings and weedings.

## ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. IRRIGATION DAM WITH DISPOSAL CHANNEL ACROSS \_\_\_\_\_\_STREAM AT\_\_

(Rates as per P.W.D. S.O.R. for roads, bridges and E & D works 2007-2008).

Excavation for structures (earth work in excavation of the 1/134. foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specification, including setting out, construction of shoring and bracing, removal of stumps and other deterious matters, dressing of sides and bottom and back filling with approved materials.)

(I) Ordinary soil.

(A) Manual means.

(i) Upto 3 m, depth.

M/Dam:	1 x 8.00 x 1.40 x 1.05	= 11.76m <sup>3</sup>
W/wall :	2 x 2.50 x 0.45 x 0.50	= 1.13m <sup>3</sup>
G/wall :	2 x 3.00 x 0.30 x 0.50	= 0.90m <sup>3</sup>
T/wall:	1 x 6.00 x 0.45 x 0.60	= 1.62m <sup>3</sup>
Apron :	1 x 6.00 x 3.00 x 0.35	= 6.30m <sup>3</sup>
D/channel :	1 x 5.00 x 1.30 x 0.90	= 5.85m <sup>3</sup>
		$= 27.56 \text{m}^3$

@ Rs. 34/- m<sup>3</sup>

.....

....

Rs. 937.04

2/103.

Providing and laying of dry rubble flooring complete as per drawing and technical specifications.

	@ Rs. 852/- m <sup>3</sup>	
		$= 6.87 \text{m}^3$
D/channel:	1 x 5.00 x 1.00 x 0.25	$= 1.25m^3$
Apron :	1 x 6.00 x 3.00 x 0.25	= 4.50m <sup>3</sup>
M/Dam :	1 x 8.00 x 1.40 x 0.10	= 1.12m <sup>3</sup>

Rs. 5853.24

3/137.	PCC 1:3:0 nominal mix	6 in foundation (plain ceme in foundation etc).	3:6			
	M/Dam:	1 x 8.00 x 1.40 x 0.10	= 1.12	2m <sup>3</sup>		
		@ Rs. 3232/- m <sup>3</sup>				Rs. 3619.84
4/141 .	Plain cement per drawing A. P.C.C. G	t concrete in open foundation and technical specification rade M15 :	on com s.	plete as	5	
	M/Dam:	1 x 8.00 x 1.20 x 0.80 1 x 8.00 x <u>0.50 + 1.20</u> x 1. 2	05	= 7.68 = 7.14	m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	
		2 x 1.00 x 0.50 x 0.50		= 0.50	m <sup>3</sup>	
	W/wall:	2 x 2.50 x 0.30 x 2.05		= 3.08	m <sup>3</sup>	
	Deduct :	1 x 1.00 x 0.30 x 0.60		= (-)0.	18m <sup>3</sup>	
	G/wall :	2 x 3.00 x 0.25 x 0.95		= 1.43	m <sup>3</sup>	
	T/wall:	1 x 6.00 x 0.30 x 0.70		= 1.26	m <sup>3</sup>	
	Apron :	1 x 6.00 x 3.00 x 0.10		= 1.80	m <sup>3</sup>	
	D/channel :	2 x 5.00 x 0.15 x 0.98 1 x 5.00 x 1.00 x 0.10		= 1.47 = 0.50	m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	
				= 24.6	8m <sup>3</sup>	
		@ Rs. 3630/- m <sup>3</sup>	·····			Rs. 89588.40
						1
			GRAN		AL =	Rs. 99998.52
			Say, F	Rs. 1,00	,000.00	

( Rupees One lakh ) only.

#### ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CAUSEWAY AT KEMRAGRE UNDER SIKSINGWIL MICRO WATERSHED (IWMP) 2009 AS PER PWD SCHEDULE OF RATE FOR ROADS, BRIDGES AND E& D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-08

1) Site prepa etc.,	aration including jungle clearance, removal of stumps, burning and clearing the debris, L/S=Rs 4535	
2/134[A(i)]	Excavation for structures(earthwork in excavation of foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specification, including setting out, construction of shoring and bracing, removal of stumps and other deleterious matter, dressing of sides and bottom and backfilling with approved material)	
	Abutment=2x2.50x1.75x1.00=8.75 5 cum.	
	@ Rs 34/ cum=Rs 297.5	
		=Rs 298
3/141(B)	Plain cement concrete in open foundation complete as per drawing and technical specifications PCC Grade M 20 Abutment (foundation)=2x2 50x1 75x1 00=8 75	
	@Rs 4129/ cum=Rs 36129	
3/141(B)	Plain cement concrete in open foundation complete as per drawing and technical specifications PCC Grade M20 Causeway=2x9.00x2.50x0.40=18 cum.	
	@ Rs 4129/ cum=Rs 74322	
4/141.G(i).	Plain cement concrete in open foundation complete as per drawing and technical specification.	
	RCC Grade M 30	
	RCC slab=1x12.00x2.50x0.35=10.5 cum.	
	@ Rs 4648/cum=Rs 48804	

5/78 Plastering with cement mortar (1:4) ,15 mm thick on brickwork in substructure as per technical specification

Abutment=2x2.50x1.75x1.00=8.75 Causeway=2x9.00x2.50x0.40=18 Slab =1x12.00x2.50x0.35=10.5

> Total = 37.25sqm

@ Rs 75/sqm.....=Rs 2793.75 =Rs 2794

> Total= Rs 1,62,347 1,66,882 (+) 5% contingency Rs 8117.35

Grand total =Rs 1,74,000.35

Say, Rs. 1,75,000.00

(Rupees one lakh seventy five thousand ) only.

#### ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF DUGOUT POND AS PER SCHEDULE OF RATES FOR ROADS,BRIDGES AND E&D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008

1/130(i). Excavation in soil for dugout farm pond by manual means with lead upto 50m

Dugout Farm	Pond			
Volume: = =	D/6 (AT) + 4 2.5/6 (30.00 11.00) 2.5/6(450+1	(AM) +(AB) x 15.00) +4(28.00 x 456+286)	13.00) + (26.00 x	
=	913.33	m³		
.@.Rs.34/- ci	um		Rs.	31053.22

6/37. Furnishing and laying of the live sods of perrennial turf forming grass on embankment slope, verges or other locations shown on the drawing including preparation of ground, fetching of sods and watering as per technical specification

	2	х	30	х	2.5		150	m²
	2	х	15	х	2.5		75	m²
							225	m²
.@Rs.41.00/sq.m							9225	
							40278 22	
							10210.22	
Crond Total					Sav	De	40.000.00	
Grand Total					Say	RS.	40,000.00	

(Rupees Forty thousand)only.

# ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF EARTHEN DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL AS PER SCHEDULE OF RATES FOR ROADS, BRIDGES AND E&D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008

1/134. Excavation for structures (earthwork in excavation of the foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specification, including setting out, construction of showing and bracing, removal of stumps and deleterious matters, dressing of sides and bottom and backfilling with appropriate materials )

Grand Total				S	ay		Rs.	50.00	
							Rs.	50.49	
.@Rs.34/- cum							Rs.	50.49	
Earthen Channel	1	x	1.00	х	1.10	x	1.35	1.49	m³
I.A(i) Ordinary soil									

Cost per Running metre=(Rupees Fifty)only.

## ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF EARTHEN EMBANKMENT AS PER SCHEDULE OF RATES FOR ROADS,BRIDGES AND E&D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008

4/29. Construction of embankment with approved material obtained from borrow pits with a lift upto 1.50 m transporting to site, spreading, grading to required slope and compacting to meet requirement with a lead upto 1000 m as per technical specification.

Dam	1	х	1.00	Х	2.20	х	1.2	2.64	m³
.@Rs.247/- cum							Rs.	652.08	

6/37. Furnishing and laying of the live sods of perrennial turf forming grass on embankment slope, verges or other locations shown on the drawing including preparation of ground, fetching of sods and watering as per technical specification
2 x 1.00 x 1.2 2.4 m<sup>2</sup>
.@ Rs.41.00/sq.m
Rs. 98.4

 Grand Total
 Say
 Rs.
 700.00

Cost per Running metre= Rupees Seven hundred only

#### ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CC PROTECTION WALL THE PADDY FIELD AS PER SCHEDULED OF RATE FOR ROAD , BRIDGES & E&D FOR THE YEAR 2007-08

1/134. Excavation for structures(earthwork in excavation of the foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specification,including setting out,construction of showing and bracing,removal of stumps and deleterious matters,dressing of sides and bottom and backfilling with appropriate materials)

1 x	9.4 x	1 x	0.9 =	8.46 m <sup>3</sup>
.@Rs.34/- pe cum	er		Rs.	287.64

3/137	PCC 1:3:6 in foundation(plain cement concrete 1:3:6 nominal mix in foundation etc.)										
	1 x	9.4 x	1	х	0.1	=	0.94	т³			
	1 x	9.4 x	0.8	х	0.8	=	6.02	т³			
	1 x	9.4 x	0.6	х	1.5	=	8.46	т³			
							15.42	т³			
	.@ Rs.323 cum	32/- per				Rs	49824.51				

	Rs.	50,112.15
Say,	Rs.	50,000.00

Grand total(Rupees fifty thousand) only.

## ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CC CORE WALL WITH EARTH FILLED DAM AND LEAD CHANNEL AS PER SCHEDULE OF RATES FOR ROADS,BRIDGES AND E&D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008

1/134. Excavation for structures(earthwork in excavation of the foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specification, including setting out, construction of showing and bracing, removal of stumps and deleterious matters, dressing of sides and bottom and backfilling with appropriate materials)

	I.A(i) Ordinary soil									
	Core wall	1	х	12.30	х	0.90	х	0.80	8.86	т³
	L/Channel	1	х	5.00	х	1.10	х	1.25	6.88	m³
									15.73	т³
	.@Rs.34/- cum							Rs.	534.854	
2/137	PCC 1:3:6 in foundation( Plain cement concrete 1:3:6 nominal mix in foundation with									
	crushed stone aggregate 40mm nominal size.									
	Core wall	1	х	12.30	х	0.90	х	0.10	1.11	т³
		1	Х	12.30	х	0.80	х	0.70	6.89	т³
		1	Х	12.30	х	0.55	х	1.50	10.15	т³
	L/ channel	2	Х	5.00	х	0.15	х	1.25	1.88	т³
		2	Х	5.00	х	0.10	х	0.80	0.80	т³
									20.82	т³
	.@ Rs.3232/- cum							Rs.	67282.16	

4/29. Construction of embankment with approved material obtained from borrow pits with a lift upto 1.50 m transporting to site, spreading, grading to required slope and compacting to meet requirement with a lead upto 1000 m as per technical specification.

Dam	1	Х	12.30	х	5.20	Х	1.8	115.13	т³
Deduct	1	х	12.30	х	0.55	х	1.50	10.15	т³
								104.98	m³
.@Rs.247/- cum							Rs.	25930.18	

5/78. Plastering with cement mortar (1:4) 15mm thick

L/channel	2	х	5.00	х	0.90		9.00	m²
-----------	---	---	------	---	------	--	------	----

		2	х	5.00	х	0.15			1.50	m²
		1	х	5.00	х	0.8		_	4.00	m²
									14.50	m²
	.@ Rs.75/- per sq.m							Rs.	1087.50	
							C.O.	Rs.	94834.70	
							B.F.	Rs.	94834.70	
6/37.	Furnishing and laying of the l	live sods of	perre	ennial tur	for	ning gra	ass on e	embankr	nent	
	slope,verges or other location	ns shown or	n the	drawing	inclu	iding pro	eparatio	on of gro	und,	
	fetching of sods and watering	g as per tecl	hnica	al specific	atio	n				
	Dam	1	х	12.30	х	2.01			24.723	m²
		1	х	12.30	х	2.5			30.75	m²
								_	55.473	m²
	.@ Rs.41.00/sq.m							Rs.	2274.393	
7/100	Providing and laying pitching	on slopes la	aid ov	ver prepa	red	filter me	edia as	per draw	ving	
	and technical specification.									
	I. Stone/Boulder									
	Dam	12.30	×	2.01	×	0.15			3.70845	m³
		881/- nor								
	.@ Rs.	cum							3278.27	
								Rs.	100387.36	
	Grand Total					Say		Rs.	1,00,000	

(Rupees One lakhs)only.

### ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SPRING CHAMBER WITH WATER RESERVOIR. UNDER IWMP. (Rates as per P.W.D Schedule of rates for building works) 2007 – 2008

1/1.1 Earth work in excavation in foundation trenches, including dressing of sides and ramming of the bottom including stacking etc.

d) Soft laminated rock or medium shale.

For Spring Chamber:			
1 x 1 x 2.5 x 0.80 x 1.10	$= 2.20 \text{ m}^3$		
1 x 2 x 2.5 x 0.80 x 0.70	$= 2.24 \text{ m}^3$		
For Reservoir:			
1 x 2 x 2.5 x 0.30 x 0.50	$= 0.75 \text{ m}^3$		
1 x 2 x 1.5 x 0.30 x 0.50	$= 0.45 \text{ m}^3$		
For Pipe Pedestals:			
10 x 0.40 x 0.40 x 0. <u>60</u>	$= 0.96 \text{ m}^3$		
	$6.60 \text{ m}^3$		
	@ Rs 85/- m <sup>3</sup>	Rs	561.00
	e Ks. 05/ III	17.9.	501.00

2/4.5 Providing 100 mm thick soling with approved quality of stone etc.

For Spring Chamber:  $1 \ge 1 \ge 2.00 \ge 2.00 = 2.00 = 3.20 =$ 

For Reservoir: m<sup>3</sup>

1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.30 1 x 2 x 1.50 x 0.30	= $1.50 \text{ m}^3$ = $0.90 \text{ m}^3$
$1 \times 1 \times 2.50 \times 1.50$ For Pipe Pedestal: m <sup>3</sup>	$= 3.75 \text{ m}^3$
10 x 0.40 x 0.40	$= 1.60 \text{ m}^3$
	$= 12.95 \text{ m}^3$

3/2.1 Providing and laying cement concrete in prop. 1:4:8 etc.

For Spring Chamber:	
1 x 1 x 2.50 x 0.80 x 0.10	$= 0.20 \text{ m}^3$
1 x 2 x 2.00 x 0.80 x 0.10	$= 0.32 \text{ m}^3$
For Reservoir:	
1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.30 x 0.10	$= 0.15 \text{ m}^3$
1 x 2 x 1.50 x 0.30 x 0.10	$= 0.09 \text{ m}^3$

For Pipe Pedestals:

10 x 0.40 x 0.40 x 0.10	$= 0.16 \text{ m}^3$	
	$= 0.92 \text{ m}^3$	
	@ Rs. 2393/- $m^3$	Rs. 2,201.56

4/2.2	Providing and laying cement concr	ete in prop. 1:3:6 etc.	
	For Spring Chamber:		
	1 x 1 x 2.50 x 0.60 x 0.70	$= 1.05 \text{ m}^3$	
	1 x 2 x 2.00 x 0.60 x 0.65	$= 1.56 \text{ m}^3$	
	1 x 1 x 2.50 x <u>0.26 + 0.55</u> x 1.35	$= 1.36 \text{ m}^3$	
	2		
	1 x 2 x 2.00 x <u>0.25 + 0.26</u> x 0.45	$= 1.80 \text{ m}^3$	
	2		
	1 x 2 x 2.00 x <u>0.25 + 0.55</u> x 1.80	$= 2.80 \text{ m}^3$	
	2		
	For Reservoir :		
	1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.30 x 0.30	$= 0.45 \text{ m}^3$	
	1 x 2 x 1.50 x 0.30 x 0.30	$= 0.27 \text{ m}^3$	
	1 x 1 x 2.50 x 1.50 x 0.20	$= 0.75 \text{ m}^3$	
	For Pipe Pedestals:		
	10 x 0.30 x 0.30 x 0.40	$= 0.36 \text{ m}^3$	
		$= 10.40 \text{ m}^3$	
		@ Rs. 2719/- $m^3$	Rs. 28,277.60

5/2.9(a) Providing shuttering including centering for flat surface such as slabs, shelves, chajja and for vertical faces such as column etc.

For spring chamber:

or spring enamour.	
1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.70	$= 3.50 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
2 x 2 x 2.00 x 0.65	$= 5.20 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 1 x 2.50 x 1.50	$= 3.75 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 1 x 2.50 x 1.60	$= 4.00 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 2 x <u>0.25+0.26</u> x 0.45	$= 0.225 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
2	
2 x 2 x 2.00 x 0.70	$= 5.60 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
2 x 2 x 0.60 x 0.70	$= 1.68 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
2 x 1 x 2.00 x 1.50	$= 6.00 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
2 x 1 x 2.00 x 1.60	$= 6.40 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
2 x 1 x <u>0.25+0.55</u> x 1.60	$= 1.28 \text{ m}^{\Box}$

2

For Reservoir :

1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.30	$= 1.50 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 2 x 0.30 x 0.30	$= 0.18 \text{ m}^{\square}$
1 x 2 x 1.50 x 0.30	$= 0.90 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 2 x 2.50 x 1.50	$= 7.50 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 2 x 1.50 x 1.50	$= 4.50 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 1 x 2.50 x 1.50	$= 3.75 \text{ m}^{\square}$
1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.10	$= 0.50 \text{ m}^{\Box}$
1 x 2 x 1.50 x 0.10	$= 0.30 \text{ m}^{\Box}$

For Pipe Pedestals:

6/2.3 Providing and laying cement concrete in prop 1:2:4...etc.

For Reservoir:

I of Rebervoir.		
1 x 2 x 2.50 x 0.15x 1.50	$= 1.12 \text{ m}^3$	
1 x 2 x 1.50 x 0.15x 1.50	$= 0.67 \text{ m}^3$	
1 x 1 x 2.50 x 1.50x 0.10	$= 0.37 \text{ m}^3$	
For pipe pedestals:		
10 x 0.15 x 0.15 x 1.20	$= 0.27 \text{ m}^3$	
	$= 2.43 \text{ m}^3$	
	@ Rs. 3280/- m <sup><math>\Box</math></sup>	Rs. 7,970.04

7/6.2(a) Providing to steel reinforcement in R.C.C.works including cutting, bending, cranking and tying in position.....etc.

10#Tor steel: For Reservoir:  $2 \times 12 \times 2.30 = 27.60 \text{ Rm.}$  $2 \times 9 \times 2.30 = 41.40 \text{Rm.}$ For pipe pedestals:  $10 \times 4 \times 1.50 = 60.00 \text{Rm.}$ = 128.00 Rm.

@ 0.62kg./Rm. = Rs.79.36 /kgs.

8#Tor steel :

For Reservoir:

 $2 \times 12 \times 1.40 = 33.60$ Rm.  $2 \times 9 \times 2.40 = 43.20$ Rm.  $2 \times 10 \times 1.40 = 28.00$ Rm.  $2 \times 10 \times 1.40 = 28.00$ Rm.
= 132.80 Rm.

@ 0.39kg./Rm. = Rs.51.79/ kgs

For pipe pedestals:

8/

@ 0.22kg./Rm .	=	<u>9.90/ kgs</u> 2.572 Qntls.		
@ Rs.5373/- Qtl.		Rs.		138.2
Providing and fixing G.I. pipes including ne Sockets, bends, jamnuts, elbows, tees etc.co (Rate as per market rates).	ccessary mplete.			
<ul> <li>Providing and fixing G.I. pipes including ne Sockets, bends, jamnuts, elbows, tees etc.co (Rate as per market rates).</li> <li>(a) 75mm G.I. Pipes.</li> <li>Length – 1.30R.M. @ Rs.500/-Rm.</li> </ul>	ecessary mplete.	R	ls.	650.00
<ul> <li>Providing and fixing G.I. pipes including ne Sockets, bends, jamnuts, elbows, tees etc.co (Rate as per market rates).</li> <li>(a) 75mm G.I. Pipes.</li> <li>Length – 1.30R.M. @ Rs.500/-Rm.</li> <li>(b) 50mm G.I. Pipes.</li> <li>Length – 27.05 R.M. @ Rs. 350/-Rm.</li> </ul>	cessary mplete.	R	.s.	650.00 <u>9,467.5(</u>
<ul> <li>Providing and fixing G.I. pipes including ne Sockets, bends, jamnuts, elbows, tees etc.co (Rate as per market rates).</li> <li>(a) 75mm G.I. Pipes.</li> <li>Length – 1.30R.M. @ Rs.500/-Rm.</li> <li>(b) 50mm G.I. Pipes.</li> <li>Length – 27.05 R.M. @ Rs. 350/-Rm.</li> </ul>	vcessary mplete.	R 	s. s. s. (	650.00 <u>9,467.5(</u> 5 <b>0,002.8</b> 2

(Rupees sixty thousand) only.

## ANNEXTURE IV MoA, SUB-COMMITTEE DETAILS ETC

ç., 63 ~ 10 ÷., 5 -~ 6 ¢, ų., 1 Ú 4 113

Table 52: Details of Convergence of IWMP with other Schemes:

Name of VIIIS	1981	Okkapara Songgrenami	Kosak Jembrogre		101	Store Store Store		Service and the service of
-		3	4	In			6	Ţ
				Hame of uctivity-restration between	cture randocratio. Jumás	n with		12
		Names of Denastroom s with	Find reade available	(a) Statetines			1	Level ut which
		Schemes converging with	CONVERSION (K. IN	(t) fivelihoods	NewRentell	Amount	activity task	CORVERGNEE W25
District	Names of Imject	4MM1	lakh)	(c) Any adici (ot, specify)	-	(Ry	structure in DPR	uken
3				a) Dugout Pord	11 nos	350000		
				b) Bench Terrace	18 Ha	270000		
				c) Natah Bund	5 005	650000		
2.0	ť			d) CC Irrigation dam	1 009	150000	Finclesure of Ahstmor	
		TOTAL CONTACT OF A		e) Link Road		216000	of Perspective Phan	
West Gam Hills	JE-DAMI-LED-8	LAKEUS (LIKU), W25 USIN	2604000	1) RCC Footbridge	1 nos	150000	for Convergence of	District Level
		UTUS, VIEZIU (BA)		<li>g) Spring chember</li>	4 ncs	360000	NRUG IS WITH TWAP	
				<ol><li>Rubber Plantation</li></ol>	70 Ha	666000	in LPR	
				h) Arecanut Plantation	85 Ha	782000		
15				e) Earthen Irri channel				
				f) Carthen Embankment			5 - 6 1 - 6	
10 miles				g) Rubber Plantation				
		Grand Total				3604000		

Grand Total: Rupees Thirty-Six Lakhs four thousand only.

Enclosed: Abstraction Perspective Flan for Convergence of NREGG wm, WMP

式

Deputy Commissioner West Garo Hills, megnataya theory

1

Divisional officer Ture Soil & Water Conservation(T) Division

ABSTRACT OF PERSPECTIVE PLAN FOR CONVERGENCE OF NREGS WITH NYMP AT DRVAPARA VILLAGE JENGERA NOML ASI NOML ASI NOML ASI NOML ASI NON-MATERSHED, WOH-MMP4V

14

-

2

4 5 ~ ù., 0,

-

-

62

1. -

0

4 e,

-

÷

-

ñ

,

MO         MO(1:1-12         MO(1			10					PRO	JECT PERIC	2									
MO         FIN         FIN <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>2010-11</th> <th>100</th> <th></th> <th>2011-1</th> <th>**</th> <th></th> <th>2012-12</th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th>2013-14</th> <th></th> <th>Ţ</th> <th>leto</th> <th></th> <th>Mandays</th>					2010-11	100		2011-1	**		2012-12	2		2013-14		Ţ	leto		Mandays
MotActivitiesUnitsUnitsUnitsMagres			-	NHA	F	z	PHY	ш	z	ΥНЧ	Ĩ	N	PHY	E	z	ИЧ	E	z	generab
1       Duject Prondições. Continue narabilitationa de la consistencia de la constructura de la constructu	ž	D ACTIVITIES	Unite		Wages	meterlal	1	sadem	Material		Wages	Meterial		Sallen	material		Nages	meterial	
2       3ench Terzec Cente. Teolot.       Ha       Ha       4       90000       12       1300         2       Nallen Bundt(2714, 200001144)       Eer noi       Nos       1       80000       5       90000       12       14000         2       Nallen Bundt(2714, 20000144)       Nos       1       80000       5       90000       3       9000         4       OCC infgaben (\$5-00001496       Nos       1       80000       50000       5       90000       3       9000         4       Unth Fraad       Nos       Nos       1       8000       5       9000       5       9000       5       9000         4       Unth Fraad       Nos       Nos       1       20000       5       9000       5       9000         5       Unth Fraad       Nos       Nos       1       20000       5       9000       5       9000         6       EC Froothrospell@Ha Loodol- per Na       Nos       1       1       9000       5       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1	1	1 Duppet Pond(@Rs. 300III/c per no)	Nce	~	6000		m	90000					5	15020		\$	100000	0	4265
3       3       Nallery Eurol(2715, 2100004, per noi)       Mois       1       20000       60000       3       30000         CC (ridgebon deamattes 1sound)       wm       wm       1       6000       6       3000       60000       3       3000         A Link Fload       wm       wm       vm       vm       1       6000       5       3000         A Link Fload       wm       wm       vm       vm       vm       vm       vm       1       6000       3       3000         A Link Fload       wm       wm       1       6000       4       1       6000       4       1       9000       5       9000<	- 23	2 Bench ( Brisco) (2015: 150001: per Ha)	ę				ч	9000		4	60000		4	00000		4	120000	•	2571
CC indgeton desmittee txuuut: per no;         Mos         No         4         1         8000         610000         610		2 Nallah Bund(2044,20000044-per noi	Nos	-	00008	60000				-	120000	80000	5	00005	80000	*	200000	200000	4206
4       Link Road       win       win       1       cautor       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1       1       5000       1		CC integration deputicities 1souucili per mo;	80N							-	90000	GUNN					00005	60000	1208
RCG Feothringgeligtes.160000t. per Neel         Mos         Mos         4         144000         96000         1         10000         1440           \$ Spring Charamber/sffs.60000th per No)         Ness         1         4         1440000         960000         1         1         1440           \$ Spring Charamber/sffs.60000th per No)         Ness         1         4         1440000         960000         1         1         1         144000           \$ Spring Charamber/sffs.60000th per No)         Ness         1         400         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         4000         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1<	1	a Link Road	5				-	00000					-	50000			128000	0	1000
0 Spring Charrebeng/Re.600004. pet no)       Nos       4       1440000       96000       14400         6       Relutes Plantetion.       Ha       6       6       600         9       Plantetion.       Ha       500       5000       60       600         0) Plantetion.       Ha       50       100030       50       100000       60       6000         0) Weeding@Re.10004. pet Halo       Ha       50       100030       50       100000       60       6000         0) Weeding@Re.20004. pet Halo       Ha       50       100030       50       100000       60       6000         7       Arecentut Plantation       Ha       50       100000       50       100000       60       6000         7       Arecentut Plantation       Ha       50       100000       50       100000       60       6000         7       Arecentut Plantation       Ha       50       100000       50       100000       60       6000         7       Arecentut Plantation       Ha       50       100000       50       100000       60       40000         7       Arecentut Plantation       Ha       50       100000       50		RCG Footbridge(@Hs. 150000/- per Noel	Sol	-						-	DEDEC	50000					20000	60000	123E
E         RulLes         Plantation         His         Social		6 Spring Chambers/&Rs.80060% per no?	Nrs	-			4	144000	96000		2						144000	98000	2002
3     PEprinta(@Ks.1930'-per.Ha)     Ho     30     9000     50     10000     50     10000       a) Wreeding(@Rs.2000'-per.Ha)     Hs     30     100030     50     100000     50     100000       7     Arecent(Pervalo)     Hs     20     20000     50     100000     50     100000	105	6 Rulder Plantation	Ha													8			
a) Wieeeling/@fla.2000 <sup>1</sup> For Hal         Ha         30         100000         50         100000         50         100000         40000           7         Arecent f14v3101         Ha         30         100000         50         100000         50         100000         40000	- 1	)), Plamhai@Ks 19004 per Hs)	Ч	8	00006												80000	-	1286
7 Arecent Plantal O	- 1	a) Wreeding @Re.2000- per Haj	태	8	100030		20	1 DUKO		8	100000		8	10000			00000*	-	±/14
1000	1	7 Arecenut Merval on	Ħ	-							Î				2				
0 Plantagigu S24000-P61149; H3 30 120030	0	0 Planing(GFts 24004 per Ha)	댶	8	120000												120000	-	1714
0) Weeding/@Ris.2000- per He) Hs 30 100000 50 100000 50 100000 50 100000 60 000		<ol> <li>Wreeding/@Rs.2000- per He)</li> </ol>	f	8	100000		20	10000		8	10000		8	10000			400000	-	5714
GRAND TOTAL 68000 60000 550000 95000 68000 850000 850000 200000 850000 850000 82400		GRAND FOTAL			680000	6000		560000	96030		680000	200000		660000	BDOCO		2240000	000819	32000

man Rongpany cland Williage Stepheyranes Covers Chalman Oksapara Songpionem VEC Gembegra Rinck, Wold

Signetary Oktopera VEC Cembegra Riork, WGH

Secretary,

Village Employment Council Obtepara Songgitchem West Garb Hills.

utilingers Songgistion's

\$

Low them began

-۰. 1 4 0 -4 1 14 1. 44 ÷., 54 94 ÷ --~

14

•

- / - /

All         All<	Name of Village: Total No. of Job Card Holder:	Jerytr 30 Hau	and	=	Fold Wage L	OMILU Ampres	NUMICR	O-WATERS) per anninj	N,G	SHIMMING 12	21005D				ł			
Old         Sector Transmission         Part of the constraint of the constrain		-					-	Kaje armu		*	002.2	5	A Rupee					
Life         Altring to according to according to according to according to according to a						1	PRO	VECT PERI	8									
Old         Control         Fire         <				2010-1	-		116	12		2012-1	-		2013-1		-	Total		Mandave tr
Action         Action         Model         Water         Under         Water         Under         Water         <			٨Hd	-	N	AHA		FIN	PHY		Ŧ	NHA			PHAY		2	in of our of the
1         1         2         2         2         2         1         1         2         2         1	LINO ACTIVITIES	Unita		Wages	material		wagew	Material		Wages	Malerial		Nages	mallerie		CODEW.	material	Pelainunñ
2 BOOT TETT STORMS, 15000, Even in the part of th	1. Expoul Pund(\$Hs. 30000- per na)	Ncs	1									-	30000		-	30000	•	450
3         Needen Bund's Effect 100000c yerr mi)         Note         1         90000         2000         2         20000         12000 <th12000< th="">         12000         <th12000<< td=""><td>2 Sendh Terracy 2978.150004. pm Ha)</td><td>£</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>N</td><td>20000</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>6000</td><td></td><td></td><td>100.00</td><td></td><td></td><td>BICO</td><td>-</td><td>9061</td></th12000<<></th12000<>	2 Sendh Terracy 2978.150004. pm Ha)	£				N	20000		•	6000			100.00			BICO	-	9061
4         FKF 7x31         Im         Im <thim< th="">         Im         <th< td=""><td>3 Matteh Bund'음Rs.1500004 per IID)</td><td>NCE</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>+</td><td>accoc</td><td>0000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>1205</td></th<></thim<>	3 Matteh Bund'음Rs.1500004 per IID)	NCE			0				+	accoc	0000				1	-		1205
5         Firth Chamber (257, 4000), Jer mi)         Nos         Second         C         2300         4000         C         23000         C         2300         <	4 Link Russ	5				-									4	NING	PODU	6671
6         Ruther Planiahm         10         10         100 <th< td=""><td>5 Spring Chamber(2:Rs,00000+ per no)</td><td>Nos</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1 P. Part</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>00708</td><td></td><td></td><td>00005</td><td>•</td><td>1286</td></th<>	5 Spring Chamber(2:Rs,00000+ per no)	Nos						1 P. Part				-	00708			00005	•	1286
Producer Familying         Produce						-	CEINN	48000				1	1			23000	48000	1328
0         Factorial(SCR-1400C, per Ha)         He         20         36000         0         514           0         Vices(ID)(SCR-2000), Eer Ha)         He         20         40300         25         30000         0         2233           7         Vicrescuit Themation         He         20         40300         25         30000         0         2233           7         Vicrescuit Themation         He         35         34000         25         34000         0         2233           1         Planting/GRe 2000, Eer Ha)         Ha         35         34000         25         34000         0         2243           1         Planting/GRe 2000, Eer Ha)         Ha         35         34000         2         2243           1         Planting/GRe 2000, Eer Ha)         Ha         35         34000         0         2263           1         Planting/GRe 2000, Eer Ha)         Ha         35         30000         20         20         2001         12000           1         Planting/GRe 2000, Eer Ha)         Ha         35         30000         20         20         2001         12000           1         Planting/GRe 2000, Eer Ha)         Ha         210000	6 Rutter Planiahan	2	1			1									8			1
Dynamic Set 2.0000. Ear Hol, Hol         Hol <th< td=""><td>0, Harding(@Rs.1900-per He)</td><td>Hg</td><td>8</td><td>36000</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5 - 01</td><td></td><td></td><td>36000</td><td>•</td><td>Pbu</td></th<>	0, Harding(@Rs.1900-per He)	Hg	8	36000		-							5 - 01			36000	•	Pbu
7     7     6     7     6     7     9     7     10 <td><ul> <li>Wreeding/@Rs.20004_per He)</li> </ul></td> <td>β</td> <td>20</td> <td>00007</td> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td>30000</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>30000</td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>STODO</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	<ul> <li>Wreeding/@Rs.20004_per He)</li> </ul>	β	20	00007		25	30000		4	30000		5	STODO					
Interning Res 24000, per Hai         Ind	7 Aracenut Plantaban	Ha																6747
Internation     Internation     Internation     Internation     Internation     Internation     Internation       GRAMD FOTAL     210000     20     20000     20     40000     10000     20       Amount allocated for convergence for the period 2010-11 to 2013-14     210000     20     210000     20     20000     20000       Amount allocated for convergence for the period 2010-11 to 2013-14     210000     210000     210000     210000     20000     210000       Amount allocated for convergence for the period 2010-11 to 2013-14     210000     210000     210000     210000     210000     20000       Waser allocated for convergence for the period 2010-11 to 2013-14     1     210000     210000     210000     20000       Material Component     R     10000     20000     210000     210000     210000     20000       File     Material Component     R     10000     20000     210000     20000     210000       File     Material Component     R     10000     20000     210000     20000     210000       Grand Usatt     R     100000     100000     210000     210000     210000     210000       Grand Usatt     R     100000     100000     100000     100000     210000     21000	it Planting(@Re.2400i.per.Ha)	Ŧ	35	34000		21										Added	-	out o
GRAND FOTAL         Z10000         210000         210000         210000         210000         210000         210000         210000         1000000         1	ii) Weeuingit@Rs.2000- per Haj	뫄	ю	00005		8	00095		ţ	30000		2	EDOC4			178000		2ran
Amount allocated for convergence for the period 2010-11 to 2013-14 1 Wage Component 1 Wage Component 1 Wage Component 6 and total Grand total Charmar Kost Jointogre Hock, Wich Santeby Charmar Kost Jointogre Hock, Wich 2 Charmar Kost Jointogre Hock, Wich 2 Charmar Char	GRAND TOTAL	_		210000	0		10000	48000		210000	0008		40000					
Crearmar Kocas Jantogre VEC Bartogre HOX, WGH - Zeli-Struce M. D B Sambage BOX, WGH	Amount allbeated for convergence for th 1 Wage Component: ? Metrial Camponent: Grand total Gwind TotakRupees Nine Lekhs forty-alg	he period 21 Ghi thousa	11-01 8 20 (pu	0 2013-14 140000 168000 348000					-						]			807
	Cristmar Kosat Janheare VEC Sanhegre Block, WGH Z. Z. Ser v. C. M. M.	90 V						P.			Contraction Secretary at Jemborg	S.C. M.	S.	ත්	Ú.4	3	2	

2

20

Kobsk Jembagri V.E.C West Garo Hills

Secretary Kozak Jembagri V.E.C West Garo Hills

## AGREEMENT FOR CONVERGENCE OF SCHEME

The Village Employment Council (VEC) and the Communities of Okkapara Songgilcham Village, Gambegre Block, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya have no objection to the Convergence of NREGS with Integrated Watershed Management Project (IWMP) at Okkapara Songgitcham village under Jengbra Nomil Asi Micro-Watershed, WGU-IWMP-IV being implemented by Turu Soil& Water Conservation (T) Division.

We also agreed to allocate and commit Funds for wage as well as material component under NREGS in our Annual Work Plan for various Soil & Water Conservation Works which shall be taken up during the Project Period (2010-11 to 2013-14). The wage and material component under NREGs shall be utilised for following works:

Dugout Pond.
 Bench Terrace.
 Spring Chamber.
 Link Road.
 Nallah Bund.
 Rubber Plantation.
 Arecanut Plantation.

1

K caus

 Wasa Sangleyanai Council Okkapara Songettchen Chairman,
 Village Employment Council Okkapara Songgiteham
 Gambegre Block, WGH

Limitton

Secretary, Village Employment Council Offician Songoltcham Statistics Hills. Village Employment Council Okkapara Songgitcham Gambegre Block, WGH

## AGREEMENT FOR CONVERGENCE OF SCHEME

The Village Employment Council (VEC) and the Communities of Kosak Jembagre Village, Gambegre Block, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya have no objection to the Convergence of NREGS with Integrated Watershed Management Project (IWMP) at Kosak Jembagre village under Jengbra Nomil Asi Micro-Watershed, WGH-IWMP-IV being implemented by Tura Soil& Water Conservation (T) Division.

We also agreed to allocate and commit Funds for wage as well as material component under NREGS in our Annual Work Plan for various Soil & Water Conservation Works which shall be taken up during the Project Period (2010-11 to 2013-14). The wage and material component under NREGs shall be utilised for following works:

Dugout Pond.
 Bench Terrace.
 Spring Chamber.
 Link Road.
 Nallah Bund.
 Rubber Plantation.
 Arecunut Plantation.

1.1

-

Chairman, Village Employment Council Kosak Jembagre Gambegre Block, WGH

President M. Marak. Kosak Jembagri V.E.C Wast Garo Hills Ming rin D. Shiro Secretary.

Village Employment Council Kosak Jembagre Gambegre Block, WGH

> Secretary Konali Jembagri V.E.C West Garo Hille

NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE OF THE AKING NOKMA FOR JEMBRA NOMIL ASI MICRO WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT TO BE TAKEN UP UNDER LW.M.P PROJECT BY TURA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION (T) DIVISION

The A'king Nokma of Okkapara village under Jembra Nomil Asi Micro Watershed project, WGH-IWMP-II has No Objection to the developmental activities to be undertaken in my A'king land by Soil & Water Conservation Department.

The villagers of Okkapara A'king Land are ready to accept the Development Scheme after clear understanding of the objectives and the activities proposed under the project to be implemented in our Watershed area.

There will be No Objection in future from the villagers of the watershed area as they have understood the objectives of the proposed scheme of the Soil & Water Conservation Department.

S. 1

Name & Signature of A'king Nokma

Not jeng Morak

Nokma II-19 (39) Okkopara Songgisena Tau Gara Hilli

Countersigned by

Divisional Officer, Tura Soil & Water Conservation (T) Division, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya. NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE OF THE AKING NOKMA FOR UNDERTAKING ENTRY POINT ACTIVITY (EPA) AT JEMBRA NOMIL ASI MICRO WATERSHED, WGH-I.W.M.P -II BY TURA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION (T) DIVISION.

The A'king Nokma of Okkapara village under Jembra Nomil Asi Micro Watershed project, WGH-IWMP-II has No Objection to the Entry Point Activity (EPA) to be undertaken in my A'king land Soll & Water Conservation Department.

The proposed activity under Entry point Activity shall benefit the villagers and there will be No Objection in future from the villagers of the watershed area. We also pledge to maintain the asset created through EPA to ensure sustainability.

14

- 1

Name & Signature of A'king Nokma

29 Marak

spara Songrischa Ves Gare Hills.

Countersigned by

Tura Soil & Water Conservation (T) Division, West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.